John hostes

CONSIDERATIONS

ONTHE

PRESENT STATE

OFTHE

CONTROVERSY

BETWEEN THE

PROTESTANTS AND PAPISTS

OF

GREAT BRITAIN and IRELAND;

Particularly on the Question

How far the latter are entitled to a Toleration upon Protestant Principles.

BEING

The SUBSTANCE of Two DISCOURSES

Delivered to the

Clergy of the Archdeaconry of CLEVELAND, in the Years 1765 and 1766.

By FRANCIS BLACKBURNE, M. A.
Archdeacon of CLEVELAND.

DUBLIN:

Printed by BOULTER GRIERSON, Printer to the King's most Excellent Majesty.

M DCC LXVIII.



TOTHE

Reverend and much respected

THE

CLERGY of the ARCHDEACONRY

O F

CLEVELAND.

H AD not the publication of the latter of these Discourses been desired by such of you, my REVEREND BRETHREN, as met me at THIRSKE in the Summer of 1766, I should hardly have thought of a 2 sending

fending any part of these papers to the press. But that being otherwise determined, it was next to be considered, that the second Discourse, being only the sequel to one delivered the year before, could not well appear without that introduction, which was the more necessary, as it contained some authorities to which the latter Discourse referred, and on which several particulars in it had some dependence.

The obliging manner in which the publication of that little piece was proposed, left me no room to decline it; and, as both Discourses were drawn up without any view to their being made public, the revisal of both became necessary, which immediately suggested the propriety of a more convenient arrangement of their

their contents, and of throwing them into one continued Discourse; without any other alterations, however, than such as a more methodical disposition of the several parts, and the correction of the inaccuracies in the written copies, made indispensable,

As this was doing, many things occurred, very proper, as appeared to me, to illustrate as well as to confirm some particulars, which, in so short discourses, would not admit of circumstantial details; more especially such as related to the objections on the one hand, and the pleas, on the other, of certain late writers in favour of Popery, whose misrepresentations of matters of fact, and sophisms in reasoning, seemed to require a more particular resultation, than,

vi DEDICATION.

than, as far as I could learn, they had then met with.

These additional matters are put into the form of notes, and may serve, I would humbly hope, to point out some circumstances in the present state of Popery among us that may deserve the particular notice of us who are more immediately concerned to warn our respective congregations against these delusive corrupters of Christianity, and may not be unworthy of the regard of every dutiful and affectionate subject of our most gracious Sovereign upon the throne.

The book of which an account is given, in the first number of the Appendix, was put into my hands by one to whom it was privately conveyed,

veyed, with what intent, I need not fay; and it may be prefumed, that the view there given of that book, may be of use to convince those who are but moderately versed in other particulars of our controversy with the Papists, that a religion which requires the support of such mean and pitiful forgeries, and enjoins such shameful acts of idolatry, can recommend itself to none but those who are either grossly ignorant of the contents of the Christian Scriptures, or hold them in the utmost contempt.

The reasons for exhibiting the other pieces in the Appendix are explained, either by references in the Considerations and Notes, or by the remarks subjoined to them.

I most

viii DEDICATION.

I most willingly take this opportunity to express the grateful sense I have of the candid reception I have always met with since my first appearance among you, and of the ready assistance you have afforded me on various occasions, in the discharge of my office; and am,

Reverend and much effected Brethren,

your obliged and affectionate Brother,

and humble Servant,

FR. BLACKBURNE.

CONSIDERATIONS

ON

The Present State of the Controversy, &c.

HE frequent remonstrances, which have appeared in public, from time to time, in different parts of the kingdom, concerning the too successful attempts of the priests and other emissaries of the church of Rome, to pervert his Majesty's Protestant subjects to that communion, gave me occasion, the last year, to recommend a particular inquiry into the state of Popery within this Archdeaconry; the returns to which, by the care and attention of the reverend the clergy, were fufficiently exact and particular to shew, that such remonstrances have not been wholly groundless. and at the same time to afford occasion for some very fliking and obvious remarks upon particular cases, not indeed peculiar to the places from whence they are reported in those returns, but, as we learn from other authentic accounts, common to many others in like circumstances, all over the nation.

On this confideration, it may not be unfeafonable to beftow a few ferious reflections on the prefent state of Popery in these kingdoms, tending to shew, from some remarkable incidents, that the late alarms concerning the progress and increase of this dangerous superstition have not been so chimerical as some, seemingly cool and candid, but certainly injudicious, and perhaps designing, lookers-on, would have us believe a; and that this is no time to suspend our vigilence and activity in counter-working the means made use of for its pro-

pagation.

Our misfortune, for some time past, seeme to have been, that while we thought ourselves and our people fufficiently fecure from the open attempts of Popish emissaries, by the legal provisions that are made against them, our attention has been unhappily diverted by that circumstance, from their more fecret and infidious practices upon particular persons who have fallen in their way. Some of us perhaps may have expected, that they would have been restrained in these attempts, by a principle of gratitude for the lenity of our civil government in conniving at their enjoyment of their own worship, while their priests should content themselves with ministering to such only as have been born of Popish parents, and educated in that communion.

a Of this kind were some letters, which appeared some time ago in the St. James's Chronicle, signed Sacer os Rusticus, and other essays of the same tendency, in that and other Newspapers.

But they who have entertained these expectations could not, I believe, readily find an instance in all Europe, where Popery has been satisfied with a bare connivance, on any consideration. Her claims and pretensions rise too high to be controlled by a principle of gratitude. A church which arrogates to herself all power in beaven and earth, on the one hand, and whose very existence, on the other, depends upon the pompous and conspicuous exhibition of a paganish ceremonial, will never patiently submit to be confined to a corner; or acquiesce in any terms where her peculiar merit, VISIBILITY, is excluded from the advantages of parade and oftentation.

If indeed scripture, reason, and common sense were to have their full influence upon the hearts and understandings of all those who profess the Protestant religion, the claims and pretensions of Popery would be easily seen thro, and universally despised. But while such numbers of our common people are so imperfectly instructed in the principles of their religion (as we have reason to fear they are) that it may be questioned whether many of them can give any better account

The splendid and even superb decorations of popish chapels, particularly in some of our cities and great towns, are instances of this to the purpose, where even the rod of civil correction hanging over their heads, will not prevent their triumphing in this way over the plain simplicity in places of public worship, prescribed by the principles of the Protestant Reformation. In some cities, it is said, the popish chapel is shewn to strangers, as one of the curiosities of the place. And I have heard of one where the door of the chapel is reported to stand open in the day-time to the street, from whence there is a prospect to the altar, etc.

The current opinion of those who look no farther into religious matters than mere outward appearances, hath generally been, that the truth and excellency of religion is most likely to be found with those who are most zealous in promoting their own particular sort of it. Few of these will consider, that there may be high degrees of zeal where there is not a grain of knowledge; and sewer still will be disposed to undertake an accurate and laborious inquiry into the real truth and importence of doctrines which are afferted with the utmost considence on one hand, while they are but feebly and faintly opposed on the other.

Infinuations have been thrown out of late, as if this had been too much the case between the protestant and popish clergy in this country. It has been mentioned in some late publications, that for some years past, little attention has been paid by the clergy of the establishment to that branch of controversy, which our predecessors of the last century managed against the papists with so much assiduity, with so much honour to themselves, and advantage to the cause they espoused b.

To

fent peu à la mode, je n'y avois jamais tourné mes etudes. Thete

To this it hath been answered, that "this ser"vice to the protestant cause, having been so
"well performed, and being to be sound in
"books which are easily procured, it is sufficient to reser our people to the labours of these
excellent writers of the last generation, whenever they are practised upon by the adversary;
and that, having the scriptures in their hands,
and being not only allowed, but exhorted to
read them, they may safely be trusted to their
own sense and judgment in applying them to
the support of their principles, against all seducers whatsoever."

But I am afraid, when the circumstances of our common people abovementioned are duly considered, together with the various occasions they may have for the assistance of their pastors in new and unforeseen cases, our referring them to their own stores and capacities, will pass for no better than a compliment to our own indolence, a fort of civil way of getting rid of the pains and trouble of making those whose station and circumstances require it, more competent judges for themselves, than their own leisure and opportunities for examination will admit of, and who may expect this service from us, through a persuasion

are the words of Mr. De la Chapelle, minister at the Hague, in the presace to his excellent letters, in answer to those of Father Scheffmacher, a Jesuit of Strasburg, published at Amsserdam 1737. Perhaps it might be found upon examination, that this controvesy has not been much more in fashion, for the last thirty years. What the reasons, why it has not, may have been, I pretend not to determine; but apprehend they may deserve the consideration of the public.

that our designation to the ministry requires it at our hands.

Any objection against our remissiness in this particular case, will have the greater force, where there is room for it, in consideration, that of all the various sorts and sects of religion professed in the Christian world, Popery is that to which a good Christian and a good subject may be indifferent with the least safety, whether we consider its political influence upon civil society, or its pernicious effects upon the religious dispositions of every one concerned to work out his alvation upon

the best and furest grounds.

We see little indeed of this malignant influence in our own country, where the protestant religion is established, and professed by public authority, and where Roman Catholics have no share either in the civil or ecclefiaftical government. In these circumstances the spirit of Popery is kept down, and withdrawn from publick observation, and exerts itself only in private cabals and projects to counterwork the establishment of what they call berely, and to prepare their engines against fome favourable crisis to carry their designs into execution. In the mean while their public demeanor is fair and candid, and has all the appearances of moderation and charity, that are neceffary to perfuade the unthinking multitude of the injustice of those charges that have been brought against their religion, and the unreasonableness of those legal restraints which are laid upon the professors of it.

But to form a proper judgment of the spirit and influence of Popery, it will be necessary to look into the hiftory of those countries where it is, and has been for ages past, the established religion; and here, besides the practice of the most abject superstition, and even of the groffest idolatry, we shall find, that whenever the civil powers have attempted to provide for the public welfare by measures or expedients in any degree unfavourable to the peculiar interests of the church, means have always been found to break the peace of the community, by some or other of those turbulent ecclesiastics, whom the mistaken zeal of former times hath nourished by luxurious provisions, and exclusive privileges, in a state of detatchment from the body politic; a fet of men, who, whatever might be the original design of their respective founders, have not, for many ages, been of any other use worth the mentioning, than that of strengthening the iron hand of papal authority, and thereby preventing the civil magistrate, wherever he was inclined to it, from lightening the galling yoke of ecclefiaftical ty-And where the monkish orders are so numerous, and confessors, taken out of them, have fo frequently had the consciences of sovereign princes under their direction, occasions and pretences could never be wanting to controul the most falutary counsels for public welfare, if they should interfere ever fo little with the claims or prerogatives of the hierarchy.

The neighbouring kingdom of France hath perhaps made more vigorous efforts in opposition to the encroachments of the See of Rome, than any popish state in Europe: and there are in-

stances in the French histories, where these efforts have not been altogether without fuccess. But the same histories inform us, that any advantages of this kind gained over the church, have, for the most part, been either dearly purchased, or of no long continuance c. And generally speaking, whatever abatements of the papal power have been obtained in any states and kingdoms which did not absolutely cast it off, the fovereign pontiff, having the religious orders entirely under his direction, and at his devotion, hath found the means to balance, by obtaining ample concessions in other articles. And where he hath not succeeded by way of compromise, he hath feldom failed, by the means of the fame trufty agents, to take fevere vengeance, fooner or later, on those who stood in his way.

A few instances from the history of France will be sufficient to shew, that what is here advanced

is not without foundation.

From the time that the Protestant religion gained any considerable sooting in France, the zealous Catholics, as they called themselves, with the princes of the House of Guise at their head, left no means untried to extirpate it. But the

The various struggles of the French patriots to establish and support the Pragmatic Sanstion, as set forth by Bishop Burnet in his History of the rights of Princes in the disposing of Ecclesiastical Benefices and Church-lands, chap. vii. and the event of those struggles, shew this in the strongest point of light. According to Dr. Heylin (no enemy, by the way, to ecclesiastical usurpations), it was made appear to Lewis XI, that the execution of the Pragmatic Sanstion, was a saving to France of a million of crowns annually. Hist. of the State of France, p. 224.

Hugonots, gathering strength from the accession of numbers, and the high quality of those who professed themselves of the same faith, came to be in a condition to resist the efforts of the catholic saction, oftentimes with remarkable success. The consequence of which was a succession of civil wars in the very heart of the kingdom, productive of all the misery and ruin that necessarily attend events of that fort.

The greatest and wiscst of the French patriots, even they who adhered no less to the established religion than the most violent of the persecutors of the Hugonots, plainly saw that not only the peace and prosperity, but the very safety and existence of the kingdom of France, depended upon granting the Protestants a legal toleration for the public exercise of their religion; and to that end interposed their counsels and endeavours, as far as the complexion of the times, and the violent prejudices of their bigoted fellow-subjects would bear d.

This

d Among the foremost of these was the excellent Michael de l'Hospital, Chancellor of France, who, besides deseating the projects of introducing into that kingdom hist the inquisition, and afterwards the authority of the Council of Trent, the two favourite objects of the Cardinal of Lorrain, would most probably, if he could, have obtained a toleration for the protestants. [See bis article in Bayle's Dictionary]. Such was his influence and estimation, that even this important point was lost in the parliament of Paris, only by three voices, and given up with great murmurings, by the minority, who maintained, upon the chancellor's principles, qu'en matiere de telle importance, n'estoit sas la raison, qu'à l'appetit de trois voix, tout la France entrast en combustion. Lettres d'Estienne Pasquier, vol. I. p. 196. ed. 1619. To detract from L'Hospital's merit in these salutary counsels, it is alledged, that he was a protestant in his heart, which

This proposal, however, was too unfavourable to the interests, and too grating to the intolerant spirit, of the church of Rome, to be admitted by the clergy, or the great men who were partly the dupes of their hypocrisy, and partly induced by their own ambitious views to soment their frantic zeal among the people. And on this soundation, namely the pretence to secure the catholic church against the attempts of the heretical Hugonots, was formed that wicked combination called The League, the effects of which were, besides the horrors of an intestine war, the bloody and treacherous massacre of Paris, and the murder of two of their sovereigns.

Whether the objection of the Leaguers against Henry III, namely, his favouring the Hugonots, was real or pretended (a matter which the weak and fluctuating conduct of that unhappy prince hath rendered extremely doubtful), it is certain, that circumstance was made the colour for the opposition he met with from that bigoted faction, as well as for the justification of the monk who affassinated him, both in France and at Rome *.

It

which indeed would not have been marvellous in a man of his piety and penetration. This however is a circumstance of no weight in the present case, since writers of all sorts who have mentioned him, agree, that his whole conduct shewed, that he had the real good of the king and people uniformly at heart. See Davila, B. ii. and Bayle ubi supra.

e See the Letter of the Leaguers to Pope Sixtus V, May, 25. 1589, about two months before the affaffination, in the Memoires de la Ligue, Tom. III. p. 326, and their Letter to the same Pope, immediately after it, in Bayle's Dictionary, Henry III. Rem. [R]. See likewise the Pope's Speech to a Congregation of Cardinals, September 11, 1589, in the IVth vol. of Lord

It was the inclination, as well as the interest. of HENRY IV, who fucceeded him, to avoid the mistakes of his predecessor, and to make his sub. jects happy and prosperous upon better plans of policy, which his experience and capacity for government readily suggested to him. The first step, was to compose the religious feuds and disfensions between his popish and protestant subjects, which had been the occasion of such a series of public calamity. The Catholics, fo called, had the legal establishment on their side, and were, out of all comparison, the majority. But the Hugonots were nevertheless a respectable body. firm and resolute in their purposes and demands, and by no means disabled from giving trouble to their oppressors and persecutors. Henry found it necessary, in these circumstances, to sacrifice his profession of the Protestant religion to humour the Papists, and was, in many other respects, more complaisant to the fiery zeal of his ecclesiastics, than true policy would have allowed him to be in any other fituation f. To balance these advantages

Somers's Tracts, published in 1748, p. 201. In these writings, Popery speaks her native language, the language of her cordial affections and invariable principles; a language very different from what she uses when, in Great Britain, she wants to palliate a Powder-plot or an Irish massacre, or to recommend herself to the powers in being for a toleration, upon the slimsy pretence, that her unlimited subjection to ecclesiastical injunctions implies the same meekness and submission to civil authority, even in beretical hands.

f "HENRY the Fourth," fays Bishop Burnet, "having been for many years (that which they call) an beretic, stood not so firm in his contests, either with the Pope or his clergy, as other princes, that had been educated in a different manner, perhaps

vantages in the scale of Popery, he granted the Protestants the edict of Nantes, modified in such a manner as to keep the peace between the two parties; and in the maintenance of this wise ordinance he was ever steady and determined to the last. But this being the case, all his concessions to his surious and bigotted Catholics went for nothing. This edict was represented by the clergy, and consequently considered by their devotees, as the leprous token of an heretical taint, which could not be discharged, but by the murderous hand of a jesuitical russian s.

During

" perhaps would have done." Ilift. of the Rights of Princes, &c.

Le meme jour (the day of Ravilliac's execution) sur les plaintes portées a la cour, par l'Archeveque d'Aix, par le pere Coeffeteau, et par autres personnes sages, que le dit Ravilliac, interroge par eux sur le parricide par lui commis, leur avoit repondu, conformement aux maximes de Mariana, de Becanus, et autres [lefuits] qui ont ecrit, qu'il etoit permis de tuer les Tyrans; la dite cour, &c. Journal du Regne d'Henry IV, p. 212. See more proofs of Ravilliac's deriving his principles from the Jesuits, in Hospinian's Hist. Jesuitica, p. 261. The weakest, and one may justly call it the fatal, measure of Henry's government, was his reftoration of the Jetuits, after a profcription of some years, occasioned by the atrocious attempt of John Chastel, one of their pupils, upon his life, in the year 1594. Whatever Henry's private reasons might be for this indulgence, his compliance was certainly an inexcuseable error, as not only the University of Paris, but the Parliament would have supported him vigoroully in the total exculsion of that pernicious sect. This sufficiently appears by what passed in the Parliament about eighteen months after the King's tragical death, That court entered upon a revision of the letters patent the King had granted the Jesuits, permitting them to open their colleges; and refused to verify them, but upon condition of their subscribing the following propositions. " 1. That a Council is above the Pope. " 2. That the Pope hath no temporal power over Kings. " 3. That

During the reign of Lewis the Thirteenth, the administration of the French government was wholly in the hands of an ambitious and tyrannical churchman, the Cardinal de Richelieu, which is sufficient to characterise it, without descending to particulars. Under a minister of that profession, it is not to be expected the state would attempt to profit at the expence of the church h.

The

h The Cardinal indeed talked high to the Pope upon some occasions; that is to say, when his Holiness was not so ready to gratify him of his creatures in their particular demands, as he expected. Vie de Richelieu, vol. 11. p. 386. But his inclination to maintain the church in its full power at the expence of the state, appears from his advising the King to abolish the ap-

[&]quot; 3. That clergymen having heard of any attempt or confpi-" racy against the King or his Realm, or any matter of Treason, " in confession, they are bound to reveal it. 4. That clergymen " are subject to the secular prince or public magistrate." I take thele propolitions, for brevity's fake, from Heylin's Survey of the State of France, p. 224, 225. But they may be seen more at length in Hospinian's Hist. Jesuit. p. 220. where there are likewife fome conditions still harder of digestion. Heylin fays, they submitted to them, and subscribed; and it is probable enough they did, as the Parliament had peremptorily determined to prohibit their schools, if they refused, The famous Fronto Ducaus was their orator on this occasion, who according to Hospirian, played the sophister very dextrously. The Journal of the Reign of Henry the Fourth give us a curious instance of jesuitical impudence and finesse. It is in the last paragraph of the book, and is thus related. " On Monday the last day " of May, and very early in the morning, a number of Jesuits, " accompanied by some of their particular confidents, set out " [from Paris] to carry to their house of La Flesche the heart " of the King, which had been granted to them on their re-" peated folicitations. But they would not have carried it off " without noise and diffurbance, if the affair had got wind " among the people." The reason is plain, the people were perfuaded that the man who had murdered the King on the 14th of the same month, was a pupil of the Jesuits.

The opposition of Lewis XIV, to the see of Rome, was, on several occasions, more open and

peals of the secular clergy from their Bishops to the courts of Parliament. Servien, the Advocate-general, was fo fensible of the great advantage of keeping the clergy in a due dependence upon the state by the means of these appeals, that he used to fay, " had he known the author of fo wife and falutary a regu-" lation, he would have erected his statue." See Testament Politique de Cardinal Duc de Richelieu, sect. xi. It should seem, by what Mr. Duchat fays, in a note upon Henry Stephens's Apology for Herodotus, vol. I. p. 62. of the Hague edition, 1735. that those Bishops whom he calls Constitutionaires, made no scruple, in order to accomplish this abolition, to attempt, by their mandates, the abolition of the prerogatives of the crown, and the liberties of the Gallican church. For the reft, the infamous use he made of the Religious of both sexes at Loudun, in the profecution of Urbain Grandier, shews that he well knew to what fervices of tyranny and despotic vengeance the enthusiastic bigotry of those fraternities might be turned. This consideration, it is probable enough, made him the more defirous to have the Bulls for the confirmation of his dignity of Abbot-general of the Ciftercians and Premonstrants expedited from Rome. It is certain nothing ever provoked his refentment against the Pope more than the delay of those Bulls. But the Pope, no doubt, knew as well as the Cardinal, the probable consequences of putting those large and opulent communities under the government of so enterprizing a genius, with the whole civil power of France in his hands. Vie de Richelieu. u. f. After perufing a variety of Memoires of this Cardinal, wherein he is uniformly represented by all, but his fulsome panegyrifts, as adapting his politics folely to the prefervation of his power, and the purpoles of his ambition and revenge, one cannot but wonder upon what facts a late Biographer of Henry IV, should reckon Richelieu among those French ministers who pursued the salutary and spirited plans of government delineated by that monarch. See Appendix to the Monthly Review, vol. XXXIV. p. 562. It is for the honour of Salmasius, that he refused a large pension from Ricbelieu, because the condition was that he should write the Cardinal's History in Latin. See Guy Patin's Letters, vol. I. Lett. ii. and the reason there given by Salmafius himself. avowed:

the

avowed; and in some of these he had the concurrence of the secular clergy, under the notion of his protecting the liberty of the Gallican Church, against the claims of the Roman Pontiss; where indeed the interest of the crown was full as much concerned as that of the church. This, however, was a strain of complaisance in the prelates, which Lewis was obliged to purchase with

I This is to be understood of the affair of the Regale, which however was strenuously opposed by the Bishops of Alet and Pamiers, who betook themselves to the protection of the Pope against the pretensions of the King; and here began the differences between Lewis XIV. and Innocent XI. There two Bishops were Jansenists, that is to say, they were of that denomination of Religionists, who had complained to the Pope of the scandal given, and the mischief occasioned, by the loose morality of the Jesuits, and had obtained a formal centure of it from the congregation de propaganda fide. This provocation detached the lesuits from the Holy See of course, at least from the Pope then reigning, and engaged them to the court of France, and the Bishops who adhered to it, in opposition to the Pope; a step which naturally exposed the Jesuits to the reproach of having broken their fourth vow; viz. of absolute obedience to the Pope. " But, (fays Bishop Burnet,) that the zealous Catholics " of France might not be scandalized with a method of proceed-" ing, that feemed contrary to the obedience usually paid to the " See of Rome, the Jetuits, in concert with the Archbishop of " Paris, refolved to carry on at the same time another design, " that should both clear them of the imputations that might " otherwise have been cast upon them of designing to divide " France from that See, and likewife gratity their hatred of the " Protestants; and so they set on the King to prosecute them " with all the ways both of craft and severity that could be in-" vented; and, by this zeal against berefy, they suggested, that " the King might well cover his contests for the Regale, which " he pretends is due by the right of the crown." Preface to " the History of the Rights of Princes, &c. p. 42. A Preface well worth reading by all Protestants, even by those to whom the History of the Regale is of no consequence.

the facrifice of the true interest of France to what they demanded in return, namely, the revocation of the edict of Nantes, and the persecution of his Protestant subjects, by which multitudes of the most useful of them were driven into other countries; of which salse policy France hath severely selt the effects.

Such being the malignant effect which Popish principles have upon civil government, even in the hands of arbitrary monarchs, who might be supposed to have the most immediate means of controuling their exorbitant claims and pretensions, it behaves us to consider what might be the consequence of its gaining an establishment in a state whose constitution is founded upon the most generous principles

of public liberty, the very idea of which must be

totally extinguished, before way can be made for the very lowest of its usurpations k.

Our

k As the civil government of this country hath no points to fettle with the Pope, on the subject of distinct powers and privileges, like that of the Regale in France, the active obedience of British and Irish Roman Catholics, who pledge no faith or allegiance to a Protestant government, must be wholly engrossed by the Head of the Church Hence it is obvious, that " the " passive submission of Papilts to Protestant civil establishments " unser which they happen to live," which has been made an argument by their late apologists for tolerating Popery in Great Britain and Ireland, is not the effect of their religious principles. but merely of the coercion of our laws. The pope, as Head of the Church, is alone the Lord and Master of every British and Irifb. Papift; and, by the fundamental principles on which his authority is erected, no such Papist must even be passively submissive to a prince or government declared by the faid Pope to be heretical, without his special dispensation: and how such dispensation must be qualified and limited may be easily conceived. The free toleration of tuch perion in a Protestant state, can never be justified by any rules of found policy. But, even granting

Our reforming forefathers were perfectly sensible of this, and were proportionably thankful for their deliverance from so destructive a superstition. We of this generation, who contemplate Popery only in historical narrative, or in the twilight of a partial practice, have but a faint and obscure notion of their joy and transport upon its expulsion from this land of liberty, when they found themselves set free from the Tyranny of the Bishop of Rome, and all his detestable enormities, as it is well expressed in the Litany of King Edward VI.

B It

granting that the passive submission of every Papist to every civil establishment is the doctrine of the church of Rome, how far ought this principle to recommend the Roman Catholics to their fellow-subjects of the British empire? Let this point be decided by one of the latest, but not the least valuable, of our ! ifforians, the incomparable Mrs. Catharine Macaulay. " A people whose " religious principles teach them active obedience and passive " fubmission to all civil establishments under which they shall " happen to live, whether those establishments are introduced " by fraud, violence, or common affent, whether calculated for " general or partial good, whether tyrannical or legal, may in-" deed, as proper tools of iniquity, be looked on with an eye " of favour by ill-defigning governors; but, on this account, " must be regarded with contempt, jealoufy, and aversion, by " a people who possess the blessings of Liberty, who know its " value, who are acquainted with the equal rights of men, and " understand the rational principles of government and subjec-" tion." Hift. vol. III. p. 78.

1" Some hard expressions (saith Dr. Heylin) there are of him "[the Pope] in the Book of Homilies, but none more hard "than those in the public Litany first published by King "Henry VIII, at his going to Roulogne; and esterwards retained in both Liturgies of King Edward VI, in which the people were to pray for their deliverance from the tyrange of the Bishop of Rome and his detestable enormities, &c. This was "conceived to be (as indeed it was) a very great scandal and

' offence

It appears, however, from some instances in our History, that the cultivation of this great Blessing came to be neglected much sooner than they, who reslect upon the peace and freedom which a thorough reformation from Popery should have brought along with it, may be apt to imagine. Some traces of a deviation from the spirit of our first Reformers may be discovered in the reign of Queen Elizabeth, and still more in the times of her immediate successors; and to this the mischievous fruits of the religious broils of those times may be very justly ascribed m.

The

"The Reformed in these times (says Mr. Strope under the year 1559) generally went upon this ground, that, in order to the compleat freeing the church of Christ from the errors and corruptions of Rome, every usage and custom practised by that apostate and idolatrous church should be abolished, and that all their ceremonies and circumstances of

[&]quot; offence to all those in the realm of England who were well " affected to the church of Rome, and therefore in the Liturgy " of Queen Elizabeth it was quite left out, the better to allure " them to the divine service of the church, as at first it did." Life of Archbishop Laud, Introduct. p. 19. Dr. Nicholls likewise (the learned Commentator on our Liturgy) calls it a rough expression, Pref. p. vi. It was, however, I apprehend, the view of our first Reformers to cure all the subjects of the realm of England of their affection for the church of Rome, with whose enormities the faid Reformers were well acquainted. And if, in the profecution of this endeavour, they thought truth their proper object, rather than politeness, who can justly blame them? The event shewed that this expedient, and some others of the fame fort, had but little effect in reconciling those who were well affected to the church of Rome to the service of the Reformed church of England.

[&]quot; religious worship should be clearly abrogated; and that the fervice of God should be most simple, stript of all that shew,

[&]quot; pomp, and appearance, that had been customarily used before; esteeming all that be no better than superstitious and anti-

The injudicious and, in the end, unhappy princes of the house of Stuart, had all of them the tame B 2 mistaken

" christian." Life of Archbe . Grindal, p. 28 Mr. Strype fays this upon occasion of Grinaul's scrupling the habits, and certain other ceremonies, upon his election to the See of London. The scruple arose in the days of K. Edward VI. and I cite Mr. Strype only as giving an account of the ground of it. The scrupulous in these matters not only found no precedents for things of this kind in the New Testament, but imagined at least that they there found fomething extremely unfavourable to any attempts to introduce them into Christian worship. Hence they concluded that no human power had authority to injoin them. Grindal however submitted, probably on the consideration suggested to him by Peter Martyr. But, being a man of great moderation, and, allowing for the circumflances of the times, of liberal fentiments towards those who differed from him. it is not to be supposed that he would be very severe in urging conformity upon others in whom he found the fame scruples that himself had once entertained; and from some instances of his lenity towards Diffenters in these matters arose, I conceive, the notion that be held the reins too loofe in this respect. Strype, u. C. p. 301. Archbishop Whitgift, who succeeded Grindal in the See of Canterbury, was of a different turn. He had not the fame idea with the Reformed mentioned by Strype of the ceremonies established in the church of England. It is to be supposed he saw nothing unfavourable to them in the scriptures of the New Testament, and at the same time thought that the church had a scriptural authority for injoining them. And thus far, whatever inconvenient diffentions might arise from his strict adherence to these principles, he did not appear, so far at his own judgment was concerned, to defert the Protestant principle of Scripture-authority, though he deviated from the fentiments of the first Reformers on this head, and is faid to have given occasion to the Papists to make a perverse use of his principles. See his Life by Strype, chap. xviii. p. 265. But in the next reign matters were carried to a still greater distance from the original Protestant principle. In the correspondence between King James I and Archbishop Abbot, concerning the Divorce of the Earl of Effex, the Archbishop had laid it down as incontestable, that " The Scripture directly, or by consequence, doth mistaken notions of uncontroulable kingly power, and all of them the same views of exalting it at the expence of the legal rights and privileges of their fubjects. But the principles of civil and religious liberty collected from the scriptures, which the Reformation had opene a and from the records of the English constitution, which began, about the accession of James I, to be more diligently examined, gave rife to a patriotic spirit of vigilance, which greatly indisposed the guardians of public liberty for submission to the illegal claims which were made upon them n. Some mistaken principles espouled

" contain in it sufficient matter to decide all controversies, espe-" cially in things appearaining to the church." Cafe of the Earl of Effex, and Lady Howard, p. 139. To which the King replied, " This, in my opinion, is propositio erronea, and one of " the Puritans grounds, without a better distinction or expla-" nation. For the orthodox proposition is, that the Scripture " doth directly or by consequence contain in itself sufficient mat-" ter to decide all controversies in points of faith and falvation." Ibid. p. 149. Now if ceremonies were not points of faith and falvation, as I think it was pretty generally allowed in those days, it followed from his Mefty's explanation, that the church had authority to decide controversies relating to those matters, exclusive of the Scripture. And upon this question indeed turned those religious disputes which occasioned to much of the miserable confusion in succeeding times, and which ended so iatally in the next reign.

a Not that the conflitution was not well understood in the foregoing reign; or that the patriots of those times were infenfible to infringements upon it. The contrary appears by what passed in Queen Elizabeth's last parliament concerning monopolies. But this Queen knew how to speak to her perliaments on those occasions, and, as Rapin Thoyras observes, " had the " good fortune to be believed, because the English, in her reign, " were in reality the happiest people under the sun. They saw " no defigns upon their liberties, nor any infringement of their

[&]quot; privileges encouraged." Hift. of Engl. Tindal's translation,

poused by particular divines, and inculcated by them, as far as their influence would reach, perfunded these misguided monarchs, that the unlimited prerogative of sovereign princes was a doctrine founded upon the scriptures, and consequently must be the doctrine of all Resormed churches. Hence it is that we find so many appeals to the sacred oracles in those things which were spoken and written in savour of arbitrary government on the part of kings, and of absolute submission to it by the people, during the reign of the Stuart samily.

B 3 But

8vo, 1731, vol. IX. p 215. K. Jamei's Speech to his first parliament gave a general diignit, and was an early prefage of what was to be expected from his notions of government. This, as the same Historian observes, " put the parliament upon their " guard," and confequently upon preparing themselves, by studying the principles of the English government with more accuracy, to obviate the claims that the new fovereign might make upon them. A patriotic femiment is recorded in the Journals of the House of Commons, vol. l. p. 156, to have been dropped in a conference with the Lords during this first parliament of King James, which Mrs. Macaulay (a very competent judge of the political learning of those days) observes, was extraordinary for this time, Hift. vol. I. p. 11. and shows that there was room for improvement in the general doctrines of civil government, as well as in those which related more particularly to our conflicution. King James Ist's pretentions and attempts promoted the cultivation of both, as appeared by the event.

o King Jumes II amused himself with this conceit to the very last. In his memorial or protestation against what should be done or omitted, in prejudice of his title at the peace of Ryswick, addressed to the Protestant princes of Europe, he says, "The princes of the communion of Augsburgh are obliged to repair the injury done to their religion, by an act which dishonours it [meaning his dethronisation]; and that they could not better do this than by procuring the re-establishment of a King dethroned contrary to the system of every Protestant commu-

But when it was found, that Protestants refused to abide by the false and fallacious interpretation of the scriptures, alledged for the support of arbitrary power, and that they appealed to the facred writings in their turn in justification of their afferting their legal rights and privileges; it became neceffary for the purposes then in view, to encourage other principles of religion and civil government, namely, fuch as might dispose the people to be more pliant to the yoke which the court-fycophants of those days were preparing for them.

For

" nion." Histoire de Camisards, vol. I. p. 18. Mr. Tindal, in his Continuation of Rapin, vol. XVIII, p. 380, fays, that "King " William had advice from the Hague, that King James's Me-" morials were so little considered there, that the offering an " answer to them would give them some credit, and that, with-" out that, they had none at all." But the French author, from whom I have cited a passage out of one of them, has likewise given us part of the aniwer which was returned by the princes to whom the appeal was made, to the following purpose. " That " his [King James's] degradation was founded upon his sub-" version of the fundamental laws of the state, the avenging of " which the English nation pretended belonged to herself; that " fuch is the constitution of her monarchy; and that every state " has its laws, for which it is not answerable to any other state; " that he ought to impute the Revolution in Great Britain to " his own ill conduct, or the necessity of the times, of which " the other princes of Christianity, of whatever religion they " should be, had no obligation upon them to change the scene." Hift. Camifards, p. 19. As both the Catholic and Protestant princes were, according to my author, unanimous in this answer, it is plain that neither of them thought religion had any thing to do in the business. Passive obedience and non-resistance have been called doctrines of the church of England in particular, and such of the members of that church as have opposed the oppressive and illegal measures of the Stuart-family have been reproached as deferting the principles of their religion: a reproach which, if it is just, must belong to every member of the church of England, who hath pledged his faith and allegiance to

For this purpose nothing could be better calculated than the religion of the church of Rome. which exacted a blind submission to all her dictates, and which had never refused to lend her aid to civil tyranny, upon condition of being supported, in her turn, by the authority and power of the fecular arm. And hence it was that Popery found for much indulgence from King James I, and his fucceffor, and that so many endeavours were used by fome churchmen of those days, to incorporate the fuperstitious modes and usages of the church of Rome with the public service of the church of England, as it was left by the Reformers under Queen Elizabeth. Happily the people were aware of the artifice. Their jealousies and apprehensions kept them out of the snare, gave a timely check to the progress Popery was making, and in the end brought down the refentment of an irritated nation

the present government. But they who will be at the pains to read Archbishop Abbas's wife and honest reasons for not licensing Sibiborpe's Sermon, Rushavorth, vol. 1. p. 434-444, will readily fee that the church of England owned no fuch doctrines, not even from the early days of James I, as appears by the censures passed upon Bishop Harfenet's Sermon, ib. p. 442. Dr. Worral, Chaplain to the Bistop of London, was weak enough to license Sibtborpe's sermon, band over bead, as the Archbishop expresses it. But afterwards consulting the great Selden upon it, he was told by that gentleman, " That if ever the tide turned, " and matters should be called to a reckoning, he would be " hanged for publishing such a book." Rujhworth, ib. p. 444. A certain proof that the church of England would not patronile the doctrines of it Some people have been willing to difown the members of the l'arliament of 1641, who opposed the arbitrary measures of Charles I, for sons of the church of England. But this is carrying party prejudice to an unreasonable length. The maxims of civil Liberty, on which they proceeded at the first, were neither more nor less than the principles of Archbishop Abbut abovementioned, exemplified in practice.

upon the heads of those who were the most active in the contrivance.

These, however, were not the last efforts made by the men, who, under the name of Protestants, entertained these principles. The doctrines of passive obedience to the state, and of submission to the authority of the church in its utmost latitude, were, by the means abovementioned, deeply rooted in the minds of confiderable numbers, and occasionally brought forth the pernicious fruits of civil oppression, and ecclesiastical persecution. At length, after many struggles and some disappointments, King James II found an opportunity of giving the last generation something more than a taste of what was to be expected, if ever Popery should once more become the established religion of Britain. On that occasion the eyes of the nation were opened at once; a deliverance was earnestly fought, and, by the bleffing of a kind Providence, happily found in the Revolution under the conduct of King William, when the enjoyment and fecurity of British Liberty were once more restored, and legal provision made, as much as possible, to prevent a return of those evils which Popish principles, backed by temporal power, have never failed to produce.

The penal laws enacted on that occasion against Popery have been called severe, and unreasonable, and some writers, under a Protestant mask at least, have seconded the Papists in pleading for a repeal of them. But there are two things which are commonly overlooked by those who frame arguments for such repeal.

nity of contemplating the naked features of Popery, Aripped of all disguise. They saw the bitter enmity

mity it bore to the civil and religious rights of mankind, and were consequently better judges of what was necessary for the future security of the British constitution, than we of this generation, who, thanks to a kind Providence, have had no such ex-

perience.

2. The Papifts who have demeaned themselves with any tolerable prudence have had no more to complain of from the severity of these laws, than if they were not obnoxious to them; and even the indifcreet zeal of others of them has been overlooked and consided at, through the lenity of the government, in cases where they have laid themselves fufficiently open, to justify the severest restraints prescribed by the law for the safety of the public. Hence we may learn to what degree the pestilent influence of Popish bigotry works upon the human fpirit, when even they who are tinctured with it cannot forbear provoking the very government which protects them not only in the peaceable enjoyment of their temporal property, but even in their improvement of it in many cases, equally with those subjects who gave the civil magistrate the utmost security for their allegiance P.

ever

P The fortunes which many Roman Catholics have made, and are fill making, in Trade, and in the professions of Physic and Law, are well known, as well as the equal justice they meet with whenever their property comes under litigation in our courts of Law, and that in cases where more than the interest of a particular subject has been at issue. The statutes relating to the succession of the next Protestant heir to the estate of a Popish recusant, during the life of such recusant, are not often known to have any material operation. And with respect to the right of presentation to benefices in the patronage of Papists, vested, by several statutes, in the two universities of Cambridge

ever may be thought of this indulgence in a patriotic view, it is greatly for the honour of the Protestant principle of toleration; at the same time that the returns the civil government meets with for it, afford the strongest reasons for not relaxing those necessary provisions which alone prevent the spirit of Popery from proceeding farther than

verbal infults upon our happy constitution.

To give a circumstantial detail of the disturbances this unquiet spirit of Popery hath given to our civil government, from the Revolution to the present times, would carry me to a tedious and a needless prolixity. Large extracts in proof of this perpetual agitation in bigoted minds, that are like the troubled sea that cannot rest, might be given from our domestic histories, and to these I must refer such as desire to have a more particular account.

The last defeat of the more open efforts of Popery to overturn our constitution, namely, the suppression of the rebellion in 1746, kept the Roman Catholics for a while in a state of apparent acquiescence. It was necessary, upon such an event, to conceal their sense of that disappointment, that they might not too much exasperate their loyal sellow-subjects, as yet sull of a generous resentment for this instance of Popish ingratitude to one of the

best

and Oxford, it is not always where the title comes into litigation, that the university's clerk is successful. Whence it appears, that the claimants under Popish Transfers have at least equal justice, as those laws are now modified. That the legislature, when these laws were enacted, thought the public highly interested in the objects of them, sufficiently appears from their several Preambles; and reason, common sense, and undeniable sacts, demonstrate, that they are equally interesting to the public, at this very moment.

best of princes. Yet even during this seemingly pacific interval, instances of Popish industry have by accident come to light, secretly at work to prepare the mine, against the time when the forget-sulness of past mischiefs, and inattention to manifest tokens of more in hatching, together with a new succession of men; who, being, for want of experience, unapprised of the genius and spirit of Popery, might be less vigilant over the precious deposit of civil and religious liberty; should give these dark working engineers an opportunity to spring it q.

Such,

⁹ See the Appendix No III, and a pamphlet intituled, Mr. A ___ d's Motives for renouncing the Populh and re-embracing the Protestant Religion, &c. published in 1758. This publication was incidentally occasioned by the controversy which arose between the late Mr. Bower, author of The History of the Popes, and some persons who questioned the sincerity of his conversion from Popery. The controverfy is before the public, and it is not to my purpole to meddle with the merits of it, so far as Mr. Bower's integrity was concerned. I beg leave only to make a few observations on some matters of fact, brought to light on the one fide and the other, in the course of the debate. Mr. Bower's personal character with respect to the two articles of religion and morals, was, without doubt, of great consequence to himself, and to such of his Protestant friends as had afforded him their countenance and patronage; and of some consequence too to the public, considering him as a writer in defence of the Protestant Reformation; the lets to indeed, as the press was left open to him to make his own particular defence. But this was not of any material importance to the public, in comparison with the mature and extent of the influence the Jesuits appeared to have obtained in this country, and the use and application of those funds of money, of which, by the accounts of both parties, they were then possessed. In Mr. A-d's pamphlet, facts are related, still more alarming to the friends of our Protestant estabiffirment, and these Mr. A- offered to confirm upon oath. Many persons, about that time, wished that some legal cogni-

Such, it is probable, the Roman Catholics and their abettors may esteem the present times to be,

fance might be taken of the discover es made by this gentleman. and that the whole scene of jestifical traine, of which for many interesting particulars had transpired upon occasion of this controverly, might be laid open and verified by public authority. It feemed to be a matter of general concern, that a fet of men refiding in this kingdom, belonging to a religious fociety, made up of immediate li gemen of the Pope, and fworn enemies to the Protestant religion, and to all the pattons and protectors of it, should have a considerable sum of money appropriated to the peculiar uses of their order and million, and consequently to likely to be employed in such michievous designs, as this Protestant state has always had reason to apprehend from men of their principles and dispositions. It could not be nothing to the public that these men " thould have their solemn annual affemblies, boaft of their numbers, and the fine appearance they " made on those occasions, of the great good that they and their " coadjutors of other orders did in the large and populous city " of London; - that they should triumph and exult upon any " political events, that teemed to them unfavourable to the in-" terests of Great Britain, and even appear in a military uniform " to testify their readiness to inlist with her enemies; -- that " they should make it their common practice to drink treasonable " healths, with menaces of revenge to the Protestant clergy, " and others who opposed the views of a Popish Pretender and " his adherents; and that they should even traduce the mini-" fters of state, and many great men of the kingdom, in those " times, as being of their religion" Motives, p. 58, 59, 60. fay nothing of the crimes imputed to them by Mr. A--d, on account of which, men of all religions are equally amenable to public justice, farther than just to observe that they who palliate fuch crimes, and screen the criminals upon pretended principles of religion, instead of being tolerated in the open protession of fuch religion, should be expelled from every civil society without the least hesitation. Had these matters of fact been properly traced by authority, immediately upon Mr. A—d's information thus given to the pulic, some at least of the offenders might probably have been discovered, and dealt with as they deserved; or else (what would have given satisfaction another way) Mr. d-d might have been convicted as an impostor, who, for fome

If we may judge of their fentiments by their late increasing activity and affurance in attempting to make

some particular interest of his own, thought proper to amuse and alarm the public with mere chimæras and inventions of his own brain.-Nay I here venture to touch upon another point suggested by this controversy of Mr. Braver? It is indeed a tender one, but worthy, in my humble opinion, of a little consideration from the public, in what way, I pretend not to judge. I have been informed, upon the authority of some gentlemen of good fense, and unquestionable honour, that they have, on their travels abroad, found, among the Roman Catholic clergy, men of learning and ingenuous minds, who have freely acknowledged a diffarisfaction with their own fystem, and at the fame time an inclination to take refuge in some Protestant country, where they might freely profess their religious sentiments, provided they could be fure of a subsistence. Might not some public provision for the maintenance of such converts be made without any impropriety, at least till, by some particular application of their respective ralents, they might be made useful to themselves and the community, without such expence to the public? Some establishment of this kind, wifely and cautiously conditioned, feems to me to bid the fairest for obviating, on the one hand, the complaints that have been made, that fuch conversions have not been sufficiently encouraged in this country, and, on the other, the reasonable jealousy that particular persons, especially in high stations, may entertain that their benevolence would be disappointed, and their patronage difgraced by the tergivertation of an Hypocrite or a Renegado. I find in a pamphlet, published in the year 1748, inituled, An Enquiry into the Behaviour of our great Churchmen fince the Reformation, &c. the following passage. "When there are any of " their missionaries" [meaning Roman Catholics] who, by " reading, conversation, and probity of mind, are disposed to " become converts to our church, how unhappy and difmal are "their circumstances? They are sure of teeling all possible " effects of the malice and revenge of the party they defert, " and have the discouraging prospect of neglect, coldness, and " fuspicion, with which we have thought fit to treat such con-" verts. With a very few exceptions, this hath generally been " the case ever fince the Revolution:" p. 21. Surely this writer, whoever he was, should have supported this peremptory make profelytes, and in the apologies that have been made for them in a variety of publications, particularly

reflection by a competent number of inflances, on the peril of being held injurious to his countrymen. That the party deferted by fuch converts hath molested them more or less by attempts either to bring them back, or to expose them to some hardship or scandal, is perhaps true in the case of every churchman who hath been converted from the Popish to the Protestant religion. But, fo far as I have been able to observe, or to learn from enquiry, coldness, neglet, or unreasonable suspicion, towards such converts, has not been justly or generally chargeable upon the present generation of British Protestants. There are and have been many remarkable examples to the contrary, among which the learned and respectable Dr. Courayer has a right to be first named. Father Piazza I personally knew at Cambridge. I have heard him acknowledge his obligations to particular patrons, and was an eye-witness of the regard paid him in the university, where, while I knew him, he procured himself a comfortable subfistence by teaching the Italian language. Dr. Aspinwall had nothing to complain of, unless some groundless fuspicions, which however did not prevent his enjoying a good preferment to the day of his death. I remember a venerable old clergyman of the name of Aylmer, beneficed in Lancasbire, who was a convert from Popery, and much respected and esteemed in that country, as long as he lived. There are others, no doubt, in the same circumstances, still living, who have no reason to complain either of the coldness or neglect they meet with in this country. But the most singular instance of favour to converts from Popery, to our purpose, is that of the late Bishop Hoadley, who being himself convinced of the sincerity of Pillioniere's conversion, would not withdraw his patronage from him, notwithstanding the suspicions expressed of the said Pillioniere. and the reflections cast upon the Bishop for entertaining him, by those who so warmly opposed his Lordship's principles. That proper caution should be used in giving credit or encouragement to converts from Popery, particularly ecclefiaftics, no one can deny, who recollects the instances of Anthony de Dominis, Jarrige, and others both at home and abroad. Stronger or more convincing marks of real conversion could hardly be given by men, than were exhibited in the writings of these two revolters: and whoever after these proofs of their conversion to the Protestant religion

particularly in news-papers; in which undoubtedly their policy, as children of this generation, is to be commended. The short rescripts conveyed to the public through these channels, in the form of Letters,

religion should have questioned their fincerity, must have been thought, by every equitable mind, utterly void of all Christian charity. That some of the pretended converts from Popery in these latter times have not been at all fitter to be trusted than these two, may be conjectured from some particulars in the late Lord Bishop of Winchester's Letter, concerning his Lordship's affair with Bernard Fournier. I do not mention Mr. Power's case as an authority one way or other. Great undoubtedly was his indifcretion in what is called his money-transaction with the Jesuits, which however, as the Jesuits are now so well known to have been traders in all kinds of merchandife, ought not to pass for more than it will fairly prove. But, however the matter might be taken by different parties while the litigation was on foot, every fuspicion of Mr. Bower's halting between the two religions ought now to subside. Mr. Bower died a sincere and pious Protestant, of which a complete and satisfactory attestation was given to the public by his respectable Reliet, in the London Chronicle of October 11, 1766.—Upon the whole, might not some Asylum be provided, at the expence of the public, for fuch Romish Ecclefiaftics as are disposed to come over to us, with a temporary fubfistence, till they could be properly employed in particular flations? Might not this Afylum be confidered as a place of probation, till these Refugees could procure proper and fatisfactory credentials of their former behaviour, and give fufficient proof of their fincerity in embracing the Protestant religion? I alk thele questions with absolute deference to better judgments, and only by way of suggesting something of an expedient to take away all occasion for any complaints of neglect on the one hand, and for any jealousies on the other, with respect to such The Roman Catholics leave nothing fhort which may either diffress or calumniate those who forsake their superstition. One curious method the Papists in Ireland take for this purpose hath been fignified in a late ingenious publication. It feems, they call renouncing the Romish, and embracing the Protestant faith, swallowing the scorpion. An expression well enough calculated to intimidate tender and superstitious minds. See Thoughts, Essays, &c. by C. Howard, Esq; p. 11. Let us Letters, feem to be calculated to make impressions upon a greater variety of readers, than arguments deduced in long and formal treatises, which are neither to be had at so easy an expence, nor so readily and generally dispersed among those who are

most likely to be influenced by them:

I do not mention it as a circumstance to be regretted, that Popish writers have had this free access to our public prints. Such liberty can never turn out to the disadvantage of the Protestant cause, provided its advocates may be fairly heard in their turn, and have liberty to answer in the same way q.

Nor

take the hint to counteract this policy. by giving all possible encouragement to serious and sincere converts from the church of Rome.

q "What! (will the reader fay) can it be made a question, " whether Protestant writers may have free access to English " News papers, in defence of the established religion?" Let him judge for himself, after perusing the following particulars. In the Gazetteer of February 13, 1767, was a notification from the publishers of that paper in these words: " The two letters concerning the Papists, figned IMPARTIAL, we beg to be excused inserting; as we have very PARTICULAR REASONS for rejecting (for the future) every thing relative to POPERY." --- What might be the particular fubject of the letters figned IMPARTIAL does not appear; they might be for, or they might be against, Popery. But he determination, to reject every thing relative to Popery, extended the profcription to protestant as well as popish advocates for their respective systems. As this must have been matter of fome turprise to the public, somebody, it seems, thought proper to call upon the publishers of the News-paper for those particular reasons, mentioned in the notice above cited. To which, in the Gazetteer of Saturday, February 2, 1767, the following answer was returned: " After mature weiber tion, we must beg to be excused inserting the letter signed A PROTESTANT (occasioned by our notice of " declining, for particular reasons, any more letters about Popery") for fear of giving offence. Here we have a glimpse

Nor indeed have the Roman Catholics been negligent in improving this liberty to their own advantage, by such kind of art and management as the feebleness of their cause required. They have accordingly brought to these conflicts a double portion of assurance to bear them out in contradicting the most authentic historical facts, as well as of jesuitical chicane whereby to evade the scandal of

their deteftable principles.

The champion who hath figured in this periodical warfare with the greatest parade, is one who, under the signature of A Real Freetbinker, and with some equivocal efforts to pass himself upon the world for a Protestant, or at least for no Papist, published a series of Letters in one of the Daily News-papers, wherein the cause of Popery was glossed over with as plausible a varnish, as a little acquaintance with such writers as Cardinal Perron and the Bishop of Meaux could furnish; and that too, oftentimes, weakened by the Freetbinker's own modifications, the compounded produce of a confused head, and a conscious heart.

C But

But to whom could the letter of A PROTESTANT give offence, on the subject of Popery? To none but Papists or their favourers. To what a pass must we be come, while the Papists have sufficient interest to control the British Press, when writings in opposition to their principles and superstition are offered for publication, and to intimidate our printers with the consequences of giving them offence. It ought however to be mentioned, in justice to the publishers of the Gazetteer, that they have since got over their scruples, and exhibited several interesting letters on the Protestant, as well as the Popish side of the question

The Daily paper in which the Real Freetbinker's essays were retailed, was the Public Ledger; the publishers of which, to their

But I must here observe that our present controversy with the Papists does not turn, as heretofore, so much upon those theological points which distinguish the Protestant from the Popish religion, as upon the merits of a particular question, namely, whether, upon Protestant principles, the Roman Catholics, as they affect to stile themselves, are not intitled to as full and free a Toleration in Great Britain, as other sects or churches, who dissent from the Ecclesiastical Establishment.

This debate has been very artfully brought on. The grand objection to the Toleration of Popery is merely of the civil kind; that is to fay, the ten-

honour, admitted several answers, which exposed, with great spirit and solidity, the dull malignant sophistry of the essayist; and at length drove him to the necessity of taking up the cause under a different fignature, which gave him an opportunity of contradicting himself, without the reproach of inconsistency: and when that would not screen him from his pursuers, he thought fit to drop the News-paper-contest, and to collect his real freethinking letters into a pamphlet, under the title of A Free Examination of the common Methods employed to prevent the Growth of Popery, where, by way of an Introduction and Notes, he had an opportunity of mangling, garbling, and variously falfifying and mifrepresenting those who had written against him, at his leiture; in which he hath been detected, and very properly chastised by an excellent hand in the London Chronicle, under the name of Old Milton; as likewise by some others. "We must however" (to borrow the language of Dr. Middleton) " allow these men to act like generous adversaries, in referring the merit of their " arguments to the trial of the Press; which, in all countries " where it can have its free course, will ever be found the surest " guardian of right and truth, and to which this particular " country, among the many great bleffings which it enjoys, is " manifettly indebted for one of the greatest, its deliverance from a Popish slavery; as all our Histories testify, from the " Reformation down to this day." --- And for this very reason, when these men or their abettors pretend to controll the Press, as in the inftance, note 9, it is high time to check their infolence.

dency

dency of their tenets to subvert the civil as well as the religious rights of mankind in general, and in particular the security we of this country have for our civil and religious liberties, under those laws upon which the Protestant settlement of our present

government depends.

If this could be proved to be a mere prejudice. and if it could be shewn that the doctrine and discipline of the church of Rome had no fuch tendency: but that, on the contrary, a good Papist was bound by his religion to be as obedient and peaceable a subject to a Protestant as to a Popish Government, the great Protestant Principle of tolerating all sells, whose dollrines do not interfere with the peace and order of the civil government, must take place with respect to Popery, equally as with respect to any other fect. And accordingly the matter of fact being presupposed, these popish writers have, with all freedom, urged the Protestants with the consequences of their own principles, and, as they have occasionally expressed themselves, turned the tables upon them .

C 2 The

But as there is nothing more difficult than for Popery to weat the mask of moderation for any long time, it appeared by an incidental discovery, that they wanted to turn the tables upon us with a witness. The case was this: The great popular reproach which the Papists and Jacobites cast upon King William, and which they found made the strongest impression upon the common people, was, that he was a foreigner; an objection to which the supposed son of James II was not liable. To ridicule this idle and mischievous distinction, Daniel de Foe published his samous satire called The True-born Englishman. What reception this poem and its author met with in their day need not be mentioned. This prejudice of the people, however, continued to be managed and somented occasionally by the disaffected, against the two first Princes of the House of Brunswick during the time

The author who made the most of this kind of argument, was one who called himself a Protestant, and under that candid appearance published a book,

they filled the throne of Great-Britain. All this while the Trueborn Englishman was alive and merry with his Holiness at Rome. Upon the demise of his late Majesty, our present most gracious Sovereign George III succeeded to the imperial crown of these realms, who, being born among us, filenced, to the great mortification of the Papitts and Jacobites, the malevolent objection which had been made to his illustrious ancestors on account of their foreign birth. On January 1, 1766, died the old Chevalier, leaving his pretensions (not alas! to a True-born Englishman, but) to a native of Italy. The True-born Englishman was now happily in possession, and the popula Aspirant a foreigner, and liable to all the objections which the party had thrown upon our Glorious Deliverer on that account. Now therefore was the time for them to turn the tables, and the opportunity was not to be loft. Immediately they republished De Foe's Satire on the True-born Englishman, printed, as is said in the title-page, for R. Richards, next the Cross-Keys Tavern, Holbourn, 1765; which however did not make its appearance publicly till after the demise of the old Chevalier. But left the design of this publication should be mistaken, they took care to prefix to the poem a print of a young personage, who, though without a name, was fufficiently described by the adjoining insignia, namely, a shield, charged with the arms of the Sobieski-family, with this motto, Sequi finemque tueri. Underneath the shield is the bust of Charles I, and at the bottom the following verses:

" Few know my face, tho' all men do my fame;

"Look strictly, and you'll quickly guess my name.
"Thro' desarts, snows, and rain, I made my way;

" My life was daily rifqu'd to gain the day.

" I make no promifes to those that keep none."

The copy from which these particulars are taken, and which is now before me, was bought at a popish bookseller's in January, 1766, as soon, it is believed, as any of them were exposed to sale. Be this at it may, we have here pretty strong evidence what the Papists mean by turning the tables upon us.

intitled,

intitled, The Trial of the Roman Catholics of IRE-LAND; wherein the writer's point was, to exculpate the Irifh Papists from the guilt of the horrid Massacre of Protestants in 1641. And the conclusion in view was, that the Irish Papists, standing acquitted as to the fact, the motives upon which those inhuman butcheries were committed, and the principles upon which they have been supposed to be vindicated, were wrongfully ascribed to the doctrines of Popery; which, according to this writer, are perfectly consistent with the prosoundest submission to civil government, even when administered by those whom the church of Rome esteems to be Heretics.

C 3

About

t The just and acute strictures of Mrs. Macaulay upon this artful performance, vol. III. of her excellent History, are fushcient to expose it to the contempt of the public, without any farther remarks. The same topic has been taken up by the Author of the Free Examination above mentioned, with a particular virulence against a writer in the Public Ledger, who signed himself F. W. and who, by that, and fome other circumstances, seems to be the learned Dr. Ferdinando Warner, whose History of the Rebellion and Civil War in Ireland hath indeed, in some infrances, feafonably confronted the Real Freetbinker (metamorphosed into a Citizen of the World), on the subject of the Irish Maffacre. But if the faid Citizen is not fatisfied with the gentle manner in which the doctor hath represented these matters, he must be hard to please. For tho' the learned Historian hath observed, that, before the Rebellion broke out, the Papitts had " nothing to fear from the administration, and no animolities as " to interest or religion appeared to subsist among them; with-" out the least pretence of a quarrel, or the apprehension of any " hostility by the Protestants," (which seems to be cause of the Citizen's intemperate wrath against F. W.) yet the Citizen must be very unreasonable if he is not appealed by Dr. Warner's moderation, who permits himself to doubt whether Sir Phelim O'Neil's cruelties, committed in the progress of the Rebellion, " should leave any stain upon his religion." Hift. p. 106. This, About the same time (that the Papists of England might not lose the benefit of the pleadings in the Trial) appeared a pamphlet intituled, Confiderations

This, furely, leaves the field open to this Real Freethinking Citizen, to prove, at his leisure, and after bis own manner, that neither the interests nor the principles of Popery had any concern in the Irish Rebellion from the beginning to the end of it. The Papists, who are more than commonly zealous at this period to wash the bloody stains from their predecessors of 1641, know very well what they mean by it, and others are no strangers to the end they aim at. But it had much better become their present pretensions, to have generously condemned the cruelty of their forefathers, and, by declaring their abhorrence of such ractices, to have convinced those into whom they desire to instill a better opinion of their religious and political principles, that those principles are now very different from what they were in the days of Charles I. By taking the contrary course, and attempting to discredit the plainest and most authentic facts by incompetent evidence, they have gained nothing but the reproach of a shameful inconsistency, while apologizing for the outrages of the Irish Papists in forty-one, by the provocations they received from the government, they destroy that argument for their farther toleration, which rests upon the supposition, that their religion is calculated to promote absolute passive submission under every fort of government; and shew us in the most glaring light, that Popery is still the same, wearing the mask of peace and gentleness no longer than an opportunity offers to use the instruments of zeal and vengeance against those Heretics who for the present may have them in subjection, by the force of laws, and the advantage of numbers; which accordingly we find it is their aim and their policy to leffen as fast as they can, by numerous conversions to their fuperstition. But even this vizzard of decency and prudence will only fit the countenances of the betterbred Roman Catholics. The popish vulgar are less scrupulous in avowing their spleen and enmity against both the government and religion of Protestants; and are, generally speaking, open enough to acknowledge that they owe their fentiments on these subjects to the pious instructions of the very same priests whose ministrations are attended indifferently by the rich as well as the poor. The indifcretion, indeed, of the ruder and less refined herd,

fiderations on the Penal Laws against Roman Catholics in England, and the new acquired Colonies in AMERICA; in a Letter to a noble Lord, by a Country

herd, the better fort of Roman Catholics are obliged to disown on critical occasions. A notable instance of which we have in a pamphlet afcribed (against a hundred probabilities to the contrary) to the Lord Viscount Tuafe, entitled, Observations on Affairs in Ireland from the Settlement in 1691 to the present time. The question was, whether the Irish Catholics were under any engagements to join Mr. Conflans, in case his projected descent upon Ireland in 1759 had taken place. To prove that they were not, their Addresses to the Lord Lieurenant are referred to; but as it had appeared that the Irish Catholics bud early intelligence of the design of the French Admiral (a fort of intelligence, by the way, which is not usually first fent to people of the lowest class), and were rhereupon disposed to triumph and infult, this affair of addressing became but a kind of equivocal proof of loyalty, when fet against facts, of which there had been so many witnesses. Something therefore must be said to these facts, or all was over with this argument, and that is thus ordered in the pamphlet, p. 18. "What a few desperate men might hope from " fuch an event, and what they would have done in confe-" quence of its taking place, is here out of the question." By no means, till it is shewn that their desperate men were not Roman Catbolics, and that they had not both their intelligence and their bope from Roman Catholics less desperate than themselves. Till that is cleared up, these circumstances are not haid out of the question, but begged, as a main part of it, which however we cannot agree to grant. Under this reprobation of desperate men, are the attempts and intentions of the gentry called White-Boys, endeavoured to be shifted from the more respectable Irish Roman Catholics, p. 20, 21, of this same pamphlet; probably enough with some success among the credulous English. But what the well-affected Irish Protestants thought of it, the reader may judge by an authentic paper in the Appendix, No IV. The pamphlet before me informs us in a note, p. 20, that " A Candid Inquiry " on this subject was then in the press, and would speedily be " published, and to that the noble Author [or bis Amanuenfis] " refers." This pamphlet hath fince appeared under the title of A candid Enquiry into the Causes and Motives of the late Riots in

Country Gentleman. It must be acknowledged that there is, in this pamphlet, much more temper and civility than in any others that have appeared

on

the Province of Munster in Ireland, by the People called White-Boys or Levellers; with an Appendix containing other papers on the same subject, in a Letter to a noble Lord in ENGLAND. This pamphlet I have read, and must think, either that his Lordship had never perused it, or that he was very ill advised, when he referred to it. To pass by this Enquirer's affurance in reprefenting the circumstances in the case of Nicholas Sheeby the Priest and his accomplices, as more favourable towards proving their innocence, than those in the case of the French Protestant Calas, [Pref.] and thereby preferring the equity of that execrable prosecution to the Justice of Ireland in the condemnation of Bridge's murderers. To pass by his modelt request to his correspondent, to believe no body but the Enquirer himself,—he hath totally deprived Sheeby the Priest of the benefit of Lord Taafe's apology, of being one of a few desperate men, &c. by an Extract from *xji www s Magazine for April 1766, at pag. 58 of his pamphlet; where we are informed that Sbeehy "enjoyed an income of two " hundred pounds a year." In the same page we are told that Spechy the Pries "appeared in the character of a plain man, " free from defign" But this the pamphleteer himself forbids us to believe, and characterises him as " a giddy, officious, but " not ill meaning man, with something of a Quixotifb cast of " mind, towards the relieving of all those, whom he fancied to " be injured or diffressed," p. 7. Circumstances tending to induce the strongest probability of his being capable of undertaking every thing laid to his charge. And as to his meaning, it could not be ill, where it was directed by a zeal for his religion. Pag 19 this confistent Enquirer pretends to be a Protestant: " Our religion, my Lord, says be, derives no benefit from the imposing on Papists opinions which are manifestly inconsistent with their avowed and most effential tenets." But a Protestant of common reading would have known, that the avowed and the ejential tenets of Popery are two very different things, as hath appeared to the whole world in the case of Bossuet Bishop of Meaux, and from the moral tenets of the Jesuits; a matter of fact which invalidates the whole force of his inferring the innosence of the lufferers, either from their principles or their dying protestations.

on that fide the question. No art or address is wanting to conciliate the minds of English Protestants either to the spirit or the principles of Popery;

protestations. In short, the very abstract of their trials, exhibited in the Appendix of this curious performance, exculpates the Judge and Jury who tried them from every the least suspicion of partiality or undue prejudice; as might be shewn by going into particulars. The repeated and confishent evidence of John Londergan or Lonergan, the boy of 19, is remarkable. boy's evidence no flews were raked, no gaols rummaged, nor was he found to be a stranger to the persons of the accused, when, confronted at their trial, p. 23. For " the court indulged the " prisoners to change their dress before Lonergan swore to the " identity of their persons; who, notwithstanding their disguise, " and their being mixed with a number of people, pointed them " out with the cryer's staff." p. 57. Pendergrast's evidence, in favour of the priloners, is totally overthrown by Tennison, p. 55. "The evidence of James Herbert for the crown, was very " circumstantial, and was not attempted to be invalidated, ei-" ther in regard to his testimony or his character," p. 56. But Edmond Sheeby declares, " he never faw Herbert till the day of " his trial," p. 63. Perhaps not in a pair of leathern breeches, or a blue coat, or not in a court of justice. But Edmond Sheeby could hardly be a stranger to Herbert's errand to the affizes, namely, to give evidence on the behalf of his accomplice Nicholas. At least our candid Enquirer was no stranger to it, when he attempted to vilify this man, p. 13. of his pamphlet. But does he consider that the more black he makes Herbert, the more fuspicions he creates of the fort of witnesses picked up in behalf of his favourite Sheeby the priest? This was probably the prudential confideration, which restrained Edmond Sheeby's council from any attempt to invalidate Herbert's testimony on Edmond's trial, and Edmond himself from making use of that circumstance, of never seeing Herbert before, till he was just going to execution. And yet, possibly, that very supposition would have overthrown every thing Herbert had to fay in favour of Father Sheeby, had his evidence been received on the behalf of that prieft. One might bring many more inftances of this Candid Enquirer's self-confutation, which, for the avoiding of prolixity, must be omitted. One remark, however, I cannot pals

to

pass by, upon the sub-enquiry of the public-spirited and protestant-bearted M S. Esq; exhibited in the Appendix, p. 25. The persons [meaning the rioters of 1762] were Papists, and " the violence broke out in the time of war. As Papifts, we " know them hurried on by a blind religious zeal, and believe " them, in general, as different from Protestants in political as " religious fentiments. We are not quite mistaken in this " judgment of them; but we are often widely so in the con-" sequence we attempt to draw from it; That they are ever " ripe, for rebellion, and if any opportunity offered, would maffacre us in our beds," p. 27. But furely there could be no wide mistake in attempting to draw the consequences mentioned in the present case. " The Irish Papists, in general, are hur-" ried on by a blind religious zeal, and are as different in po-" litics from Protestants as in religion." That is to say, they are equally hurried by a blind zeal in politics as religion. Irish Papists turn riotous at a time when the protestant government is at war with a popish enemy, and when the said Papists [p. 29] might have expected a foreign aid. What hinders us then from concluding, that these rioters of 1762 (and the prefent question concerns these only) were ripe for rebellion, or who can pretend to fay, what they would not have done, urged on by these principles and dispositions, if a farther opportunity had offered? Upon the whole, nothing could have been more unfortunate for the Observations ascribed to Lord Taaffe, than the publication of this pamphlet, upon which fo much of the credit of the Observations is made to depend. That the better fort of Roman Catholics kept fair with government both in England and Ireland, during all the various disturbances given by the White Boys, is neither to be doubted nor wondered at: nor was it adviseable for the government to discover what sentiments they entertained of all those professions of Roman Catholic loyalty, on all occasions. But what those fentiments were, appeared fufficiently at a proper time; namely, in the Lord Lieutenant's admirable Speech to the Irish parliament, Odober 1763, part of which I shall here transcribe, as a full anfwer to so much of the pamphlets above-noted as relates to to which, as this writer will have it, the church of *England* is indebted even for the scriptures of the Old and New Testament; besides other articles of consequence to our present ecclesiastical constitution, which are there particularly enumerated u.

But,

the case of the rioters in 1759, 1762, and 1763. "No means can serve more effectually to prevent these disorders for the future, than the encouragement of such institutions as tend to impress on the minds of the lower order of people, early habits of industry, and TRUE PRINCIPLES OF RELIGION, For this purpose your PROTESTANT CHARTER SCHOOLS were established; to which I THEREFORE recommend the continuance of your care, encouragement, and support." This, I suppose, is decisive, and sufficient to shew in what degree fulse principles of religion (which by the way, are not peculiar to the lower order of people) were understood by the government to contribute to those disorders.

government to contribute to those disorders. This enumeration is as follows; "The clergy of the efta-" blished church, received the sacred deposit of the Old and " New Testaments from their Roman Catholic predecessors. " It is from them also they are ambitious of deriving their " mission and ordination; and the labours of Father Courager " for that purpose, have been received with applause. Every " positive article of belief is, in a manner, taken from the Roman Catholic faith; and besides the Holy Bible, the rule " of that faith remains in part the same, as the Apostles Creed, " the Athanasian Creed, the four first General Councils, and a " regard for the authority of the ancient fathers of the church. "There is also nearly the same Hierarchy, the orders of Bi-" shops, Priests, and Deacons, and the like canons for fixing " the fubordination and ecclefiaftical discipline; the same books " are put into the hands of the laity; The whole Duty of Man, " Thomas a Kempis, The Introduction to a devout Life, and many " books of that fort, translated by Dr. Stanbope, and other emi-" nent divines. Likewise, the having a set form of public prayer, and very little variation in the prayers themselves, ex-" cept the difference of language, and what regards the inter-" cession of faints. The more minute or ceremonial part of " the former worship has also been preserved; the particular

But, what ever artifice this dextrous advocate may have thrown into this infidious composition he labours in common with the rest of his fellow-drudges in the same cause, under the incurable infirmity of inconsistency and misrepresentation in matters of fact *. It could indeed hardly be otherwise

" and decent garb of ecclefiaftics, the use of the surplice, of " the fign of the cross in baptism, bowing at the name of Jesus. "The same days are allotted for commemorating the myste-" ries of the Christian religion, organs, bells, and even the " placing the communion-table, the fituation of which was re-" gulated several different ways in Edward the VIth's reign ; " but when the church of England was established, it was fixed " in the old place, and reftored by fome to its more folemn name of altar. This fimilitude, I suppose, gave occasion to the s, church of England's being styled Calvino-papista, by the "German Lutherans. The calviniftical part is very much for-" faken, and the gloomy predeftinarian fystem is exchanged for " a more chearful and reasonable doctrine." Considerations, p. 62, 64, 65. Thus we see even this artful writer, while he is foliciting a favour from our rulers, cannot relift the temptation of fneering the church of England, for her conformity to popery in so many material articles. It is indeed a clumfy banter enough, and so expressed, as to place bells and organs among the mysteries of the Christian Religion. But it is a representation well adapted to the capacity of the vulgar, and is of no small use to the Papists (to my certain knowledge) in their work of Conversion. A circumstance which requires our most serious attention; and more especially as the want of these Conformities among the protestant diffenters, give them the advantage of alledging at least, that for one convert to Popery gained from them, there are hundreds won from the church of England.

Thus "the Protestants burnt in Queen Mary's reign, were guilty of Treason. The Gunpowder-conspirators were few—acted consessed against catholic principles, and expressed their forrow and repentance for it at their execution. In the Irish Massacre none but the lowest class of people were guilty of the cruelties complained of on that occasion." But as there were facts upon which our Considerer could not wholly depend, he has another string to his bow, and, according to

wise with any of them, when one considers the paradox they have to support, which is at the bottom neither more nor less than that the penal laws against

former precedents, " ascribes the Powder-plot to the artifices of " Cecil, the Irifb Rebellion to the frenzy of men made desperate " by the oppressions of civil government, and the Tyranny of " James II to the counsels of Lord Sunderland." But the pinch of the case lay here: All these attempts for the suppression of Herefy were, in the event, unfuccefiful, fave only that of Queen Mary, and she acted by Law. "The principal persons who tuffered in Queen Mary's reign (fays this gentleman) might have " been punished for bigh treason, but she chose to have them " condemned for berefy, that so the punishment might fall on " those she deemed the enemies of the Almighty, rather than con-" fider them as offenders against herself and the state," p. 20. SHE deemed! Did not the church deem them so too? were not the laws then in force against Herefy in this kingdom? are not the laws fill in force in all Roman Catholic countries against Herefy founded upon the same principle? " But Cardinal Pole— " disapproved of all violent proceedings." Why then did he not put a ftop to them? (for he certainly had it in his power.) And what does this prove, but that, whatever the natural disposition of the Man might be, the principles of Holy Church admit of no lenity in cases of Herefy; and the principles of Holy Church must be the principles of the Priest. - " As all English Catholics do at this time," favs this Considerer. But why English Catholics? Are not English Catholics of the same religion with French, Spanish, Italian, German, Irish Catholics? Have they different notions of Herefy, or different fentiments of the Authority of the church by which Herefy is defined and censured? If so, what becomes of the Unity of the church ?—But here again the reason is at hand; if English Catholics were to avow their approbation of violent proceedings, their wickedness might come to fall on their own pate, as it hath done on former occasions. But what does this gentleman mean by violent proceedings? When Lewis XIV was drugorning his Protestant subjects into the Catholic faith, by all the barbarities implied in that expressive word, the French writers had the affurance to affirm, that all their converfions were made by methods of lenity and sweetness, and that no severities were used upon those occasions. Among others, Boffuet Bishop of Meaux afferted this in a Pastoral Letter to the new converts against Papists in Great Britain bave for their object opinions purely religious, whereas what the Protestants call persecutions under Popish governments, is nothing

converts of his diocese in 1685. But, glaring and notorious mafters of fact having given the lie to his representation, it was expected that he would expunge that passage in the 2d edition of the faid letter. " They write from Paris, (fays Mr. Bayle,) " that Mr. de Meaux will cancel, in the 2d edition of his Pastoral " Letter, the paffage where he fays to the new Catholics of his " Diocese, that they have suffered no violence in their goods or in " their persons, and that he has heard other Bishops say the same " thing." Now. de la Rep. des Lettres, Juin. 1616. p. 736. Inflead of this, the Bishop, in another piece, published soon after, folemnly called God to witness, that he had, in that Pastoral Letter, spoken nothing but the truth. (See Bower's Summary View, p. 149.) Which is no otherwise to be understood, confiftently with the credit and veracity of this Catholic Prelate, but by supposing that in his ideas the methods of dragooning were not methods of violence. In what high estimation the Bishop of Meaux's sentiments are held by the English Catholics, hath appeared in many of their late publications; we shall therefore do them no wrong in supposing their ideas of violent proceedings to be the same with those of this Prelate. But the point this writer wants to infuse into the present generation, without any proof but a few examples of changes of fentiments in fimilar cases, is the tender affection the Catholics have for his present Majesty. It is a great pity he did not bring, as an instance of it, their re-publishing The True-born Englishman, with the decorations abovementioned. One of his arguments is as follows: " Not many " years ago, one of the Univerlities was branded with the charge " of being paved with Jacobites; and yet, in how high a degree of royal favour do the members of that body feem to fland at " present?" Well, and what then? Why then, "there are many " other inftances of the like changes." Therefore, I suppose, the Roman Catholics ought to fland in an equally high degree of Royal Favour. Have then the Roman Catholics changed their political fentiments, as he infinuates the members of the University to have done? That, with all his flourishes " of the " fensibility of his Majesty's Roman Catholic subjects, of his " goodness, clemency, and moderation," he ventures not to

they

affert. There is no consequence then to be drawn from this supposed parallel case, in favour of the Roman Catholics; and the only ute he could possibly make of the instance he here brings, would be the supposition, that the University seems to stand in this high degree of Royal Favour, without changing her political fentiments. And whether this would be a more decent compliment to his Majesty's Government or to the University, the reader will judge. Upon the whole, all infinuations of their affection to his Majesty's person and government, are but mere pretence, while his Majesty's religion lies with them under the imputation of Herefy, and that Herefy is excluded by the church This writer endeavours indeed to represent from toleration. this matter otherwise, and tells us, p. 3. that, " except in the " extremities of Europe, Spain, and Sweden, the benign spirit of " moderation is gaining ground." Unfortunate apologist! the vengeance so lately attempted to be taken on Mr. Marmontel in France, by the Doctors of the Sorbonne, for his noble sentiments on Toleration, in the 15th chapter of his Belisurius, too visibly confute the supposition. " Mr. Marmontel, say the accounts " from Paris, in a letter to the Archbishop, offered to retract it "[this 15th chapter], if necessary; but the Divines are inex-" orable, and are determined on proceeding against him." Lond. Chronicle, Apr. 4, 1767. Will our Considerer tell us, that these are bigots, who act both against reason and principle? Hardly; for they are Priests, and their authority is facred with every one of their persuasion, even by the confession of this very writer. For in answer to those who ridicule the Papists for sacrificing their reason to the authority of the Priest, he does not deny the charge, but apologizes for it thus: " In this they [the Papifts] act much " in the same manner as the rest of mankind in their most im-" portant concerns; the client is directed by his lawyer in what " regards his property, and makes so little use of his reason, " that the counsellors frequently do not alledge the motives on " which they found their opinions. How blindly do the wifest " men follow the advice of the physician, when their health and " life itself is at stake? Why should those alone be ridiculous, " who, in matters of religion, consult that order of men, who " are supposed to have made that the study and business of their they should be driven to the necessity of misreporting and misrepresenting facts and characters on both sides, and contradicting each other in blackening on the one hand, and varnishing on the other the men and things which fall in their

way.

But, in truth, the best of these writers were but under-workers, employed occasionally to smooth the road, and to open a more disembarrassed and expeditious passage for their capital work. The Life of Reginald Pole, by Father Philips, who, in order to magnify his Hero, and his coadjutors in the sacred cause of the church, makes not the least scruple to calumniate every person and every measure that contributed to the Protestant Reformation.

On the other hand, Cardinal Pole's virtues being represented as the effect of his religious tenets, the man himself is to be considered as a perfect exemplar of the excellency of the Roman Catholic religion, which must of course be exculpated from all those horrid doctrines charged upon it by the Pro-

testants.

lives; and they, as the learned Bishop of Meaux observes, are not to impose any new article of belief, but only to inform their flock what was the doctrine of Christ and his Apostles?"

p. 10, 11. But how if the flock is not satisfied that the Priests give this information faithfully? What hinders the flock from informing themselves in such a case, by a fair examination and comparison between the doctrines of Christ and his Apostles, and the doctrines of the Priests? To this we have no answer. But we must suppose these Priests at least give this information with sincerity, and to the best of their judgment, Whence it will follow, that these Priests of the Sorbonne, who are for prosecuting Mr. Marmontel, are persuaded that Intolerance is a doctrine of Christ and his Apostles, and that the doctrine of Moderation is a downright Heresy.

There is fomething extremely remarkable in the circumstances attending the first appearance of this History. " The " tirle-page, we are told, proclaims its publication at Oxford; " and from this circumftance many an unwary reader hath been " led to imagine that it came out juffu or permissu superiorum."-But the true account we have of this matter is as follows. " Tho " work, prepared for the prets, was in form brought to the " Vice-chancellor, and submitted to his inspection; by his order " it was perused and examined; it was centured and rejected as " a production unfit to receive the fanction of our Univerfity-" prefs .- But Mr. Philips feeming refolved that Oxford should " not be deprived of the intended honour, gains an easy access " to another press in the city, where no imprimatur or approba-" tion was required : in this manner the work came into the " world." See the Preface to Papifis and Pharifees compared, by JOHN BURTON, D. D. The Vice-chancellor not having authority over this other press, the University are doubtless well justified from any suspicion of giving countenance to this publication. The matter is indeed to left in this apology, as if it still was at Father Philip's option where his book should be published. I have been informed, however, upon pretty good authority, that the author would not have gained easy access to any Press in Oxford, but thre' the interest of a Patron fince decealed, whose connexions with Philips laid him under some groundless imputations of dying in communion with the church of Rome. As to the book itself, it hath received answers from several learned pens, which have attacked it with success, but from none with more execution than the compilers of the Critical Review, for June 1764, and January 1765, who close their ftrictures with the following just remark. " The world has feen many ground-" less, impudent Apologies for a church or a state in tull posses-" fion of power, without the author's deigning to authenticate " the facts; but this History of Cardinal Pole is the most impu-" dent as well as ill-judged intult that ever was offered to the " understanding of a people, among whom Papists are now un-" der legal disabilities."

As the hopes and expectations of the Papists as bout the time this work was published were not generally known, it was a matter of surprize to the public, whence the Roman Catholics, who for some time past had affected to express their deference for the present government, should derive the assurance to calumniate the Protestant Reformation in so open and prosligate a manner. It was not long, however, that we were suffered to remain in this state of suspense. It was published by the Roman Catholics about that time, that encouragement was given them to hope that so considerable a mitigation of the penal laws against them would speedily take place, as would amount to a full toleration 2.

What

To their boasting of this I can speak with the more certainty, as I had an instance of it myself, in return to a message I had fent to a busy priest stationed in my own parish, and who had faid to my face, upon a former expostulation, that, " fince the "Government thought proper to make no inquiries who fre-" quented their worship, he did not see what business I had with " it." To this I could only reply, " that I did not wonder a " Jesuit should think it bis business to convert my beretical pa-" rithioners to Popery, and that it was not my bufiness to inter. " fere with him; but that I apprehended a Protestant Government must have different notions of our respective provinces." I must own that some things have been incautiously enough thrown out in the News-papers, as well as in publications of other forts, as if the affiduity of Popish Emissaries might well pass, without the notice or the concern of the public, upon account of the little fuccess they have had in making converts; and posfibly the priest above mentioned, and others of his class, might conclude from fuch intimations, that Government would connive at them, whatever lengths they should go. They have by this time, I suppose, found their mistake. His Majesty's Protestant Subjects, I truft, will never confider the article of profelyting their Fellow-Protestants to Popery as a matter of indifference, nor will their Governors in church and state be inattentive to

What grounds they had for this prefumption is likely enough to remain a fecret, as some of those upon whom the accomplishment of these expectations was faid to depend, were foon after out of a condition to keep their word with them, if ever they gave it; and, if ever they gave it, it ought to be the daily prayer of every faithful subject of his Majesty, that none of the same spirit and principles may ever be

in a condition to make it good.

It is natural, however, for men, even after their disappointments, to harg upon a flattering prospect as long as ever the least glimpse of it remains in fight, and to amuse themselves with hopes, that the obscurity which for the present intercepts it may, at some favourable criss, once more clear off: and with this perfuafion, it was not at all unaccountable that the Papists should still keep on foot the arguments in favour of the toleration they defire, by way of prepoffessing the public mind, against the time when the project may be revived with best ter success. And this doubtless is the motive upon which we have been entertained, of late, with full more of these ingenious essays from Roman Catholic pens.

In the mean time, it becomes us to be upon our guard against every attempt (however specicusly coloured) of a vigilant and infidious adversary,

their apprehensions of this fort, when there shall appear sufficient grounds for them, notwithttanding the impatience of the Roman Catholics under such controul; of which they have just now given us a specimen, with as much additional provocation, by abusing and mifrepresenting our Protestant Reformation, as can be crowded into a pamphlet of 172 pages, intituled, An Apology for the Catholics of Great-Britain and Ireland, bumbly offered to the Confideration of the King's Most Excellent Majesty, and both Houses of Parliament, which is just come to my hands.

whose malignant intentions towards our religion and government will admit of no doubt. It may not therefore be unseasonable to take a cursory view of those pretensions to Toleration, which the Papists are now setting up, and which may, not improbably, make impressions upon those who meet with a new argument in favour of a popular error, when and where the resutation of it is not at hand. And as the Papists ground their claim to be tolerated in this country upon Protestant principles, it will be necessary to begin with examining into the grounds of the doctrine of Toleration, as it is expounded and professed among Protestants, such of them, at least, as adhere to their original principles of Resormation.

The plea of our forefathers for separating from the church of Rome was, that doctrines, forms of worship, and terms of communion, were imposed upon the members of that church, which were not only not prescribed in the scriptures, but were such as, in many instances, were plainly condemned by the word of God contained in those

scriptures.

Upon that occasion the scriptures were declared to be the only authentic Rule of Religion to Christians; and accordingly all the Protestant churches, as soon as they were formed, took care, in their public confessions, to reserve to the written word of God the exclusive honour of deciding all controversies, whether concerning points of saith, or circumstances of worship and discipline, that might arise among them.

This common principle set all Protestant churches, with respect to matters merely religious, upon a level. It gave indeed every man, as well as every cource, a right to examine, judge, and

determine

determine for himself, what he ought to believe as necessary to salvation, and in what manner to worthip God most acceptably to that end. A Piotestant church, which had made a general appeal to the scriptures for the truth of religion, in oppofition to the pretended authority of the church of Rome, could not find fault with any private Piotestant who should go to the same scriptures, either for his Creed or his Ritual, even though what he should, after examination, espouse of this kind, should differ from the Creed or the Ritual of that In fuch a case, compulsive particular church. measures to procure his conformity to the majority would be most abfurd and i consistent, and could have no better effect than either to make the man an hypocrite, or expose him to censures and penalties, which no Protestant church had more right to inflict than the church of Rome.

And if this was the case where only the liberty of a private Christian came in question, much more absurd and inconfistent would measures of compulfion be, when different churches and focieties should be in disagreement with each other upon points of religious controversy. It could indeed hardly be, but that one fide must be erroneous, and found their doctrines or their discipline on some mistaken fenie of scripture; but still the scriptures were the last resource of both; and therefore neither side could, upon the fole confideration of their being, in their own judgment, the more orthodox, proceed to moleft or censure the other on account of their diffent, without deferting the original Protestant principle, or indeed without justifying the church of Rome for persecuting those who opposed her decisions, even with the plainest evidence of scripture before them.

D 3

Thus

Thus stood the case with Protestant churches considered as societies merely religious. But as, in process of time, whole kingdoms and states separated from the church of Rome, and adopted the general principles of the first Reformers, and as, in consequence of this separation, it was thought necessary to give the main body of Protestants in every such kingdom or state the sace and character of an established church, it became farther necessary for that purpose, to take in the civil magistrate as a party, to give a sanction to such ordinances as were intended to regulate the religious discipline of a numerous society, united in one common reli-

gious profession

A d here began the question concerning mutual The establishment of one mode of toleia io 1. wo ship, and of one set of doctrinal prop fitions by the lauctio s of civil authority, implied the exclusion of all the rest. The excluded ficts would of course tall under several civil incapaciti s; at least, under a deprivation of every privilege appropriated by the civil magistrate to those who conformed to the establishment; and so far as this was the case, the common Protestant principle, namely, that the scriptures are the final resort in all questions concerning religion, would feem to be deferted. did not latisfy Dissenters to say, that, the settlement of doctrinal points being first left churchmen and divines, the civil magistrate no farther interfered than to give his folemn approbation of them, and for the rest undertook only to regulate external forms, and things indifferent in themselves, for the sake of order and decency. The Diffenters from the establishment constantly remonstrated, that churchmen or divines, as such, had no more right to settle points of doctrine for all the people, than the civil magistrate; that fettling points of doctrine was only another phrase for interpreting the scriptures, and that to take from the people the right of interpreting the scriptures for themselves, amounted in effect to the taking

from them the use of the scriptures.

With respect to the modes of worship, and external ceremonies, the question was, not, what the civil magistrate in his judicial or legislative capacity thought of their indifference, or of their conducing to order and decency; but what the dissenter thought of them when examined by the scriptural rules of discipline and edification. If, in the judgment of the dissenter, the established forms or modes of worship, or discipline, were inconsistent with those rules laid down in the scriptures, it was not the approbation of the civil magistrate that could authorize the dissenter's compliance with them. Private conscience in such cases becomes a party, the judgment on which God hath reserved for his own tribunal.

History informs us, that in the progress, and indeed in the beginning of the Reformation in some countries, these considerations were too frequently over-ruled, and the authority of the civil magistrate improperly, not to fay unwarrantably, interposed. In which impropriety they were too often abetted and justified by Protestant Divines, even so far as to give countenance to many detestable acts of perfecution, which fland recorded in authentic hiftory, to their great reproach. Every man of plain fense might see that these divines could not be justified in their own separation from the Roman church, but on account of hardships and impositions of the very fame fort with those they now obtruded on their Protestant-brethren. And, to speak impartially, tially, the miserable quibbles which have been employed by different Protestant communions to distinguish one of these cases from the other, have done nothing more for the men, than to leave suspicions upon their sincerity, or for their cause, than to shew what plausible colours may be put upon the very worst, by ingenious and interested advocates.

In these latter times, the doctrine of religious liberty has been more generally understood and more kindly entertained than heretofore; and though it cannot be denied that there are still remaining, in some Protestant communities, many unwarrantable reftraints and incumbrances upon Protestant diffenters from the established system, yet it must be acknowledged, that the original Protestant principle of mutual toleration hath recovered its credit, particularly in our own country, to a greater degree than could have been expected. And though this principle hath not yet operated to the full and effectual relief of all those conscientious dissenters who are intitled to it; yet it must be allowed, that the liberty they now enjoy of professing and practifing their respective opinions and discipline, is such as they who contrived the bulwarks of ecclefiaftical establishment in Protestant states, vehemently condemned, and had they lived in these times, would have opposed with all their power and interest.

The notion that missed our foresathers in this matter was, that, if more than one form of religion should be tolerated in a country where only one form of civil government was established, some disorder and confusion would ensue, pernicious to the peace and welfare of civil society; and no wonder, where the civil magistrate was so persuaded,

that

that fuch an apprehension should make deep im-

preflions upon him.

Churchmen indeed went upon other principles. Taking the word, CHURCH, in a certain fente, they concluded, it must have authority to judge and cenfure erroneous opinions, under the name of Herejy; differing herein from the popish doctrine on this head, in nothing but in their account of the constitution of the church to which they ascribed this authority, and such limitation of her powers, as excluded infallibility, rather perhaps in words and declarations, than in reality. On another hand, they imagined that schism, with respect to matters of discipline, was so precise and obvious an idea, that they made no scruple to class it at the head of these immoral offences which were understood to be the proper objects of ceclefiaftical correction.

With these reasons, alledged by the ecclesiastical powers for referving to themselves the punishment of herefy and schising the civil magistrate had little to do, so long as civil society did not suffer by these supposed offences. But being persuaded, by artful and ambitious ecclefiaftics, that herefy and schism were crimes which struck at the foundations of civil government, as well as at the peace and prosperity of the church, and having no experience of the benefits arising to the state from the free toleration of opinions and modes of worship which had no eal i fluence upon the commerce of civil fociety, the civil magistrate interposed, and gave his fand to the claims of church authority to inflice page is and censures on heretics and schilmatics; and determined and fchifm been the dangerous ' l things to civil government they were ret d to be, he would have rightly interposed.

terposed. But this was not the case, nor indeed could it be known that it ever would be the case, till some overt acts of heresy or schism had disturbed the civil peace and order of the community under his protection, which however he would have no reason to fear, while no religious society pretended to more than the full and free exercise of their Christian Liberty in matters of faith and

worship.

But then, on the other hand, whenever, under the pretence of conscience and religion, men, whether diffenters from the establishment or not, professed such principles and avowed them by their practices, as manifeftly broke in upon the peace and due regulations of civil fociety, the magistrate would then interpose his authority with the highest propriety. The fame scriptures which referve to the Christian his right of private judgment in matters purely religious, referve likewife to the civil magistrate his right of punishing evil-doers. The fame scriptures which take the conscientious Christian out of the hands of earthly judgment, with respect to his religious opinions and principles, by leaving him to fland or fall to his heavenly mafter. alone; deliver him over to the civil justice of his country, the moment he takes occasion from his principles to break in upon the peace and righteousness of civil intercourse. The fame fcriptures indeed make it the duty of the magistrate to fee that his fubjects lead quiet and peaceable lives in all bonefty, as well as in all godliness, and therefore can never be understood to countenance or abet the disturbers of public peace, or the transgreflors of the known rules of righteougness, upon any pretended plea of conscience. The Christian religion disclaims the province of regulating civil fociety, fociety, farther than that by instilling good principles, and reforming the manners of individuals, it provides in the most effectual manner for the peace and welfare of societies made up of Christian governors, and Christian subjects, and for the rest, confines itself to its proper office of conducting men to a kingdom which is not of this world.

These several cases might be illustrated by a variety of examples from different Histories. But there is one which seems to me more particularly opposite to the present purpose, as it refers to principles which were pretended to be derived from those of the Protestant Reformation. What I mean, is

the case of the A abaptists in Germany.

The principal and diffinguished tenet of this sect was, The Invalidity of Infant-Baptism, and the nenessity there was that their followers should be rebaptized, when they came to maturity, in order to make them qualified subjects of the kingdom of Christ. In support of this doctrine they alledged, the silence of the Scriptures with respect to Infant-baptism, and the incapacity of infants for making the necessary stipulations required on that solemn occasion. And upon these questions the controversy is kept on foot to this very time.

Had this denomination of differences conducted themselves as peaceable subjects of the state, and conformed themselves to the laws of that particular government under which they lived, and were protected in the enjoyment of their civil privileges, they would have been, upon the principles of the Reformation, as much intitled to toleration in professing their peculiar doctrine, and practising the ordinances they grounded upon it, as any other

Protestants whatsoever.

It is of very little consequence to the civil magigistrate whether a good subject has been baptized once or twice, or whether in his infancy, or when he came of age. This is a point which effects none but the man himself in his religious capacity. And even whole societies might, upon this question, separate in public worship from other religious societies in the same civil community, without being wanting in any one duty incumbent on good citizens.

But when they, who first distinguished themfelves by this religious opinion, proceeded to graft upon it doctrines which were immediately deftructive of all civil authority, and began not only to oppose the magistrate, but to possess themselves of his power by violence, and to appropriate all earthly dominion to themselves, as the elect of God, and to pretend to derive their possession of it from him by immediate revelation; and under this pretence, to commit murders and other outrages upon their fellow subjects; - when, I say, the matter came to these extremities, the principles of toleration were out of the question. No man's conscience, directed by the word of God, could lead him to these brutal and immoral acts; and the rulers of those particular states to whom these desperate enthuliasts gave this offence, were well justified in their inflicting severe punishment upon such of their ring-leaders, as were the authors and infligators of these unjustifiable acts of rebellion and public mischief *.

Let

² Vide Sleidan. Comment. lib. X. sub initio et alibi. Bayle's Dictionary, Art. ANABAPTISTS, and many others. It is not indeed to be denied that some Religionists of this denomination

Let us now return to the Roman Catholics who live under our Protestant government and confider how

were inhibited and severely treated by the civil powers, in fome other places, particularly in Switzerland, when their tenets were at least of a more peaceable fort. The excuse for this was, that their doctrines were still such as in fact affected the security of the state. See Bayle, u. s. Remark [L], where it appears that this apology was more plautible in some states than it was in others; and they who treated them as a people not to be tolerated, must be condemned or justified by the nature and circumstances of those particular cases, which came under their cognizance. See a fine passage of Erasmus concerning the abfurdity and iniquity of Protestants punishing certain Anabaptists, in his Life by Dr. Fortin, vol. II. p. 276, and the just remark of the excellent Biographer upon it. Be this as it may, it is certain that they who now are, and for above a century backwards have been, diltinguished by the name of Anabaptists, have intitled themselves to equal toleration, not only by disavowing the feditious doctrines of those men who, under that denomination, fell into those abominable extravagancies at Munster, and elsewhere, but by professing others, friendly, in the highest degree, to the peace and welfare of civil fociety. I mention this the rather, as one of our Popish Champions in the Public Ledger will needs have the case of the Papists, to be the same with that of the Baptiffs, alledging, that the latter had no more condemned the rebellious principles of their forefathers than the former. Now, not to mention the variety of fects into which the Anabaptifts have been divided, and in what respects they have differed each from the others; it is well known, that the most considerable body of them in the Low Countries coalesced under the name ot Mennonites, who formally disowned the errors of particular fectaries of that denomination in their public Confessions. Even George Cussander, a Popish writer, bore an honourable testimony in favour of the Mennonites, namely, that " they had always most " vehemently renounced the madness of the Munfler and Bat-" tenburgh enthusiasts, who meditated a restoration of Christ's " kingdom, which, as they faid, confifted in the destruction of the wicked by external force." Bayle, u. 1. Rem. [G] But to give the objector the fairest opportunity of judging how the case stands between the Mennonites or Buptists and his clients, I

how far their conduct and principles intitle them to the toleration for which they plead.

If

shall take the liberty to transcribe a curious passage from the article above cited in Mr. Bayle's Dictionary, to which every reader, who may be edified or entertained by so fine an anecdote, may not have access. " Mr. De Turenne' (who, by the way, had turned from the Protestant to the Popish communion) " being one day in a coach with Mr. Van Beuning, expressed " his dislike to him at the toleration which the States General " granted to all forts of religions. What Mr. Van Beuning " answered on behalf of the Mennonites was as tollows: Why " are you averse to the toleration of this sect? They are very " honest people, and the most easy in the world; they never " aspire to employments; they thwart no man's ambition; they " traverse no man's views by competition or intrigues. It were " to be wished, that in every country half the people would " make a conscience of aiming at dignities; the other half " would arrive at them with less difficulty, and wirhout em-" ploying so many mean arts and unlawful methods. " have no reason to apprehend the rebeliion of a seet, one " of whose articles of faith is, that it is unlawful to bear arms. " How great a fecurity is it to a fovereign to know that his " fubjects are restrained by such a bridle, whatever imposts or " tallage is laid upon them? The Mennonites contribute their " fhare to the charges of the government. This is sufficient. "With this we levy troops, which are more useful to us than " these people would be, were they to enlist themselves. They " edify us by the simplicity of their manners; they apply " themselves to arts and business, without squandering away " their own patrimony, or the wealth they acquire, in luxury " and vice. Other communions behave differently. Voluptu-" oufness and the expences of vanity, are, in them, a con-" flant source of scandal, and a weakening of the state. But " they refuse to swear. A mighty matter indeed! The autho-" rity of the tribunals receive no prejudice thereby. These " people think themselves as much obliged by an affirmation " of the truth, as they could be by an oath. All the use of " administring an oath consists in this, that the person who " violates it is in fear of being more severely punished by God, " and expoles himself to infamy, and even corporal punishment " from men. The Mennonites fear the same consequences if If the only objections we have to Papists were that they hold Transubstantiation, Purgatory, Saint-worship,

"they violate their affirmation; they are therefore bound by the same obligation with other men." Will any man pretend to give the like amiable character of Papifts in any Protestant country wherever they refide? I know it hath been faid, that the Papists in Holland have always demeaned themselves as peaceable and even dutiful subjects to the government of the States General, and with the same affections to the common cause of the country, as other differers from the established religion; and this has been made an argument for granting them as full and free a toleration in Great Britain as they enjoy in the United Provinces. This, however, hath not always been the case, as appears by the following particulars. In the year 1646, Cardinal Mazarin formed a project of giving up Catalonia (then in the hands of the French) to the Spaniards, in exchange for the Low Countries, and the county of Burgundy. But as this exchange would have thrown advantages into the hands of France, which could not fail to give umbrage to those who were the nearest reighbours to these countries, particularly England and the United Provinces, it was quite neceffary for the Cardinal to obviate fuch objections as might arise from the consideration of the weight this exchange would have thrown into the scale of France. The advantage of this exchange to the United Provinces, he pretended, would be, that, " they would no longer have occasion to be at the ex-" ceffive expence of keeping up a barrier on the frontier of the " Spanish Netherlands, as France would form no pretensions to " their country, as the Spaniards, from whom they had for-" merly revolted, would always do; on another hand he " pleads, that the United Provinces might then place more " confidence in the Catholics among them, to the mutual ad-" vantage of both parties, the Catholics in those Provinces being perfecuted, not so much through the batred born to their re-" ligion, as from being Partifans and Adherents to the Spaniards." Le Clerc, Bibl. Choisie, tom. XX. p. 34, 35. So then we see the States General have not always thought it good policy to tolerate the Papists in the same degree as they did other sects. In the mean time it is a noble testimony born by a Popish Cardinal to, the religious moderation of the Protestant states, namely, that they did not subject the Catholics to penalties on worship, the proper Sacrifice of Christ in the Mass. or fome other doctrines merely religious, wherein the Papists differ from Protestants, the sormer would be intitled to toleration, on the same footing with other differers from the established church. These doctrines, abstracted from the authority on which the Roman Catholics support and inforce them, might be professed and practised, by perfons who might still be good subjects to the state. They might pretend scriptural authority for these doctrines, strange and abiurd as they are, and adhere to them on that pretence only. As matters of private opinion, or, if you will, of conscience, they would be no more obnoxious to the magistrate than other harmless peculiarities which diffinguish one fect from another.

But when we find that the Papists ground these doctrines on the infalibility of the Pope or the church of Rome, and pronounce all to be Heretics

account of their religion, but four être tenus (et cela avec raison) affectionnés et adberens au parti d'Espagne. That is, in plain terms, for being Rebels to the flate. " But," faith Le Clerc, " did not this able politician fee, that these Catholics, in case of " the exchange, would have become suspected of favouring " France, and that the States would have looked upon them " with fo much the more aversion, as the fear of France would " have been greater than the States had then of Spain?" The pretentions of Spain and the House of Austria to the United Provinces hath long since ceased. Since when, the only Catholic power they have had to fear, is France. How the Dutch Papists have behaved fince this alteration took place, I pretend not to know. But, if what Sir William Temple said of them, when he wrote his Observations on the United Provinces, namely, that "they have neither given any diffurbance to the govern-" ment, nor expressed any inclinations to a change, or to any " foreign power." continue still to be true of them, they have certainly, a title to toleration, which the Papists of Great Britain as certainly have not. who who diffent from that church,—that they consider such Heretics as de jure excluded from all civil and social as well as religious privileges,—that Catholics are not obliged to keep faith with them, more particularly where their covenants with Heretics interfere with the interests of their church b,—that

b See Pope Clement XI's Letter to the King of Spain, in the Appendix, No II, which is decifive as to this point, and shews the confummate affurance of those late Popish Advocates who have denied this to be a tenet of the Roman church. For the present, I shall only consider it as a proof of Popish Intolerance, which, taken along with their annual Anathemas denounced upon Heretics; their Indexes of prohibited books (one of which was published by Benedict XIV, no longer ago than the year 1758). and what has been attempted in France against Mr. Marmontel by the doctors of the Sorbonne, amounts to a demonstration, that Intolerance is the avowed principle of the Roman church in all countries, and under all governments. It hath been taid, and feems at present to be taken for granted, that Protestant states cannot, confistently with Protestant principles, exclude l'apists from the benefit of Toleration, at least on the score of their religious principles and profession. Perhaps we shall not be allowed to call Intolerance a religious doctrine, as there is in it to deep a tincture of politics, and likewise as it must owe so much of its support to the secular arm; the doctrines, however, upon which it is founded being manifestly such. I shall beg leave to take that point for granted, and proceed to confider, how far upon Protestant principles, the Papitts (adhering still to these doctrines) have a right to be tolerated in Protestant communities. The Protestant principle of free, equal, and mutual Toleration may be confidered under the notion of a covenant, wherein the tolerating churches, as contracting parties, agree to acknowledge each other's freedom and independency, and mutually to fupport each other under the common protection of, and in subordination to the civil magistrate, in the full and absolute enjoyment of their religious liberty. But a Popish intolerant church can plead no right to be included in fuch a covenant, upon any principles of equity whatfoever. And if the tcriptures prescribe to Protestants the Toleration of an intolerant

church, it must be in some passages which equally conclude

any cruelties or executions inflicted upon Heretics convict, are sufficiently authorized by a commission from

against Christians defending themselves against their most inveterate and determined enemies. For the destruction of Protestants is confessedly the aim and end of Popith Intolerance. This being premised, let us now consider upon what grounds the plea for the Toleration of Popery in this country proceeds. "We do not confider," fays an ingenious and able writer, " that in suppressing a place of public worship, we are doing " what we certainly know to be unlawful, for the fake of or preventing what we only suspect to be dangerous." A little before, this Apologist had called Popery a diabolical superstition. A diabolical superstition may prescribe and practise the worship of the Devil. Now I cannot but apprehend that many an honest Christian Magistrate may not certainly know, that it is not lawful for him to suppress a place where THE DEVIL IS PUBLICLY WORSHIPED It may be faid, that this is a cafe not to be supposed with respect to the Papists. God forbid it should, but it is nevertheless a case which comes within our Advocate's plea. For he fays, "The suppressing a place of " public worthip by authority, is committing an act of vio-" lence, and breaking in upon the natural and unalienable right "which every man possesses, of worshiping what and now he pleases." And consequently of worshipping the Devil, if so he pleases, and, if so he pleases, with human facrifices. The reader will be pleased to observe, that this natural unalienable right is, according to our Advocate, unlimited: He hath put no reffriction upon it; nor indeed could he, without bringing the Papist, in his present situation in this country, within it. He is well aware of this, and therefore thinks fit to represent, " That suppressing Popish worship is in order to " prevent their affembling together for the purpose of propa-" gating their pernicious political principles." What! in their places of public worship?——Hardly. They are wifer, fume, the Magistrate too is wifer than to give so filly a reason for his interfering. The Magistrate finds himself directed by law to suppress places of Popith public worship; and if you want any farther account of his proceedings, you must go to the reason of the Law which prohibits the public worship of Papitts in Great Britain. And here you will find that the Legislators from the Pope or the church of Rome,—and that every Papist is bound on the peril of damnation, to E 2 a strict

were so much of Philosophers, at least, as to differn that no man could have either a natural or an unalienable right to worship what and how and where he pleased, whose principle is to exterminate every feet but his own; because that man's religion would prevent his entering into any binding pacts, whether civil or religious, with those who did not worship what and bow this intolerant worshipper pleased. Our Advocate then should have fet out with proving that Intolerance is not a principle of the Roman Catholic religion; and this indeed he feems to infinuate by calling for overt-acts in each individual for a proof of it. One would think it sufficient to prove this, to appeal to the solemn decisions of that society to which such individuals belong, to cite their avowed doctrines, and to shew that whenever they had the power in their hands, they have uniformly practifed according to these doctrines. Indeed we need go no farther for our proof of this than our Advocate himself, who inform us that " The " principles of pure Popery are calculated by Satan their author " to make this world an Hell, and mankind Dzmons before " their time." One would imagine that every act of joint-worfnip with fuch a fociety, but particularly every act of religious fubmission to the teachers of such a society, would be an overtact sufficient to determine the principles and intentions of every person who adheres to this society. To obviate this, the Gentleman will have it, that the Popish laity may be peaceably difposed, though their priests are not; and asks, "What avails " the zeal of the priefts, without the people to follow them?" It were to be wished that our Protestant advocates for the toleration of Popery would fuffer the Papitts to plead their own cause, at least till they are a little better acquainted with the Popish arguments for it. For what fay the Papitts to this supposition? Why, they make the implicit subjection of the lairy to their priefts an argument that the fame laity would be implicitly fubject to any form of civil government. Which of these suppofitions shall we abide by? To suppose the layman would not follow the priest, is destroying Mr. Brook's argument for tolerating the Papists; an argument which has the fanction of the popish author of the Considerations on the Penal Laws. &c. admit that the layman would implicitly follow his prieft, is to make the question of our Protestant Advocate beside the purpote,

as being founded on a false supposition. Of the same fort is the intimation, that the Papilts are as little influenced by their principles as the reft of mankind; whereas the whole force of the plea for their Toleration, made use of by the Popish writers above-mentioned, depends upon the supposition that they are more fo. " Christianity, fays this Gentleman, " does not any " where, as I remember, authorife double taxes on account of " wrong opinions, religious of political, nor ever draws its arguments from the fear of the Conftable." Why no, neither does Christianity authorise the Window-Tax, or the duty upon Foreign Imports. But neither does it disallow them, as legal measures of supporting civil government. And if single taxes are lawful in some cases for the ordinary support of civil government, without any prohibition from Christianity, double saxes are equally lawful in other cases, where the safety and peace of the civil government equally require them. This then carries us back to the reason of imposing these double taxes; and then the only proper questions will be, whether such taxes are imposed on religious or political considerations? Whether the persons on whom they are imposed are friends or enemies to the civil government which imposes them? Whether, upon proper evidence that they are enemies, Christianity forbids the civil government to restrain their influence by such means as double taxes? Questions which our Advocate chuses to keep out of fight, and artfully enough throws in the term political opinions, as if equally unrestrained by Christianity as opinions merely religious, and as if the object of double taxes were merely political speculations, as harmless and inoffentive to the Protestant government of Great Britain as opinions merely religious! The mention of the Constable goes upon another inadmissable supposition, namely, that he is used as an instrument to convert the Papists from their religious errors; a misrepresentation which may afford the Gentleman occasion to be facetions, but will furely do no credit to his candor as a reasoner. He seems to think, that it makes a great deal for his cause, that " Christ never applied to a Justice " of the Peace for a warrant to thut up an Heathen chapel, or " to apprehend and imprison a Priest. Yet Christ and his "Apostles," says he, "not only suspected, but knew that their " enemies fought their blood and would have it; and they " knew

these doctrines, I say, are added to their other tenets, it is evident that no Protestant prince or state can be safe from the destructive practices of Papists a moment longer than they are the weaker party; not to mention numberless mischiess in a Protestant community, which must arise from an intercourse with people thus principled, even while they are the weaker party c.

3 On

" knew the individuals, whose practice, not their speculative " principles merely, were dangerous and destructive." Very well! what is now the conclusion? Why, this or nothing; "That this precedent contains a prohibition to the Christian " Magistrate to take any measures to restrain the power of an " enemy, whose practices he certainly knows would, without " fuch restraint, subvert the civil as well as the religious consti-"tution of the country over which he presides." Is this the doctrine of Christianity? If it is, I am sure that one of our Advocate's positions is antichristian, namely, that, " England " ought to make no peace with France." For the Gospel precedent he brings will reach the one case equally with the other, and equally oblige the British government not only to be at perpetual peace with France, but to purchase that peace upon whatever conditions France shall please to prescribe. There are other objections to this gentleman's theory, which I forbear to mention. I doubt not but he means well; and mistakes which proceed from an excess of moderation and charity (which, I dare vouch, is the case of these of our Advocate) are, of all others, the most excusable, to say nothing of the very many valuable things to be met with in this ingenious writer's lucubrations on other subjects. See Crito, vol. II.

The effects of Popish Bigotry among our common people is an evil of very pernicious tendency, and by no means sufficiently attended to, because perhaps not generally understood. Some of the Roman Catholics affect, in promiscuous company, to laugh at the influence the Protestants suppose their Priests have upon them, and to treat this as a mere chimzera. There are doubtless profligates and libertines, who pay no regard to religion, among the Papists as well as among the Protestants. I speak not of these, but of others, who will join with the gayest company in all the sashionable dissipations of the times, even to

On these weighty and urgent considerations were the penal laws against the Papists first enacted, and afterwards

the very borders of libertinism, for which the Protestant religion affords no atonement but a serious and effectual repentance and total conversion; and yet, in the midst of all this, are as diligent and punctual in their attendance upon the minutest formalities, such as going to Confession, keeping Fasts and Festivals, repeating their Devotions, &c. as the most superstitious Papitt in the kingdom; and, what is more, as zealous and affiduous in making converts (where that can be managed without obfervation) as the Priefts themselves. The Roman Catholics are taught, and are ready to teach others, that whatever liberties they take of this kind are atoned for by having recourse to the peace of the Church, and that all offences are blotted out by abfolution, which they know well enough cannot be had without paying due deference to the pretended dispensers of it. Bigotry among Papitts of the lower ranks is more open, and without Their zeal, unqualified with the artifices of a polite disguise. education, will not permit them to conceal the implicit faith they have in their Priefts, or to baulk themselves in delivering the plain doctrines they learn from them (even the most absurd in religion, and sometimes the most treatonable in politics), when in disputation with Protestants. What effect the open avowal of fuch principles must have upon the lower classes of Protestants, many of whom have neither been properly instructed, nor are of fufficient capacity to cope with the fublety and affurance of a Popish Priest, may easily be conjectured. They who become proselytes to Popery learn disaffection to Protestant Government and the Protestant Religion by the same sett of maxims; and even, it is to be feared, to undervalue the most folemn bonds of civil fociety, when the interests of the church come in competition with them. Many are the inflances of this kind that might be brought, of the pernicious influence of Popish Bigotry upon our common people at this very period. It hath been often observed how remarkably useful the zeal and spirit of their female devotees have been to the Popish Priests in their grand bufinels of profelyting. At the beginning of the Retormation, the defertion of to many monks afforded matter of much pleafantry to the Popish writers, upon the supposition that the chief motive of their conversion was the liberty of entering into the married flate; and I remember that among other invidious reasons given by the Jesuits for the conversion of the late Mr. Bower, one was, that he was tired of lying alone. It feems, however, that the Papitts, now that it will ferve their own turn. have no objection to this matrimonial expedient of making converts. An honest plain countryman might be too phlegmatic to be wrought upon by an ingenious quibble, or too penetrating to be enfnared by a piece of jetuitical reasoning, who perhaps could not refift the temptation of a wife with a decent fortune, provided by his converters. Marriage has lately been the never-failing bait to many Protestants, both men and women, to give up the religion of their Bibles, for the idolatious worship of the Man of Sin. In most cases the conversion has been made a previous condition. But where the Protestant man or woman have been backward, the good work hath been left to be perfected by the Catholic husband or wife, who have seldom failed to accomplify it by methods fuggefted to them by the directors of their consciences. Sometimes indeed all expedients have failed, and the Protestant mate hath held fait his or her integrity in spite of all the cajolings or the menaces employed to feduce them. This firmness, however, hath brought upon such, particularly the poor women, a variety of ill mage, which, in one late instance I am about to transcribe from The Gentleman's A ugazine for April 1756, ended fatally. " On Saturday the 5th " of April 1766, William Whitle, condemned at Lanca, r " affizes for the murder of his wife and two children was ex-" ecuted on Lancaster-Moor, and his body hung in chains he " was a ROMAN CATHOLIC, and conteffed the tast He was " asked the motive for committing to horrid a crime? He fail. " his Priest told him, he should be damned for warrying an " Heretic.-Why murder two innocent children? His aniwer " was, the mother had carried them to church, fo they would " have been damned, if he had not killed them; but now, he " faid, they were in Purgatory, and would go to heaven in due " time. On this fellow's being hung up, the Rev. Mr. Cheer, " the clergyman who attended him" [this is a midake, the Rev. Mr. Oliver of Preson was the Magistrate who committed him to prison; the clergyman who attended him was the new Mr. Leigh, curate of Luncafter, whole good offices Whittle rejected, calling out for a Priest of his on a long " ceived the following letter: Sir, I make bold

might probably be feditious or rebellious in future times, when favourable occasions offered; but up-

on

" you, that your house, and every clergyman's that is in the " town" [of Preflon, near which the shocking fact was committed and the criminal gibbered " or any black fon of a " b-h like you, for you are nothing but Heretics and damned touls. If William Whittle, that worthy man, hangs up ten " days, you may fully expect to be blown to damnation. I " have nothing more material, but I defire you will make in-" terest for him to be cut down, or else you may fully expect " it at ten days end. My name is S. M. and W. G." See the St. James's Chronicle, April 15, 1766. W bittle, before this letter was made public, was spoken of as a stup'd, bigoted, ignorant fellow, from whose behaviour no conjequences could be drawn to the ditadvantage of Popery. For his bigotry. however, he himself accounted very satisfactorily, and from that account it appears, what laudable use the clergy of the church of Rome (who would not be thought either 1 upid or ignorant) occasionally make of the stupicity and ignorance of those who come under their direction. On the o her hand, tome of his own fraternity esteemed him a worthy man, whose sufferings were meritoricus, and who was neither fo flupid nor fo ignorant as not to know, that the destruction of three Heretics, at the price of one Catholic Martyr, was no had bargain. I am far from hel'eving that Whittle's Priest spoke the sense of every Roman Catholic Priest in the kingdom; though, from instances of cruelty in a lower degree inflicted upon Protestant wives by Popish husbands, which have occurred, and some within my own knowledge, one would be apt to suspect that such methods of convertion are but two generally encouraged by them : particularly it what a Popilh Advocate in the Gazetteer faid of them, some time in Odober last, is true, viz. " that a Romish " Priest in a Protestant country, may prosecute his interested and " ambitious views, at the expence of his religion, when he " thinks fit." I am likewise persuaded that there are many Lay-Papists in the kingdom who abhor this fact of Whittle as much as any Protestant can do. But if their religion does not give countenance to fuch doctrines as this alledged by this miferable man, why do they not by some public Act disavow their approbation of them? why do they leave suspicions upon themfelves and their religion by their filence, when fuch occasions

on the evidence of facts, and the repeated experience of their perpetual plots and machinations against

call upon them so pressingly to explain themselves, and particularly when they are complaining of the feverity of the Penal Laws, for which furely there is no room, if fuch are the principies of Papifts in general? Here the aforesaid Advocate steps in, and tells u, " that the Papifts have no preffes at command, and are obliged to fend abroad even for their books of devo-The contrary of which is notoriously known, even from so many of their libels, some of them mentioned above. namely. The Life of Cardinal Pole, Confiderations on the Penal Laws, The True born Englishman, &c, &c. About a century and a half ago, Procestants made a conscience of intermarrying with Papifts, and very few were, in those days, so unequally yoked. But King Charles I having fet the example, thefe scruples have vanished away, and, as my author hath it, " fince " that example, the devout and body women of the Popish com-" munion have been its most useful advocates and supports. "They have been and are eminently so in our days." He proceeds to flew by what means; and concludes with an account of one faculty or privilege among feveral others, granted by his fuperiors to one John Laker, an English prieft, about the year 1680, which, the faid author observes, " must have been of " great and comfortable importance in fixing the adherence of " the Ladies, &c." See a pamphlet, published in 1748, intituled, An Enquiry into the Behaviour of our great Churchmen fince the Reformation in the enading and executing of Penal Laws against Papists and Protestant Diffenters, pages 36, 37, 38. It hath been often wished that the Legislature would take this affair of Protestants intermarrying with Papists into serious consideration. That the Papifts gain great advantages over the Protestants by these intermarriages, in point of conversions, is notorious. By what means, is set forth in the pamphlet above referred to; the chief of which perhaps is a fort of influence thrown into the hands of Popish Priests too indelicate to be mentioned here. and too indecent to be employed by a Protestant Minister, who is to conduct himself according to the Purity as well as the Truth of the Chritian Religion. If the Protestant settlement of the Crown is of any consequence to the happiness of Great Britain, the methods taken by its internal enemies to undermine it, even in the minutest instances, ought not to be matters of indifference

74 Considerations on the present State
gainst the government, from the first moment
that the British throne was filled by a Protestant
Prince.

Pope Pius Vth began with Queen Elizabeth in 1569, whom he excommunicated, and, as far as in him lay, deprived of the allegiance of her subjects, by absolving them from the obligation of their oaths, and anathematising such as continued in their obedience to her government. By which she was left to the mercy of the first rustian who could find the means to execute the vengeance of his Holiness in a more effectual manner than would be done by merely fixing the rescript to the gate of the Vatican. The Bull is preserved by Bishop Burnet, and, by the tenor of it, it appears, that as Queen Elizabeth's Protestant successors have offended the Holy See in the same articles, they must of course be liable to the same sentence.

How

to the Guardians of the public welfare. The article now under confideration would not misbecome the particular attention of our worthy patters upon the epitcopal bench. They may easily be in possession of very interesting matters of tact relating to these intermarriages; and if there is nothing in the legal course of ecclesiastical discipline that may prevent the pernicious consequences of such alliances to the state, they may have audience, where their wisdom and dignity will effectually give weight to their remonstrances, and sufficiently recommend such remedies for this growing evil, as might put a seasonable stop to it. The same may be said of the pernicious influence of Popish Bigotry upon the Protestant vulgar, in other instances of civil intercourse.

d See Hist. Reform. vol. II. Collection of Records, part II. book iii. No 13 the last in the volume. Sixtus the Vth published another Bull in 1587, by which he gave away Queen Elizabeth's kingdoms to the first that should seize them. "This, fays Rapin, was the King of Spain, who was ready to make an advantage of the Pope's kind offer." This kind offer was indeed implied in Pius's Bull, though not so expressly mentioned.

How far the principles on which this Bull was founded operated upon the Papists of those days, the religious designation of the Spanish Armada, and the frequent Plots and Conspiracies of the good Catholics of that reign, are indisputable evidence.

The next four princes were of the Stewart-family, but sprung, by the mother's side, from the stock of the leaguing Dukes of Guise, the bitterest and most unrelenting persecutors of the Protestants that history has recorded. The two first of them indeed were educated in the Protestant religion, but not without an early bias in favour of Popery, which grew upon them, in proportion as they came to observe that the principles of that religion were most favourable to the exercise of arbitrary power, and were accordingly, on many occasions, avowed by them, and were indeed the rather applicable to those occasions, as they esteemed large bodies of their Protestant subjects to be little less Heretics for diffenting from their church than the Papists esteemed all other Protestants to be for separating from the church of Rome. Other occasions and exigences, however, arifing, and the temper of their parliaments and people being totally averse to the Papifts, as the determined enemies of the civil conftitution, and infamous corrupters of religion, the first of these Princes was given to understand, that " he could not tolerate Popery without a Parlia-" ment, unless he would let his subjects see, that " he took unto himself the liberty to throw down

But the kingdom being taken from Elizabeth, must be given to somebody, and Mary Queen of Scots was just as ready to accept of it as Philip was afterwards.

"the laws of the land at his pleasure." Charles, his successor, ven used to let his subjects see this at all hazards, but with very ill success. In the mean time, the Papists, according to custom, considered the favourable disposition of these Princes with very little gratitude. It was sufficient that their schemes were disappointed; and supposing that a change of government would re-establish their religion, they pursued that grand point through both these reigns by the most wicked plots and practices, without the least regard either to the reputation or safety of these deluded Princes, who ventured so much in shewing their friendly disposition towards them s.

The

See Archbishop Abbot's Letter to James I, in Rushworth,

f James came to England from profesting a Religion and governing a People the most contrary and averle to P. pery. With these he was obliged to chime in, while he was among them, even so far as to express his contempt for the church of England for retaining some reremonies which passed in Scotland for remnants of Popil's superstition. The Papilts were not aware of his versatile disposition, and how easily he might be wrought upon by proper instruments to make matters easy to them, and therefore determined to make fure work at the beginning of his reign, by the Gun-powder Conspiracy. These were the sentiments of such of them at least as pushed on the Plot in concurrence with the court of Rome, whatever intimations the more moderate Papists at home might have of his inclination to serve their cause. See Casaubon's Epistle to Fronto Ducaus, No 624. edit. 1656. When the profecutions of Jesuits and Popish Priests were let on foot, though, it was well known, without the King's cordial consent, Sir Dudley Carleton apprized the King, that he " had intelligence in Spain, that the Priests were comforting "themselves with this, that if he went on against them, they would soon get rid of him." Burnet, Hist. O. T. vol. I. p. 11. The Irish Massacre, without inquiring how far it was or was not encouraged by King Charles I, is sufficient to prove the wicked practices

The two latter, namely Charles II and James II, were Papists themselves, the former secretly, the latter openly.

Charles loved his ease and pleasures too well, to embroil himself with his people so far as the Popish

practices of Papists, and to characterise the spirit of Popery during his reign, even though we should confign to disregard and oblivion some, otherwise atrocious, attempts of the Queen and her partizans to introduce Popery among our forefathers of that day. It has been mentioned, in order to exculpate the Differenters from the church of England, particularly the Presbyterians, from the guilt of having had any hand in the death of Charles I, that this event was chiefly brought about by the Papifts, and particularly that one or more lefuits were feen about the scaffold in the garb of soldiers, with drawn swords, and were observed to express their joy as soon as the fatal blow was given, by waving their hats, &c. I own, I could never fee what end the death of Charles I. could answer to the Papists. unless they were in the secret of the son's religion, and imagined that the immediate reftoration of that Prince must be the consequence of taking his Father out of the way. And even then the state of the nation was such at that time, that the Papists must have wanted common sense if they could not have perceived that it was impossible, even for a professed Papist upon the throne, to do more for them than King Charles I. had done, or was willing to do. That the Presbyterians were not accesfary to the King's death, that the most respectable of them opposed and would have prevented it, is notorious from the most authentic remains of history. It could therefore be of no real fervice to them, to throw the odium of it upon the Papists. They were fairly acquitted of having any hand in that cataftro phe, and after that it could not at all concern their reputation who were or who were not the authors or the abettors of it. If any one is curious to fee the particulars of this charge upon the Papists, he may consult La Politique du Clergé de France, A la Haye, 1682, p. 105, where there is a circumstantial narrative of a negotiation with the Pope for the purpole of taking off King Charles, as the readiest means of re-establishing Popery. The misfortune is, that of any connexion the negotiators had with the immediate actors in that tragic affair, there is not the least evidence.

party would have engaged him; who were so disgusted with his indolence, and so impatient to accomplish their favourite project of rooting out Heresy in England, and planting Popery in its room, that several attempts were made to remove this Prince out of the way, in which, as it is credibly reported, they were at length successful g.

King

See Dr. Harris's History of Charles II. vol. II. p. 376. Remark [GGGG]. - The reality of the Popish Plot has been much questioned, chiefly upon the little credit due to Oates, Bedloe, and some other witnesses, upon whose evidence several of the surposed conspirators suffered death. And the argument against the reality of the Plot stands thus. Oates, and Bedloe, and others were perjured in some instances, therefore the whole of their testimony was false, therefore there was no Popish Plot. " But 'what occasion have we for Oates and Bedloe " to prove this conspiracy? Let us, if you please, lay these men " out of the case, and judge of it only by Coleman's Letters to " Father La Chuise and others. These Letters are acknowledged " to be genuine, nor are denied by Coleman himself. There is " one to the Pope's Nuncio at Bruffels, dated August 21, 1674. " which fays in express words, that the design prospered so well, " that he doubted not but in a little time the bufiness would " be managed to the utter ruin of the Protestant-party. Can " any thing be stronger than what Coleman tays in one of his " Letters to Father La Chaife? viz. We have here a mighty work " upon our hands, no less than the conversion of three kingdoms. " and by that, perhaps, the utter subduing of a pestilent Heresy, " which has domineered over great part of this northern world a " long time; there were never fuch hopes of success since the death " of Queen Mary, as now in our days. And towards the end " of the Letter he vehemently solicits Father La Chaise to ob-" tain fuccours of money and arms for the execution of the " grand defign." I cite this from the French pamphlet above-" mentioned La Politique du Clergé de France. p. 118.] for a particular reason to be given by and by: in the mean time, I must observe, that it is a mistake in the Frenchman (who was Mr. Jurieu) that Coleman solicited for arms; the only aid and affiftance he wanted for the present, was that of money, which King James II was more of a bigot, and could neither reconcile himself nor his priests to the slow precarious

was to be applied to the necessities of the King, that he might not need supplies from a parliament so disaffected to Popery, but proceed immediately to dissolve it. The rest is pertectly agreeable to the authentic account in Coleman's trial. Soon after the publication of this pamphlet, it fell into the hands of the famous Mr. Arnauld (who then lay concealed in the Low Countries), " and excited his indignation to that degree, that " he laid aside his controversy with Father Malebranche, and " determined, as much as in him lay, to vindicate the honour " of the church of France, against the falsehood and artifices " of the seditious writer of the Politique, and to justify, as " much as possible, the innocence of the (atholics of England. " For this purpose he published, in the year 1681, An Apology " for the Catholics, in two parts." Nouvelles de Republique des Lettres, Septembre 1681, p. 110. In that part of his Apology which related to the English Catholics, he had thrown out some reflections on Sir Robert Southwell's evidence at Coleman's trial, which Sir Robert highly refented; and Arnauld hearing that that gentleman had complained of the injuffice done him in the Apology for the Catholics, " immediately offered him " fuch fatisfaction as should be judged convenient; and after " he [Arnaula] had feen the proots of Sir Robert Southwell's " innocence, he wrote to him in the most humble and ingenuous " manner for the reparation of his honour; and to the end " that the affair might become public, he defired one of his " friends to cause every thing that had passed relating to this " explanation to be printed. Mr. Arnauld was obliged in this " request, and there was printed a second addition to the first " part of the Apology for the Catholics, in which addition " are found the letters which Mr, Arnauld had written upon " the fubject, and the answers of Sir Robert Southwell, toge-" ther with the pieces which justified the innocence of the " latter." Nouvelles de Republique des Lettres, May 1686. The accusation related to Sir Robert Southwell's evip. 504. dence at Coleman's trial, as above-mentioned, which, no doubt. had been misrepresented to Mr. Arnauld, and, on that account, laid him under the difagreeable necessity of retracting his censure, and alking pardon. As I have not feen Arnauld's Apology for the Catholics, nor have been able to procure it, I cannot precarious methods of bringing in Popery by political intrigue. His religion, he thought, obliged him,

give any account of the particulars of Arnauld's charge, or of Sir Robert Southwell's defence; and I cannot help observing. that it is a little strange so remarkable a fact should have escaped the notice of all our historians who have written accounts of the Popish Plot. Sir Robert Southwell was clerk of the council, and was examined at Coleman's trial concerning the charge brought by Oates against Coleman before the king and council. Sir Robert there gave a clear ingenuous account, and confirmed that part of Oates's evidence relating to his knowledge of the treasonable nature of Coleman's correspondence with Father La Chaife, before Coleman's papers were examined. This was giving Oates credit in a very material point, and went a great way towards verifying that part of his evidence, against the Jesuits, relating to the papers and letters he had seen, which were not forth-coming. It was not sufficient, in this case, for the Papists to discredit Oates's testimony, unless they could set aside that of Sir Robert Southwell too; and this consideration will effectually account for the falle intelligence Arnauld had received concerning Sir Robert's evidence at Coleman's trial. Mr. Bayle says, " that this retractation, when Mr. Arnauld's " History should be written, would not make the least glorious or part of it." But as Arnauld was not always fo ingenuous in his controversies, one might perhaps account for his candor on this occasion upon a different motive than that of a defire of doing justice to Protestants. The Jesuits and their agents and dependents were chiefly accused of forming and carrying on the conspiracy against Charles 1. How little Mr. Arnauld was concerned for their honour, all the world knows; and possibly enough might not be displeased with having this opportunity of exposing to the public what lengths of fallehood and misrepresentation they would go, when the honour of so zeasous a disciple as Coleman was at stake. From the efforts of the Papists to calumniate Sir Robert Southwell in this instance, we may perceive how diligent they were to remove every circumfrance out of fight which might fix upon them the odium of fo foul a conspiracy. Fit all in vain. Allow Oates and Bedhe to be perjured in form inftances, their evidence is confirmed in others by fuch notorious facts and circumstances, and by the him, and his fovereign power, he imagined, authorized him, to establish his own faith by the

Joint testimony of persons of so respectable characters, that no reasonable doubt can remain but that they were conscious with the perions they accused of a deteitable conspiracy to subvert the religion and liberties of Great Britain. At the fame time we have (oleman's papers, which shew how few scruples they had concerning the means. His letters to Father La Chaife discover the rancor of his heart towards the Northern Herefy. He plames himself upon the hopes of seeing the utter ruin of the Protestant party, and a return of the glorious days of Queen Mury. And yet when he comes to draw a declaration for the King, wherein reasons were to be given for the diffolution of the parliament, he could talk of the beauty and splendor of the true Protestant Church of England, and make his Majesty speak in a ftyle of the greatest affection for it, with expressions of a fuitable aversion to Popery. But all this was for the service of the Catholic cause, and a case for which a dispensation might eafily be had. In the mean while, the counterpaces of Coleman have an unlucky aspect upon the affeverations of the letuits at their execution, and the oaths of the scholars of St. Omers; " from whence (tays a foreign writer) a num-" ber of libels have iffued against Outes and Bedice, and we on " this fide the water are to be perfuaded that this whole bufi-" ness depends entirely on the testimony of two flagitious " rascals." Les derniers essorts de l'innocence affligée, p 102. How exactly does this tally with the false intelligence conveyed to Mr. Arnauld? and, no doubt, if the fame occasions had fallen out in other cases, more of those calumnies would have been detected. These pieces of foreign Hittory of a domestic transaction, the Papists are now bringing home, to discredit, if they can, the authentic records of their own country. At this very time they are sneering the Popish Plot, in their factious publications as the mere fiction of Outes and Redloe, just as their worthy predecessors of St. Omers did on their day. Even now, while I am writing this, the London Chronick of July 21 is brought me, wherein is an extract from Volvaire's Commentary on Beccaria's Essay on Crimes and Punishments, exhibiting a most false and inviduous account of Outes's evidence against Coleman, and taking it for granted that there were no other witnesses to prove the Popish Plot upon the Jetuits but Oates and Bedloe. But their milery is, that, as they cannot support most open and undisguised acts of power. In these attempts he was impolitic enough to let the nation fee, that Popery was always the fame, a cruel, intolerant, but at the same time a treacherous and hypocitical fystem, which, to compass its ends, could put on the mask of unlimitted toleration on fome occasions, even while on others it was breaking through every facred fence of law and justice, to plant its votaries where their influence was most likely to undermine the principle supports of the Protestant Religion. It is no wonder that these infults upon the constitution should provoke a general defertion of all his subjects, who valued the bleffings of religious and civil liberty as they deferved. The consequence was, a general invitation to the Prince of Orange to undertake our deliverance, and the expulsion of the bigoted violator of the laws and liberties of a free and brave people.

It may well be supposed, that this was an event the Papists could not brook with any patience. Accordingly they immediately fell to work to bring back first their abdicated Prince, and after his demise, his Popish representatives, and all with a view of finishing the hopeful work which was interrupted,

so happily for us, at the revolution h.

From

their superstition till they can destroy the faith of the Bible, so neither can they vindicate their social or political principles, till they can destroy the faith of all civil history.

of too recent date to be denied, and other attempts of a less criminal appearance indeed, but still undertaken with the avowed purpose of subverting the protestant government, have been represented as the mere effect of a political struggle for power, or, at worst, of principles common to Protestants with the Papists. But this I deny. To talk of the religion of some of the profligates who engaged in these laudable services, would

From this period the Papists have had an additional cause of enmity to the British Government.

Before

would be a mere jest; and as for those who adhered to the nonjuring clergy, they were indeed no other than a fect of Popery, who stopped short at a few idolatrous circumstances in the popish worship, just as our Protestant Dissenters do at some ceremonies in the Church of England. This appears from the principles of Lesley and many others who have written in defence of that system. See Bp. Burnet's Introduction to the third volume of his History of the Reformation, p. xxii. King James II, himself, it seems, bigot as he was, had some scruples concerning the lawfulness of that murderous project, of which one Jones was to have been the wicked instrument in 1690, but was at length fatisfied both in CONSCIENCE and HONOUR. Burnet, Hist. O. T. vol. II. p. 56. And upon the very same principles which fatisfied the Popilh Bigot, were Friend and Perkins absolved by three of the Catholic nonjuring Clergy, in the year 1666, at the place of execution, though the criminals expressed no fort of repentance for the ill designs they had been engaged in, and which they owned, ibid. p. 174. The healing circumstance was, that King William's herely, and the dignity of the Catholic Church, were equally objects to both parties. In the same manner must be accounted for all the plots in Queen Anne's reign, that of Sir John Maclean in 1704. Hooke's negociations, and Fourbin's expedition to Scotland in 1708. The intrigues of the Jacobites and Papifts during the four last years of her reign. The Rebellion in 1715. The Conspiracy of Count Gyllenburgh and Baron Gortz, that of Atterbury and Layer. The Rebellion in 1745, in which were engaged some of the same persons who had appeared in arms for the old Pretender thirty years before. So that from the accession of Queen Elizabeth, to the last hour of George II. we have a chain of facts, by which it appears that the Pretender's motto, Sequi, finemque tueri, has ever been the mot de guerre of the whole Popish party who have had any interest in, or any connexion with this kingdom from the time of the Reformation, even under all their disappointments. We are now, however, to believe that under the present reign they are the most dutiful of all the denominations of British subjects, and particularly that they take the principles of political obedience from their religion. This we learn from Messieurs Brook and the author of the Confiderations Before the revolution they were enemies to the Protestant Sovereigns of Great Britain, only because

Considerations on the Penal Laws, &c. And to make this a clear cate, Father Philips, in an Appendix to his History of Cardinal Pole, just published, has undertaken to give us a detail of those principles from the Scriptures; but, like an experienced veteran, artfully keeps to generals, without once touching the true point in which we want farisfaction. For who ever denied, that the Scriptures enjoined submission and obedience to the supreme legislative powers? Or who ever imagined, that the Papists were not disposed to pay a dutiful submission to those whom they esteem the supreme powers, whether in consequence of the Scripture injunctions or not? If a Jefuit were to be asked, why he is dispoted to obey the General of his order, preferably to any other power, he would doubtless alledge these Scriptures, having previously informed you that the government of his fociety is the legal government to which he is bound to submit. Now Mr. Philips himself hath limited his scriptural obcdience to legal government; but whether he esteems the present government of Great Britain a legal government, he faith not. If therefore he should be of opinion, that some foreign prince, perfon, prelate, flate, or potentate, bath, and ought to have, jurisdiction, power, superiority, pre-eminence or authority ecclesiastical or spiritual within this realm, it is certain, that so far as such jurifdiction, &c. is excluded, by the laws of this realm, the government of this realm, must, in the estimation of Mr. Philips, be illegal. And if the toreign power, to which he assigns such jurisdiction, should happen to be that of the Bishop of Rome, all the texts of scripture he hath cited, and all his reasoning upon them, such as it is, must be ultimately referred by him to the Pope, so that King George shall no longer be intitled to Mr. Philips's obedience than the Pope chutes he should. If Mr. Philips should fay, that the Pope's having justidiction in matters ecclefiafical or spiritual, leaves the supreme civil power still entire in the hands of the King and Parliament of Great Britain, we shall defire to know, whether, in Mr Philips's opinion, the Pope may not, in every place where he hath fritual jurisdiction, exercise civil jurisdiction likewise, in ordine ad friritualiu? If yea, I defire to know wheher Mr. Philips's civil obedience to King George III. is worth above three farthings, whenever the interests of the Church of Rome come in quettion? If he thinks

cause they were Protestants. The line of succession came not into the question. It was sufficient for the

the Pope hath not civil jurisdiction, &c. within this realm in any cafe, we defire to know how he will acquit Cardinal Pole of high treason in acting under his legantine powers, before the flarute refloring the l'ope's authority was paffed? Surely Mr Philips will be under no difficulty to explain himfelf upon matters to plain and obvious. In the mean time, he will eafily find credit with us, that what he hath advanced will be differented by avery few or none of those auto profes the same Religion, because every word of it might have been owned by Garnet, at the very moment he was abiolving the gun-powder conspirarors. Mr. Hilips is exceedingly provoked at tomebody who " charges him with taking an infamous pleasure in " asperfing and blackening the manners of the Reformers by " falle representations." Appendix, p. 29. Hath he repied to this charge? Hath he acquitted himfelf of it? Not in the leaft. It is fixed upon him by his accuser, and is immoveable and in-And though he to highly refents the imputation, he is io far from being asbamed of the practice, that he is at the fame dirty work again in this very Appendix, p 15 where, upon the credit of one Mr. Nicholas Faunt, " a voucher above ex-" ception, and one of the contpicuous characters of that and " the following reign," we are told, that Queen Elizabeth's court, was a feene of all enormities, rubere wickedness reigned in the highest degree; " a memorable contrast, (fays Mr. Philips) " of the regularity of Queen Mary's." A ffrong argument, no doubt, against the Reformation! Will Mr. Fhilips allow us to try the force of it in Scotland, in the court of another Queen Mary? But what? All enormities in the court of Queen Llizabuth, and none in that of Queen Alary? Yes, one, which was not in Elizabeth's court, and a more flagitious enormity, by ten degrees, than ever was in it I mean a spirit of inhuman bigotry and four superstition, diabolically delighting in facrificing unhappy innocents to the idols of Rome Papal, the parent and nurse of more abominations than Rome Payan. Much good may it do Mr. Philips with fuch regularity. But can he wonder that they who did not admire it so much as he may do found be a little joyous (licentiously joyous if he please), that this female bigot was taken away from the court, and that acces was now opened to a princess of a different disposition, and hence v. Lom the justification of their discontents and conspiracies, that the government was in the hands of an Heretic,

whom the countenances of the Gardiners and the Bonners were no longer feen? The court of Charles II. was the court of a Popish King, and was, at least, as fruitful in committees and wickedness as the court of Elizabeth. When the corruptions of that court were objected to, the excuse was, that " no wonder the " cdium of the hypocritical formalities of the preceding times " should transport people to the contrary extreme;" an excuse which was just as good in the mouths of Queen Elizabeth's courtiers as in the mouths of King Charle's. What would Mr. Philip's think of an historian who should fay, " that the licentioutness of K. Charle's court was a memorable contrait to the regularity of Oliver Cromwell's" by way of infinuating that Independency is a religion of more regularity than Popery? After all, Mr. Philips is not out of his road in making the observations. It is his view to glin proselytes, and nothing more taking with the herd than those infinuations. It is a kind of historical Legerdemain, which excites admiration, at the same time that it deceives the eve his indeed, as far as I have teen, is Mr. Philips's Fort. He should never stir out of it. He hath begun his Appendix, for example with a Differtation on the authority of the Latin Edition of the Scripture, called the Vulgate, wherein he propoles to alcertain the sense in which the Council of Trent has declared the Latin Vulgate Edition of the Scripture to be Authentic. Here he hath is printo the province of the cafuiffical Critic; with how little fuccefs, may be feen in the Monthly Record of Literature for September, and in the Monthly Review for October 1767. The defign of these professions of submission to a Protestant Government, on principles so feemingly different from those entertained by Papists heretofore, is without doubt to perfuade us that we may be perfectly affured, they are not now engaged in any machinations to difturb our Government; a circumstance which may possibly be better known to those, to whose lot it may fall to write the History of the present times twenty years hence, than it is to us at this day. If they have no views but of peace and quietness, and submission to the Powers that be, whence comes their extraordinary assiduity at this particular period in making converts? Whence their petulant scoffs, their insults, and even their menaces, to those who oppose their progress in this work? Have the Papists of this day more zeal for the fouls of men than they who lived ten or

Heretic, without enquiring into his Title by defect, wherein perhaps, had there been any defect,

twenty years ago? This we should be more ready to believe, if we made no observations on the manners and conversation of those they employ in this business, or on the means they make use of for the purpose. Some of the less prudent among them have made their boath (as is observed above) that they were sure of the indulgence of the Government, notwithstanding any complaints that might be made of their audacious contempt of the laws enacted to suppress the practice of profelyting they, in return, given the government any of those pledges of their allegiance which their forefathers refused to give? Have they given any evidence that they have changed their principles on this head, on which it is fit for the guardians of the Protest-ant settlement of the Crown to rely! Must not every proselyte they make espouse the same principles? Every man of common fense, who is in the least acquainted with the principles of Popery, can give himself satisfactory answers to these questions. Upon what grounds then can the Papills pretend to this indulgence? Can they expect it from the Guardians of the Protestant religion in Great Britain, while they abide by these principles? If not, wherein have they shewn that the alteration of their principles hath intitled them to this indulgence? I have observed in some of the papers published on behalf of the Papists, an argument drawn from the permillion given fome time ago for the refidence of a Popish Bishop in Canada, in favour of the suppofition, that the Government have not the apprehensions of the bad effects of the Popish principles of the present times, which are entertained by some of their over-zealous adversaries. Into the true reason for this permission I presume not to inquire. But if the reasons given for it in some of our public prints may be depended upon, namely, that it was granted " in confideration " of the French Court's engaging not to abet or affift in any shape " the fon of the Pretender" [fee the London Chronicle of August 2, 1766], there could hardly be a stronger instance of the distrust the Government had at that time of the principles and dispositions of our domestic Papists. There is not a man of sense in the kingdom, who would not express his contempt for all the efforts France could make in favour of the Pretender, if the whole people of Great Britain were unanimous in their affections for our most gracious Sovereign upon the throne, and equally the Faith of a Roman Catholic Prince might have made it whole.

But now that they who pretend a claim to the Crown of these realms, as the lineal successors of the House of Stewart, are bred in the Roman Catholic Religion, and are superseded likewise by the

and cordially zealous for the support of his government; and this indeed we have reason to hope is the case with all British Protestants. It is in the highest degree probable, that, without the encouragement the French have had from time to time from the Popish party in our own country, they would never have concerned themselves (whatever they might occasionally protess). actually to attempt any thing in favour of the Pretender. But the experience we have had of the temper and principles of the Popish adherents of the Pretender, and whither they have always been looking for affittance, is furely fufficient to put us upon our guard against any future mischief that might arise from such dispositions; and was doubtless the motive which prevailed at that period to have that door shut against Popish influence, by a measure which otherwise perhaps might not have appeared quite unexceptionable. Of that I pretend not to judge. But whatever the case of a distant colony, in the circumstances that Canada was before our conquest of it, might be, no precedent can be drawn from it in tayour of any fuch measure at home; and arguments of this kind only terve to flew the encroaching nature of l'opish pretensions, and to raise very reasonable suspicions that they would extend them in the present case much farther than merely to the free exercise of their religion. It is faid, the Roman Catholics in Canada have feminaries allowed, as well as a Bishop, The former indeed seems to be necessarily implied in the permission to have the latter. The destructive tendency of such permission at home, to the very being of our constitution, need not be told. The public hath already been apprized of it from other hands [See the Appendix, No. III.] Though I do not believe that the French can either impose the Pretender, or their religion upon us, without the aid of the Popish party at home; yet I would not undertake to fay what that party might not be able to do without the French, were they allowed to have their feminaries, and to exercise the discipline usually practised in them, with the same freedom in this kingdom, as they enjoy them in Popish countries. fettlement

And

fettlement of the Crown in a Protestant samily, the illustrious Princes of the House of Hanver; the Kings of Great Britain became obnoxious to the Papists, not only as reputed Heretics, but as breaking in upon the hereditary right of their favourite samily, as hath sufficiently appeared by the several manifestos which were published by the late Pretender and his son to justify the two last Rebellions.

The Penal Laws against the Papists, in this view, appear to be no more than necessary to secure the Protestant settlement of the crown of Great Britain, and, by consequence, the Rights and Liberties of British subjects, against the effects of principles so destructive of our happy constitution.

i The Papists, on both these occasions, were very solicitous to make the Protestants believe, that no alteration of the established religion was intended. With respect to the Rebellion in 1715, the following anecdote, from an unexceptionable witness, affords the fullest proof to the contrary. " He [the Pretender] " was fo afraid of admitting any words [into his Declaration] " which might be confirmed into a promife of his confenting to " those things, which should be found necessary for the present " or future security of our constitution, that in a paragraph " where he was made to fay, that he thought himself obliged to " be folicitous for the prosperity of the church of England, the " word proferity was expunged; and we left, by this mental re-" fervation, to guess what he was solicitous for. It could not be " for her prosperity; that he had expunged. It must therefore " be for her desiruction, which, in his language, would have been " stiled her conversion." bolingbroke's Letter to Sit W. Windham, p. 284, where more may be feen to the fame purpofe. claration in 1745, was in terms equally equivocal. " promising (lays a sensible Remarker on this latter Declaration) " to maintain his Projectant subjects in the free exercise of their " Religion conformable to the Laws of the land, must be underfood, not the laws fince the Usurpation, but those of his Fa-"ther, who fent a Popish Army to root out the Presbyterians " [of Sectional] with the most rigorous protecution." Hender. fone Fitt b thion 1745, p 24. See likewise the excellent Remarke of the Occasional Writer, on this Declaration.

And it is to little purpose to complain of their severity, when we have so often experienced that even that feverity hath not been fufficient to discourage the attempts of the Popish party, whenever they had a prospect of succeeding in bringing about the ruin of our Religion and Liberties; and when we confider that, in all human probability, nothing but this very feverity of the Penal Laws has prevented them from succeeding. rate men with fuch principles, and with no more restraint than is laid upon Protestant Dissenters (whose principles and affections are well known to be friendly to the civil and religious rights of mankind in general, and to our own government in particular), would be to nourish in our bosom a nest of vipers, prepared to subvert our constitution whenever an opportunity should offer, which they could hardly want a year to an end, were they to obtain the full Toleration for which they are pleading.

But it is faid, if you exclude them from Toleration merely on account of their political doctrines, why do you molest them in their religious worship, shut up their Mass-houses, and prosecute their Priests who are merely ecclesiastical offi-

cers?

To this I answer. 1. Whenever the Papists will openly and candidly disavow those political principles which render them obnoxious to our civil Government, we shall then be able to distinguish between those principles and their religious opinions. In the present state of Popery, both sorts of doctrine are so intimately incorporated with each other, as not to admit of any separation, till they shall think sit to make it themselves. In the mean time, every Papist is of course an enemy to the British constitution.

tution, and the guardians of that constitution have no way of knowing who is or is not a Papist, but

by his practifing Popish rites and devotions.

2. The Priests of that communion are known, by the authentic forms which invest them with their function, to be more especially bound to an implicit obedience to a foreign and inimical jurifdiction, with an express exclusion of all subjection to an beretical government. A Popish priest is accordingly known to be an enemy to our government, by the fame tokens that discover him to be a priest. And hence it is that his faying or finging Mass brings him within the reach of the Penal Laws, without farther evidence. But,

3. Except in this fingle instance, no Papist is convicted upon this presumptive evidence. A Laypapift, even though arms should be found in his house, is not amenable to the Penal Laws, till he becomes a Recufant Convict. And here the trial is totally of a civil nature, namely, by tendering him the oaths of allegiance and supremacy; which if he refuses, neither the law of nature or of nations will allow that he should be continued in the protection of that government from which he withholds this equitable security, and that with a view of being

more at liberty to overturn it.

These co siderations naturally suggest to us of the clergy, what is our own duty in respect to our vigilance over those who are more immediately under our inspection in a religious light. Principles of rebellion are not, we are certain, the principles of Christianity; and every thing of that fort which is taught under the name of religion, must have the worst effects upon the dispositions and manners of those who learn and spoule them, as they implaint in their minds a persuasion that they are doing God service by such practices, as they might see, if they were permitted to consult the word of

God, are abomination to him.

It is therefore our duty to lay before our people the pernicious consequences of Popish doctrines, both on account of their present mischief in human fociety, and their tendency to defeat the future hopes of every individual who is corrupted by them. Every convert to Popery is a double loss. He is loft, in the first place, to the glorious liberty of the ions of God, and becomes a flave to a base and fordid superstition, which exercises an imperious tyranny over his conscience, and fetters him once more in that bondage and darkness, from which the light of the Gospel was intended to set him free. He is loft in the next place, as an affectionate and obedient subject to a most gracious King, and a most eligible constitution of Government; and inlifts himself in a pernicious consederacy to subvert the rights and liberties which depend upon them.

These endeavours of the clergy, however, must be understood to be required chiefly within our own province, which directs us to no other means of instruction but the force of reason, and the evidence of scripture. I need hardly mention, that the means of suppressing Popery in the hands of the civil Magistrate, and of a Christian Clergy respectively, are very different. Popery, as we have feen, is convicted by a fett of destructive principles, and a thousand overt-acts justified by them, of aiming at the subversion of every thing that supports the freedom and privileges of British Protestants, and in these circumstances precludes itself from every reasonable pretension to Toleration, either in a civil or a religious sense. The laws have therefore

therefore most wisely impowered the civil Magistrate to stop its progress in every attempt to extend its influence upon any pretence whatsoever.

But the weapons of a Christian and a Protestant clergy are not carnal. To guard the civil liberties of mankind from the conspiracies of a desperate faction, and to watch over the people, that they be not corrupted in their religious principles, belong to two different departments. The latter is peculiar to our profession, and our rule and direction for discharging it are in the holy scriptures alone.

The clergy indeed, as dutiful fellow-subjects with their flocks, are bound to co-operate with the ministers of God for their good, in every province. But their proper business is to labour in the word and doctrine; and the whole force of the charge upon them, which I have mentioned above, turns upon the supposition that if they had done their duty faithfully in their own department, and had been diligent and frequent in laying before the people those proofs and evidences from holy writ, which shew in the clearest manner the various im postures of Popery, and the great spiritual danger of being deluded by them, it would not have been in the power of those wicked emissaries, who compass sea and land to make proselytes to the Pope, to prevert the people from their civil allegiance, or to engage them in any evil defign to bereave them of those inestimable rights and privileges to which they are intitled, both as the disciples of Christ, and the free subjects of the Crown of Great Britain

It has been a fer ed, and upon no weak prefumption, that if there should be a man of common fense fense so circumstanced as to have no other way of coming at a compleat view of the delign and tendency of the gospel of Christ, he might have it very fufficiently, by putting down a lift of doctrines the reverse of those taught in the church of Rome, and particularly those upon which she builds her exclusive authority. And indeed no great wonder. For a religion where Idolatry is practifed and encouraged in fo many gross instances, and whose aim and end is to establish an arbitrary dominion over the human mind, for fo many lucrative and fenfual purposes, could but maintain its reputation for a very little while, without those flavish traditions of the Pharifaical kind, and the superstitious pageantry of Paganism, which our blesfed Saviour condemned, and came on earth to abolish k.

It

Perhaps there is not any more effectual way of fecuring our people from the snares laid for them by Popish Priests and other emissaries employed by them, than to point out to them the fevere denunciations of the wrath of God against Idulatry under all its forms and disguises, with which the scriptures both of the Old and New Testament abound, and to constont them with the worship of the Papists exhibited in their Books of Devotion. The simplest man alive, who has common sense, and reads these passages in his Bible, can hardly be imposed upon by the most artificial glosses the Advocates for popery can put upon so evident a contempt of the word of God. There have been, and there possibly may be still, members of the church of England, who have been backward to impute Idolatry to the church of Rome, from what apparent motives I forbear to mention. "But, " faith Bishop Stilling fleet, I cannot see why the authority of " fome very few persons, though of great learning, should bear " fway against the constant opinion of our church ever fince the Reformation." This learned Bishop hath indeed himself fallen under the reprehension of an eminent Prelate of the prefent times, for endeavouring to fix Idolatry upon the church of

of Popery in Great-Britain, &c.

It is true, we have one disadvantage in our circumstances, which cannot be mentioned without

Rome by an incompetent argument. " The Advocates of the " church of Rome," fays this learned writer, " to evade the " charge of Idolatry, with which they are urged by the Re-" formed, pretend that this crime confifts in giving the worship " due to the supreme God to inferior beings. The excellent " Bishop Stillingfleet, in opposing this subterfuge, attempted to " prove that the most civilized Pagans, who are consessed to be " Idolaters, did not give the honours due to the first Cause to " their inferior Gods; the first Cause, as he pretends, being " worshiped by them as such. An affertion, which, if true, " would confute all that the Prophets and the Apostles say con-" cerning the state and condition of the Pagan world." Doctrine of Grace, p. 248. Ed. 2d. The learned Prelate calls this pretence of the Papists a fubterfuge, from whence it is reasonable to conclude, that, in his opinion, the Papists are nevertheless guilty of Idolatry, though Bishop Stilling fleet's argument should go upon a falle fact. But if we take the word of the Papiffs. who should know their own intentions the best, that they never give supreme honour to inferior beings, I do not see how this learned Prelate would, upon his own state of the case, convict them of Idolatry. For if in all that the Prophets and Apostles have faid concerning the state and condition of the Pagan world, no inftance can be brought where the civilized Pagans worshiped their inferior Gods with honours inferior to those with which they worshiped the first Cause, that species of worship will not be to be found in the whole Bible, and confequently cannot there be condemned as Idolatry. Surely this is a cale which well deferves to be cleared up more at length. When the same learned hand found fault with Dr. Midaleton for deriving the superstitions of Popery from those of Paganism, the Doctor was still living to vindicate his hypothesis; and accordingly set all right in a small compais. In the present case, Dr Stillingfleet is long fince dead and gone, and few have furvived him who have had the fame extensive reach in facred and prophane learning. It would be hard upon his memory, if his learned labours upon this subject might be overthrown by a bare counter-affertion without particular proof. His argument is certainly friendly to the Protestant cause, and it would be equally hard to deprive us of the benefit of it, merely out of deference to an admired name. I therefore cannot but hope that this learned and ingenious Prelate will speedily make good his proposition by a particular demonstration, that we may know what we have to trust to.

regret; and that is, that our common people in general have not only a very slender acquaintance with the scriptures, but likewise seem in no great degree desirous to improve their little stock of knowledge of this kind, or to be sensible how much they may suffer for the want of it. Their ignorance accordingly, as may well be expected, makes them slow in apprehending arguments drawn from the word of God, and much in the dark with respect to controverted points, the decision of which depends upon that kind of proof, when such matters come in their way. Hence they are liable to be deceived by those who, observing their natural turn and disposition, are ready to humour

them in their own way.

There is nothing that Popish converters dislike fo much in the course of their occupation, as to meet with an enlightened mind. Their common cant is there of no service to them, and they are driven into diffresses for which they are not prepared. Whereas Ignorance gives them all the advantages they can defire. Ignorance is weak, credulous, and superstitious; easily terrified with such phantoms and bugbears as Romisb craftsrnen well know how to raife, and eafily allured likewise with hopes and promises of present and future good things, which the inventions of men have flored up in the fantastic treasury of the church of Rome. And when these artifices and delusions meet with the fecular spirit of one, who desires to indulge with the good things of this life, without lofing his hopes of happinets in the next, he readily gives up his privilege of examining spiritual things for himself, and will think himself obliged to an officious priest who will undertake to secure his falvation for him, without more trouble on his own part than than that of going through a few superstitious forms on solemn occasions, which the most immoral man upon earth may practise with as much ease, and as good effect, as the most pious and sincere Christian.

But from the beginning of the Reformation it was not fo. The common people of that time were not fo to be enfnared. The scriptures had for a long time been locked up from them in an unknown tongue; and when, by the care of their reformed paftors, the Bible was translated into English, it is almost incredible with what avidity the poor people applied themselves to the reading of it. Readers indeed in those days were but fearce; and happy was the company who could meet with a person with an audible voice, and a distinct pronunciation, that would read the fcriptures to them. Our histories give us accounts of the vast crouds of people who attended such meetings in Paul's Church, and other places. where English Bibles were provided for that purpose 1.

G This

¹ See Strype's Memorials of Archbishop Cranmer, p. 64, sub anno 1538. Heylin's Hist. of the Reformation, p. 9 and 20, and Fuller's Church History, book viii: p. 387; who tells us, that "Few country-parishes could go to the cost of this Bible" of the greater volume [printed by Graston, 1541]; but that "Bishop Bonner caused six of them to be chained in the church of St. Paul, in convenient places." See likewise Burnet, Reform vol. II. p. 249 and concerning the prohibition of Tindal's Translation, see Burnet, keform vol. III. p. 5. The story both of this prohibition, and of the allowance of the other editions, is told by all these historians (Strype excepted) with little accuracy, and not without some contribution; which is the more remarkable, as Fox (who was prior to them all) hath given a most circumstantial account of this whole matter in his Martyrology,

This happened in the reigns of K. Henry VIN and K. Edward VI; and what proficiency the people made in Christian knowledge, by this application and attention to the word of God appears by the readiness, good sense, and solidity, with which even some of the poorer sort answered the Popish doctors, who were appointed to question them on the subject of what was called their Heresy, in the barbarons reign of Queen Mary.

Nothing can be more desireable than that the common people may once more return to the same disposition, and arm themselves with the word of God against deceivers of all sorts. One may safely venture to say that their victories over the crasty sophisters of the church of Rome would be easy and cheap. They might then be left, with great security, to their own judgment, which will always then be the best informed, when men examine the evidences of truth and salschood, by the diligent and sincere application of their own capacities and endeavours.

I have always confidered the duty of Protestant teachers to confist chiefly in endeavouring to raise and revive this spirit of sludving the scriptures in our respective flocks; but more particularly in opposition to the methods of stifling the truth, and discouraging free and impartial inquiries into it, which give the Popish clergy so many advantages

logy, vol. II. p. 1086, 1087. Fox says, that Bonner promised Grafton the printer, at Taris, that he would set up six of his Bibles in St. Paul's; but that he performed his promise, Fox saith not. Though, considering that Bonner was a retainer to Cromwell, the patron of Grafton's Bible, it is not improbable but he might.

ever both their own people, and those they attempt to seduce. As we pretend to no authority to be believed upon our own word in matters of salvation, or to be governed by any precedents but those in the holy scriptures, it is for our credit and reputation, while we ourselves are honest and upright, that our hearers should be competent judges of what we deliver to them, which it is impossible they should be if they have little or no skill in the rule by which their judgments should be formed.

What I am here observing is more particularly true with respect to Popery. The errors of the church of Rome are not such only as arise from the controverted fense of particular passages of scripture, which is the case among those disagreeing fects to whom the scripture is the common measure of judgment. The infufficiency of scripture to furnish faving knowledge, and to decide controverfies, is a fundamental doctrine of the church of Rome; nor are the propagators of Popery ever willingly brought to put their cause upon that test. But as the Protestants had early opened these fountains of instruction by free translations of them into the vulgar tongues of their respective countries, it became necessary for the Papists, in many cases, to obviate their objections by appeals to the fame authority, and even (to prevent untoward suspicions in their own people) to give them a freer access to them, than before the Reformation they had allow-This however they did not venture to do, till they had forewarned them, in some instances, of the little estimation due to the scriptures, and had, in others, corrupted and falfified them by fuch additions, omissions, and mistranslations, as G 2 might might give them the air of countenancing their own

fuperstition 1.

We object therefore to Popery, not as it is an erroneous lect of Christianity, but as it is an antichristian

I For the groffest instances of the contempt of the scriptures in the Roman church, as well as among their private doctors, fee a pamphlet, intituled Popery an Enemy to Scripture, by lames SERCES, Vicar of Appleby in Lincolnshire, printed for Watts, Roberts, and Offorne, 1736, p. 42, 43, &c. And for inflances of foul corruption and falfification of the scriptures, see the fame book, from p. 49 to p. 69. Cartwright's and Fulk's Confutation of the Rhemist's Translation, Glosses, and Annotations on the New Testament, abounds with examples of the same fort. Nor are inflances wanting even in our own time and country. I have now before me an English Testament of the Rhemish Translation, printed 1749, in twelves, with short notes, some of which are abridged from the Rhemilh Annotators, and others added by a more modern hand; and likewife with confiderable alterations in the text, as, Matth. xi. 21. where the old Rhemists have it, would have done penunce in beare-cloth [hair-cloth], the modern Reviser has given it, done penance in suck-cloth. For though the poor ignorant English Catholics, for whose use this translation is calculated, might be taught to believe that the humiliation of the Jews here alluded to, was like the penances in the Roman church, yet that the Jews wore hair-cioth on those occasions would not be quite to easily believed; not to mention, that should this Translation by any untoward accident fall into the hands of Heretics, tuch an imposition might have made the Papifes perfectly ridiculous. Thus far mere shame hath compelled them to do partial justice at least to the tacred text, even while they are corrupting it. For it is certain that thefe public Humiliations among the Jerus were no more like the Penances in the Roman church, than an entire covering of fack-cloth is like a Hair-sbirt. But in other instances our Revisor is more trusty to his cause. For whereas our Saviour says, Mat.b. xv. 9, according to our translation, in vain do they worship me, teaching for docirines the communiaments of men, lest this should be thought to bear hard upon the traditions of Popery, the Revitor hath thought proper to explain it away in the following note, abridged from the Rhemish Translators. " As to the rules and ordinances " of holy church, touching Fasts, Festivals, &c. there are no " ways repugnant to, but highly agreeable to Goa's holy word, christian system of superstition, totally subversive of the truth as well as of the benefits of the goipel of Christ; attempting to transfer our faith and hopes of everlafting life from Christ the Rock of our falvation, to the fandy foundation of human authority, and precarious tradition. For as to what they tell us of their church deriving its authority from Christ, and their pastors from the Apostles in an uninterrupted succession, we have a furer way of judging these their pretensions, than by a chain of traditionary legends, namely, the direction of Christ himself to examine the merit of all fuch pretenders by their fruits; and by these it will appear that, inftead of being the legitimate paftors of the Christian flock, they are rather the offspring of those walves in speep's clearbing, of whom our bleffed Lord gave his disciples fo many cautions to beware.

[&]quot; and all Christian piety. Neither are they to be counted " amongst the doctrines and commandments of men, because " they proceed not from mere human authority, but from that " which Christ hath established in his church; whose Pastors " he has commanded us to hear and ob.y, even as himleif. " St. Luke, x. 16. St. wlatt. xviii. 1-" The et cetera above marked is a pregnant one, big with all the traditional doctrines of Popery, and renders this determination of our Saviour of none effect, just as the pharifaiacal traditions defeated the commandments of God. " We demand of you" tays Cartweight in his censure of this note, " whether it is your doctrine, that, " although the parents should die in the street, yet may not their " monkish daughters make a step out of their numbery to save "their lives? We suppose yourselves mutter some such thing upon Matth. viii. 22." They do indeed more than mutter it; for these are their words: " By this we tee, that not only no " worldly or carnal respect, but no other landal le duty towards " our parents, ought to stay us from following Chill, and " choosing a life of greater perfection." But every one knows, that, in the language of Popery, a Life of Perfection means the Life of a Monk or a Nun. G 3 To

To obviate these base misrepresentations, it will become us to affert the honour and importance of our Ciniftian feriptures, to labour earnestly with our people to have continual recourse to them, as the original records of whatever concerns our common advation; to encourage them in the fludy of them, by pointing out the simplicity in which indispensable matters of faith are delivered in th m, and the plainness and perspicuity of those precepts which concern our practical duty; to warn then of the woe denounced upon those who and the danger of following blind guides, and hypocritical pretenders to au onity under commissions of which the scriptures m k to me tio but to condeing them, and which, as those very fcriptures warn us, are calculated for nothing but to promote imposture, to captivate the rands of those to whom Christ has given lib by from all spiritual dominion but his ow, and to gratify the infatiable avarice and ambition of inicient, fordid, and felf-interested men 14.

m Am ng the n ay of cons to Porery, there is none more firling to a reaferable genuous mind, than the MEANNESS which runs through all its peculiar acctrines and superstitions. One can hardly open any of their kituals without being put in mind of St Jaul's LIGGARLY FLEMENTS, Gal. iv. 9. which all the pomp and parade of their ceremonies cannot difguife; and, as a certain judicious writer of our own country observes, " the rabble do utually cast their eyes upon, as children do on " the Lord Mayor's pageants; admiring the splendor of those " that ride in them, who, confidered in their own nature, are " but mean men's iffue, and thus adorned at the City coft. Yet " their external various doth fo obstruct the organs of fense, as " they do not perceive the poorness of the people that bear them " up." For who knows not that the magnificent implements, tite: fils, and or naments, in use in the Roman church, have been collected by the contributions partly of ignorant and superstitious zoalots, and partly of dying men and women, terrified or allured

It is true, our duty calls us to oppose errors of all kinds as well as those of Popery. But we are

4

Ly the doctrines of Purgatory and Indulgences, to purchase their future peace at the hands of defigning priefts, who are base and abject enough to earich their churches and fraternities fometimes by reducing poor widows and orphans, who should have inherited these donations, to the extremely diffress and milery. What can be meaner than the practices of their begging Friars, who, as Dr. Middleton observes, " are always about the streets, " and never fail to carry home a good tack full of provisions for " the use of their convent." Letter from Rome, 8vo, 1741, p. 220. This trade is copied, as the Doctor remarks, from their predecessors the Priests of Paganism. But, as the same writer informs us, " Cicero, in his book of Laws, referains this " practice of begging or gathering alms to one particular order " of Priests [of Cyb. le], and that only on certain days; because, " as he fays, it propagates superstition, and impoverish fami-" lies. Which, by the way, may let us fee the poli- Tand I add, therein the superlative meanness of the church of Rome. " in the great care they have taken to mulaply their begging " orders' The meanness of Popery is indeed the principal idea which this Letter from Rome exhibits, from the beginning to the end. It is impossible for a reader of it to consider the Roman clergy in any higher character than that of Jugglers shewing their tricks for money, with this infamous difference on the part of the Priests, that, under the mask of religion, they would make all their impostures pals for realities, and thereby incur the guilt of numerous and substantial evils introduced into society, of which the honester, and oftentimes the more dextrous, Charlatan, flands acquitted. It is very certain that many of the more liberal and generous of that communion have been fcandalized at some of these contemptible practices, and have occasionally expressed their disgust: but still it seems it is thought necessary to continue them, upon the pitiful pretence, that, without such circumstances, religion would make very slender impressions on the minds of the people; which indeed is likely enough to be true, confidering with what industry the poor people are kept in ignorance, that religious impressions may not be made upon them by any better means. But the truth is, these impositions are far more necessary for the priests themselves. The management of them is the craft by which they have their wealth : to confider that the errors of other fects are very differently founded. They do not, like the Papifts

wealth; and to preferve that, nothing so mean, or childish, or ridiculous, or knavish, which may not be attempted. Dr. Middleton observes, that Father Mubillon, a learned Frenchman, and a Prieft, had never feen the ceremony of sprinkling beafts of labour with holy water upon a certain annual festival, " when all " the inhabitants of the city [Rome] and neighbourhood fend up " their horses, asses, &c. to the convent of St. Anthony-where " a priest in a surplice, ar the church-door, sprinkles with his " brush all the animals fingly, as they are prefented to him, and " receives from each owner a gratuity proportionable to his " zeal and abilities."—Mabillon was fur prized at this ceremony. as well as many other parts of their worthip, which he had never feen, till he travelled into Italy.- "But, favs the Doctor, " if thefe men of learning and teachers of religion knows to " little of what is done at Rome, how easy must it be to impose " upon the poor Catholics of England, and keep them in the " dark, as to the more exceptionable parts of their worship, " which are openly avowed and practited abroad, to the scandal " of all the candid and moderate of their own communion." Letter, p. 141, and Pref. Discourse, p. xvi. Nothing surely can be more base and unmanly than to keep our fellow-creatures in ignorance, that we may with the more facility make a fordid gain of them. 1: is the meanness of a common chear, and for which an ordinary tradelman would lote his reputation, and a practice, which, in a pretended teacher of religion, delerves a worse punishment than the pillory. But it must be owned that the Lay-papilts of England are not much less mean than the Priefts themselves, in submitting to be choused by such consuring work, especially in a country where they may make a fair examination, without standing in awe of the thunder of the Vatican, or the familiars of an Holy Office. The indignities to which some of our Roman Catholics, not of the lowest rank, are often obliged to fubmit, in deference to an infolent imperious Prieft, cannot with all their caution be so concealed, but that they will occasionally transpire. And what wonder? " In " the papers of Sheldon, Superior of the Jesuits, seized in No-" vember 1745, are proofs of commands being obeyed, when " gentlemen of the first rank and their chaplains have been both " averse to a separation. None are allowed to chuse their own pifts appeal to an infallible Judge, but to the scriptures considered as a rule of religion to Protestants in general; and every one having the liberty of searching and studying these, the questions among Protestant churches must be determined by these facred records, or not at all. And it may happen that they who differ from our establishment may, in some points, and those of no little consequence, have as good or better authority of the scriptural kind than ourselves. This, as members of a Protestant church, we must allow to be possible, unless we will say at once that we are infallible, and have the same authority to dictate to all Dissenters that Popery pretends to.

But as, without a pretence to such unwarrantable authority, the differences among Protestant societies must still subsist, here is not only room, but an absolute necessity for that mutual sorbearance which Popery will not admit of. There are indeed circumstances in Popish worship, which exclude us from any Christian Fellowship with those who practise it. The scriptures prohibit all religious intercourse with idolaters; and indeed, considering the prophetical warnings to come out from those who are infected with this abomination, which are applied by the Apostle Paul to the state of the first Christian societies, no man can be too cautious, that he do not forseit the precious pro-

[&]quot;the pleasure of the Superior." What meanness in a free born Englishman! See a remarkable in ance of the tyranny exercised by the superior ecclesses over the inferior Popish clergy, in a very interesting Address to their Graces the Archbishops of Canterbury and York, &c in guidaline, printed for Becket and De Hondt, p. 12, 13

mises given to those who keep themselves undefiled with it.

No objections of this nature lye against any of our Protestant Dissenters. All that they desire is to abound in their own sense of scripture, as we do in ours. This, upon original Protestant principles, cannot be denied them; and upon this bottom, their dissenting from us either in doctrine or worship, sounded as their pretensions to it are, and their sincerity in examining for themselves (a matter out of the reach of human judgment) being presupposed, is no more to be found fault with,

than our diffenting from them.

For the rest, it is perhaps as much owing to political contingencies as to any thing else, that our system was, and theirs was not, established by civil authority and neither the course of the world, nor the principles of its inhabitants, seem to be in so settled a condition, as absolutely to exclude the possibility of material alterations in the present state both of our public policy and public religion. Popery is more than supposed to have gained ground upon us considerably within the last twenty years. If a farther increase of this wretched superstition should ever bring on another criss of the Protestant religion, Protestants of all denominations must unite in the defence of their common interest,

In the Convocation of 1562, the abrogation of all Holidays, except Sunday, and of the Cross in Baptism; dispensations with respect to the Surplice, and kneeling at the Communion, the total disuse of Organs, were solicited with zeal and freedom; and the question concerning these ceremonies was carried in their favour only by one vote, and that the vote of a proxy. Whereas there was on the other side a majority of eight, of those who were present, and heard the debate. Burnet, Hist. Reform. vol. III. P. 303.

when it may be found necessary to bolt the door more firmly against the Bishop of Rome and all his detestable enormities, to make more room in our ecclesiastical establishment for all the well-wishers to civil and religious liberty, by removing all those circumstances in our worship and discipline, which may seem, though but in appearance, to give countenance to the rites and impositions of Popery, fanctified by nothing but a groundless church-au-

thority.

In the mean time, it is an obligation equally incumbent upon the members of the church of England and the Protestant Dissenters from it, to be vigilant against the common adversary, and confequently to unite in the strictest bonds of friendship and denevolence. Our Christian liberty on both fides depends on supporting our gracious King and his free and equal government, against all attempts. whether directed against his person, or the civil and religious rights and privileges of the people under his protection. In this light all Protestants are doubly brethren, the fons of one common father, and the happy subjects of one common Sovereign. Popery is equally an enemy to all Protestant establiffments, as well as to all Protestant sects; and in our fystem has never found a surer game to play, than that of exasperating Protestants of one persuafion agai ft those of another. Hitherto, by the good providence of God, this policy hath miscar-The Papifts are evidently beginning the same game again o. It is our wisdom, and will be

our

This is evident from their lare printed pleas for Toleration, wherein they affect of fet the principles of the Protestant Diftenters, and particularly their enmity to the church of England,

our fafety, to be aware of them in time, and to cultivate fuch a measure of good-will and confidence among all Protestants, that none of that denomination, however distinguished, may have reason to complain of being driven into the snare by our untractable disaffection and distrust of them, when it has been and still is so very evident that

they cannot deferve it.

To conclude: We feem, in matters of religion, to be arrived at a very interesting criss, wherein the prophecy of our blessed Saviour, namely, that, because of the abounding of iniquity, the love of many should wax cold, is fulfilled among us, as visibly at least as it has been among Christians of any other period since the prophecy was delivered. There seems to be at this time not only a general coolness towards the Protestant religion, as distinguished from the spirit and practices of Popery, but likewise a general inattention to those interests of the temporal as well as of the spiritual kind, which it was the glory and praise of our ancestors to support.

Unhappily for the public, as well as individuals, the fashion of the times prevails too often in religion, as well as in matters of less importance. The word of God, for which the poor people hungred and thirsted in the beginning of the Reformation, now that it is set open to every one with the great-

in the most inviduous light; building thereon a claim of nearer indred to our ecclesiastical constitution, and a reason a fortiori for greater indulgence from it. And in this they may perhaps appear to some people to have succeeded, by the many virulent things which have appeared of late in the public prints against the Dissenters; but written undoubtedly by the Papists themselves, under the mask of Churchmen.

est freedom, seems, in too many instances, to be despised and neglected, like other things, which lose their value, when they lose their novelty. Many seem now even to pride themselves in their ignorance, and to think themselves happy in being able to excuse their ungodly, fraudulent, or immoral practices, on the pretence of wanting learning, or what they call scholarship; unmindful that he who is wilfully ignorant of his Lord's will, when he may have the opportunity of learning it, will be beaten with as many stripes, as he who knows it, and doth it not; and that the few stripes mentioned in the parable are allotted to those only, from whom their Master's will is concealed by some unavoidable obstruction or incapacity P.

On

P That I may not be suspected of a want of candor, it may be proper to mention the appearance of a more edifying spirit among certain focieties which have rifen up among us in thefe latter times. It is faid, and I hope with great truth, that numbers of the common people have been to far converted by those who labour in forming these societies, as to put on a more serious and pious deportment, and to abitain from many open instances of licentiousness, for which they were notorious in times past. With respect to the doctrines taught in these societies, it is no small recommendation of them that they are pretended to be the genuine doctrines of the first Reformers of the church of England; the principal of which however is, that the scrip ures are the only authorizative rule of faith and practice to the Christian man How far they have justified these presenfions in their teachings and onduct, it is at prefent out of my way to inqui.e. It is natural for the members of an established church to be alarmed on the rife of any new fect, to remark and centure its deviations from the common forms, and to thew and reprehend the ill effect of its peculiarities. Accordingly the Methodifts have been blamed for a want of charity rowards those of a different pertuation from themselves; for configuing particular persons to condemnation; for being extremely peremptory and dogmatical in their interpretations of scripture; for

On another hand, it has been observed, that a felfish spirit prevails too much in those concerns wherein our very constitution is at stake. of public, fay some people, is the last thing that " is cared for, even by those classes of men, who, 66 both by their station and abilities, are under the " highest obligations to consult its welfare, with-" out which individuals can have no fecurity for " their peace, their property, or even their very " existence."

This state of the case must turn the eyes and expectations of those who perceive the approaching effects of this indifference upon the ciergy, of course. Their conduct will be marked by the judicious few, though the fecular and flothful among them may be indulged and even applauded for conforming to the fashion of the times, by those who, shunning the light of the Goipel themselves, neither understand their own duty nor that of their teachers; and who, defiring to be indulged in their turn, are ready enough to icreen themselves under examples, who, they will fay, would certainly direct them to

making pretentions to spiritual illuminations, of which they can give no sufficient proof. It is indeed to be apprehended that they may have given but too just occasion for these censures, and that through a common failing incident to all who pay more attention to artificial systems of theology, than to the genuine fense of scripture. But be their errors what they will, their industry in attending their disciples, the impressions of piety they have made upon them, and the zeal they express for the falvation of fouls, are circumstances worthy of our regard and imitation; and are a loud call upon us of the established church, to take heed to ourselves and to our doctrine, to double our diligence in raising a better spirit among our people, and to awaken both them and ourselves from the slumber of secularity, which is so manifestly obstructive of Christian edification.

a better

a better practice, if a better practice was neces-

fary.

But let no man deceive himself with vain words. In any general calamity, fuch as a return of Popery would bring upon us, even these thoughtless men must suffer as well as others, either by submitting to a remorfeless ecclesiastical tyranny, or by a merciless vengeance for opposing it, and will then be fufficiently awake to fee clearly from whence their fufferings are derived; and would be the first to reproach those who have flattered them in their flumbers, and complied with them in those follies and diffipations, which now keep them fecure and infensible of the common danger. It will be our happiness and our comfort in such an evil day, to have the testimony of our confciences that we have not cealed to warn every one, within our respective departments, of the just judgments of God upon those who either neglect the care of t'eir falvation in the world to come, or undervalue the means of working it out to the greatest advantage, which have been to bountifully afforded and to repeatedly preferved and refcued from the d fructive jaws of Popish tyranny and arbitrary pow r, by the vigilance of a gracious Providence, over this particular country, perhaps without example in any other.



APPENDIX

NUMBER I.

An Account of a Popish Book, intituled, The Devotion to the facred Heart of JESUS, &c. lately published, and dispersed with great Industry among the Roman Catholics of this Country.

THEY who have pleaded for a free Toleration of the Papists in Great Britain, on the pretence of their disposition to submit to the present government, and their disclaiming the power of the Pope or church of Rome over the temporalties even of Protestant Princes², have been obliged to answer to a very material objection, namely, that while the superstition, enthusiasm, and fanaticism

a See a Letter in the Public Ledger of August 28, 1767, figned A Lover of all Mankind, and another in the Gazetteer, August 29, 1767, figned Anti-Draco.

of their people, with respect to visions, revelations, Ge. of their pretended saints, arise to such a pitch of extravagance, there can be no depending upon any principles they may espouse, upon the foundation of reason, or even of scripture. For the pretence of an immediate revelation from one of their faints; commanding the most absurd and wicked thing imaginable, must at once cancel all general obligations arising from the tenor either of human or divine laws, as hath been oftentimes proved by various inflances, particularly among the devotees of the Jesuits; and as a large majority of their stationed priests in England are of that order, the government will have every thing to fear from the impressions those Fathers make upon their people by impolitions of that kind.

In answer to this we are told, "that the Papists are not so superstitious and bigoted with respect to the forms of devotion to their reputed saints as heretofore; that the imposture of pretended visions and revelations have been detected and disgraced more lately among themselves; and we have seen above, that great stress is laid upon the modern Papists not being so much under the

influence of their priests as in former times.

What reformation the Papists may have made in their politics, we shall never be able to learn, but from some public and explicit renunciation of their former principles; that no modification of their ancient fanaticism hath as yet taken place among them, will appear by the specimens of it in the performance upon which I am about to remark, which, being calculated for the edification of English and Irish Papists, may possibly furnish us with a mason, why our predecessors were so strict in prohibiting the importation of Popish books; pon

a con-

APPENDIX 114

a conviction, no doubt, that they, who could give into fuch abject superstition with the zeal and enthusiasm that is here prescribed, might easily be wrought up into any attempts upon the religion and government of a race of Heretics, who are taught to hold these solemnities in contempt and derifion. The title of this curious publication is as follows:

The Devotion to the Sacred Heart of Jesus: with other pious Practices, devout Prayers, and Instructions, for the use and convenience of Christians in general. With Permission of Superiors. BRUGES, by Joseph Van Praet. 1765.

Opposite to this title-page is a shocking print, representing Jesus Christ, with the Breaft cut open, and the Heart laid bare, furfounded with what is called a Glory; and this appears, by what follows in the book, to be the very image to which they who practife this Devotion pay their adoration.

The book begins with the following account:

" SECT. I. Concerning the Institution of the " Devotion.

" The Devotion to the facred Heart of Jesus. "the Feast whereof is kept on the Friday follow-

" ing the Octave of the bleffed Sacrament, has " been promoted and authorized by feveral Popes,

" of whom some have granted a plenary indulgence

to fuch as shall visit the churches of the religious

of the Vifitation of our bleffed Lady on the faid

" Feaft, &c."

APPENDIX I. 115

It will be proper to inform the Protestant reader, that the orders of Monks and Nuns in Popish countries, being very numerous, and every one zealous for the honour of his or her particular institution, there naturally arises a rivalship among them, which of them shall have the greatest number of Lay-votaries attached to their Order. For which purpose they attempt to institute some peculiar solemnity or devotion, of which some Saint of their own Order, or some particular transaction or incident of the Saint's life or history, is the soundation.

But it is to be observed, that these particular Devotions, to make them authentic, must have the approbation of the Pope; who, if he can be prevailed with to give it, annexes certain privileges or indulgences to the practice of such Devotions, which are understood to be communicated to those who practise them according to the form prescribed.

But, forasmuch as these Devotions are apt to occasion strifes and variances between different religious communities, the Popes have frequently refused to authorise such of them as might seem to interfere with the interest or reputation of some other Order or Orders, the principals of which have often interposed, and remonstrated against the establishment of such new devotions as they apprehended might lessen the credit or importance of their own fraternity.

Accordingly we see here, that this Devotion of the Sacred Heart hath only been authorised by several Popes, and that only some of those several have granted a plenary indulgence in favour of it. And we shall see presently, that even this precious Devotion was under a cloud at Rome from July 30,

H 2

1729, to February 6, 1765. But to proceed with the Introduction.

"Though this Solemnity may appear new, yet " the Devotion is far from being so, and has ever

" been the constant practice of many most eminent " Saints of the church of God, St. Hernard, St.

clare, St. Catharine of Sienna, St. Elzear, St.

" Francis of Affifum, St. Bonaventure."

After which he exhibits feveral fanatical expressions of these pretended Saints; such as, drawing from this Devotion the most alluring sweetness and Consolation .- Being all on fire with the love of Jesus, as often as she thought of this adoreable Heart. -- Would you know where your Elzear is? Seek me in the Heart of JESUS; there I make my usual abode.

But, as the defign of this publication is to do particular honour to the Jesuits, the catalogue of Saints devoted to the Heart is chosed with four of that fraternity; namely, St. Ignatius Loyola, St. Francis Xaverius, St. Philip Nerius, St. Aloyfius Gonzaga. Canonizations of Jesuits have not been frequent; and I question whether, at the time this book was published, there were more than these four Saints of that Order in the Roman Calendar b. But they are enough for the purpose of

b However, to balance the difgrace of the Jesuits in other quarters, his Holiness, it seems, is in the humour to gratify them with one additional Saint at least, as appears by the following Extract of a Letter from Rome, published in the Public Ledger, April 1, 1767. While several States of Europe are expelling and insulting the Jesuits, this City is canonizing " them. On Monday next, another Saint will be added to the "Golden Legend, viz. Father Francis de Jerome, who has per-" formed several surprizing miracles, as well since as before his 44 death." The letter from Rome is dated March 7.

amusing the silly Catholics of England and Ireland, who, conceiving that the beatification of these Fathers must imply the sanctity of the whole Order, would be the more scandalized at the expulsion of the Jesuits from France and Portugal; a point to which these crasty politicians were to be very attentive at this criss.

"Whoever," fays this compiler, "reads the lives of these Saints, must necessarily observe the tender Devotion they had to the Sacred Heart of

" Jefus."

Had I been of counsel with this author, I could have helped him to another Saint, to add to this venerable groupe; even the pious St. John Baptist Girard, who (as his Process sets forth,) "in order to conceal his sacrilegious and incestuous slame, faid to Miss Cadiere, Let us love one another dearly, in the Sacred Heart of Jesus. And hence it comes, says Cadiere's Apologist, that all those Love-letters in the Appendix to the Proceedings, conclude with these words, I am intimately united with you, in the Sacred Heart of Jesus."

H 3

But

of Chaplains of the Navy at Toulon, was tried by the Parliament of Provence, in the year 1731, for spiritual incest with his Penitent Mary Catharine Cadiere, the consequence of which being the pregnancy of the said Cadiere, he was further charged with giving her drugs to procure an abortion. The facts were clearly and punctually proved by sufficient evidence. Twelve of his twenty-four judges would have condemned him to be burned alive; three voted for the galleys, or perpetual banishment, and nine for his acquittal. The interest, or perhaps the purse, of the Jesuits prevailed in favour of this last verdict; and thus this profligate priest escaped, not by the equitable sentence of the law, but by the corrupt interposition of his own fraternity, who, for their own honour, should have been the first to bring him to justice

But to proceed with the account before us. "Je"fus Christ," says this abominable book, "who
had formerly, by his inspiration, invited only
fome particular saints to this amiable devotion to his Sacred Heart, reserved the manifestation of it to these latter times, as he revealed to St. Gertrude, that it might be a
means to draw the faithful out of that extreme
tepidity into which he foresaw they would degenerate."

What a representation of the blessed Saviour of the world! He forsees the extreme tepidity into which the faithful would degenerate; he knows the means to draw them out of it; and yet withholds this precious remedy for seventeen hundred years from all the world except a few of his favourites, and communicates his intention of manifesting it in these latter ages only to a filly obscure woman, without any view that appears, of its going farther, till it broke out in the manner sollowing.

"Sifter Mary Margaret, a religious of the visitation of our blessed Lady, in the monastry of Paroi, a town in the Dutchy of Burgundy, who died the 17th of October 1690, in the odour of fanctity, in the 42d year of her age, was the person whom the Saviour of the world chose to make known to the faithful his designs concerning the Devotion of the Heart. This holy soul, whom God had replenished with many singular graces, and who, in an eminent manner, corresponded with them, being one day within the octave of Corpus Christi, before the blessed sament, and more affected than ordinary with the contemplation of God, had a most earnest desire to make a suitable return for his dear love

" towards

towards us. Whereupon the Son of God told " her, she could not testify her gratitude in a more " acceptable manner than in doing what he had fo

" often required, and then disclosing his Sa-

" cred Heart to her, faid,

" See here the Heart which has fo tenderly lov-" ed mankind, and spared nothing even to the wast-"ing and confuming itself, in testimony of its " love, and yet in return I generally meet with " nothing but ingratitude, contempt, facrileges, " irreverences, and coldness, even in the very ia-" crament of my love; and still what more fenfi-" bly affects me, is, that great part of these indig-" nities are fent back from those hearts which are " confecrated to me. Wherefore I demand of you, " in order to procure my glory, that the first Fri-" day after the octave of the bleffed facrament, be " appointed a particular and folemn festival, on " which, by fome agreeable return, my Heart may " be honoured, and the indignities offered to it, " whilst it has been so often exposed on the altars " in the holy facrament, be repaired. I promife " it will more abundantly dilate its love on all fuch " as shall interest themselves in this reparation of " honour."

This impudent, blasphemous lie, was, it seems, too monstrous to gain credit sufficient to procure its authentication from the Holy See. And therefore the writer of the book, foreseeing that his aushority for this incredible narrative might be called for, was necessitated to make the following excuse for his hardiness, in a marginal note.

" As the church does not pronounce on the au-" thenticity of this revelation, or the fanctity of " the person to whom it was made, in order to " conform, as I ought, to the wife regulations of H4

" the Holy See, I only relate this as an Historical " Fall, yet so certain and averred, as to challenge " defervedly our belief and adherence. We speak as formerly the faithful spoke of the revelation of St. Juliana, which gave rife to the folemnity of

" the feast of Corpus Christi."

Behold the artifice of the Jesuit! The Church, faith he, doth not pronounce on the authenticity, &c. How fo? Why, perhaps the church hath not been apprifed of the revelation, or hath not been applied to, to authenticate it. And yet the hint of the wife regulations of the Holy See, leave little room to doubt but that application had been made to it both to authenticate the revelation, and to cannonize Sifter Mary Margaret. The truth is, the politic Romans are, for some time by-past, grown cautious how they give credit and fanction to fuch barefaced impostures; besides, it appears in the progress of the narrative, that the establishment of this devotion had been actually opposed at Rome, most probably on account of its being patronized by, and calculated to raise the reputation and promote the interests of, the Jesuits. In the mean time, whilft the revelation remains in the naked condition of an Historical Fact, the transmarine Catholics know they may believe as much or as little of it as they please; and accordingly this devotion hath never been in any great repute in France, though the lie was forged there, and has, no doubt, been in still less, since the infamous use made of it by the Jesuit Girard abovementioned. It has now crossed the sea to England, where the forgers of it know very well, there are plenty of poor Catholics, ready to swallow whatever their priests prepare for them, how difficult soever of digestion to the stomachs of their brethren abroad.

But the most diverting circumstance in this apologetical note, is the parallel the author draws between Sister Mary's revelation and that of St. Juliana, a part of whose history will not be unedifying to those who desire to understand what progress our Roman Catholic countrymen have made in ridding their system of the legendary trash espoused

by their forefathers.

" This taint, or as she is likewise called Mother " Juliana, lived in an hospital hard by the town " of Leige, and had many extasses and raptures, " and to prophetical a spirit, as to discern the " thoughts and intentions of her neighbours hearts; " she wrestled with devils, discoursed with the " apostles, and wrought many miracles. But one " thing peculiar to her was, that in her prayers she " almost always saw the moon in her brightness, but " with a fnip taken off from her roundness, at " which she was much troubled, but by no means " could get out of her fancy. At last God was " pleased to reveal it to her, that the moon signi-" fied the present church, and the fraction the " want of one solemnity more to be observed in it. " Upon which she received a command from hea-" ven, to proclaim the observation of this solemni-" ty. For twenty years she prayed to God to ex-" cuse her, and to make choice of a more worthy " person; but none being found, she communi-" cates it to Johannes de Lausenna, and he to Jaco-" bus de Tricis, then Archdeacon of Leige, and af-" terwards Pope Urban IV. But although the " persons to whom it was communicated highly " approved it, yet she was not satisfied till one of " her gossips named Isabella, after a whole year's " praying for it, had the same thing revealed to f' her, with that circumstance, that this feast had

" always been among the secrets of the B. Trinity. but now the time was come when it should be " published to the world; and she, in one of her extalies, law very distinctly, all the heavenly " orders upon their faces, supplicating God, that " to confirm the faith of Christians, this day might " be speedily observed. This Isabella was so much " intoxicated by this vision, faith the Author, that " out of the abundance of her spiritual drunken-" ness (they are his own words) she declared she " would promote the observing this feast although " the whole world should oppose her. Which we " may well think Juliana rejoiced to hear, and " henceforwards they joined counsels to advance " this fole:nnity. Juliana gets an ignorant young " priest to draw up an office for it, and while he " wrote she prayed, by which means the office was " fo well composed, that it would melt, saith he, " the hardest hearts into devotion; and when it " was feen by divines, they faid it was not written " by man, but inspired by God himself. And yet "when Pope Urban published his bull upon the " credit of these revelations, for the celebration of " this feast, he appointed Thomas Aquinas to com-" pose an office for it, and rejected that divine of-" fice of Juliana d."

Behold the honourable origin of the feast of Corpus Christi, one of the most venerable solemnities in the Church of Rome. A Tale which is appealed to by the craftsman under consideration, by way of keeping his revelation of the Sacred Heart in countenance; as who should say, if Sister Mary Marga-

d Studing fleet's Discourse of the Idolatry of the Church of Rome, octavo, 1671, p. 255, 256. who quotes three Popish authors for it.

ret told a lie, Mother Juliana and her gossip Isabel told a bigger. And if they had the address to get a feast founded on their sable, why shall not we pretend to have a devotion established for ours? To what a pitiable ignorance must those poor creatures be reduced who can suffer themselves to be deluded by such gross absurdities? We now go on with Sister Mary Margaret.

"This pious foul, being all in confusion, would fain have excused herself on account of her un-

"worthiness, but was soon made sensible, that

"Providence, to the end no one should seem im-"portant in his own eyes, makes use of the most

" feeble instruments for the bringing about its

" greatest designs. At the same time she was inspired to communicate the affair to Father

· Claud la Colombiere, of the society of Jesus, who

" died on the 15th of February, 1682, and whose

" eminent fanctity and excellent writings still preserve his memory fresh in the minds of the

" faithful."

Here the cat peeps out of the bag. A Jesuit is pitched upon as the proper person to manifest this devotion to the world, and pitched upon by inspiration too; a very seasonable lift to the society, which was now sinking in its estimation all over Europe. The French Jesuits in particular had no resource but among the poor dupes of Great Britain and Ireland, and with these, this was a sure bait to preserve their credit, more particularly considering the station and character of this Father Claud, of which our author gives this account.

"The holy man, full of the spirit of God, not content in hearing from the mouth of the religious all that passed as abovementioned, obliged her

"This done, and refl cting on the elevated virtue of the person, on one fide, and on the other, con-

fi fidering the excellence of the devotion to proper

" for kindling the fire of divise love, he judged the whole was from God, and accordingly re-

" ceived it as a devotion inspired by the Holy

"Ghost, delivering down to posterity the singular lights, heaven had inspired him with on this sub-

" ject in a spiritual recreat he made at London in

" the year '677."

So then this precious devotion was licked into form at London, a very singular recommendation of it, no doubt, to the English Catholics. The man would have clinched it more effectually had he given the revelation to an English devotee. But this it should seem was impossible. The semale Catholics of England, we are to suppose, have elevated virtue only on one side, and that would not have gone cleverly down with French pietists. But the devotion being conceived in Burgundy, and born in London, both nations may claim kindred with it, and so join amicably in the propagation of it; and so it turns out. For,

"The peculiar graces he (Father Claud) received on this occasion, and the repeated instances

" the faid holy religious woman had from our bleffed Saviour for advancing this work, effectually

" convinced him of the will of God, in this defign:

" in consequence, he applied his utmost endea-

" vours towards establishing this devotion in France and also in England, during the time he conti-

" nued there in quality of preacher to the then

" Dutchess of York, afterwards Quee: of England.

" In effect, this devotion began to spread, in spire

" of all opposition, and in less than a year, France

" beheld

beheld it happily established. The faithful were " pleased in seeing pictures of the Sacred Heart " drawn and dispersed: prints cut and engraved,

" chap is erected, and altars confecrated to

" its honour, with the general approbation of

" all."

Matters clear up upon us apace. We now learn what bufiness this I fuit La Colombiere had in Ingland, namely, to all ft the Duke of York in the pious project of converting this heretical kingdom. We learn, moreover, that this devotion met with a spiteful opposition in France: but at length, it feems, after a struggle for a year or so, it procured an establishment in that country, if drawing pictures, stricking prints of the Sacred Heart, erecting chapels, and confecrating altars to its honour, may be fo called, which is very much to be doubted. The Catholics of Britain, I am told, are a little fqueamish on those occasions, particularly fuch of them as do not partake of the merits of the jesuitical order. They chuse to see the ring of the fitherman to establishments; and the general approbation of all in France, even if that were true, does not imply the general approbation of all in Rome. Not to mention that the devotion of the Sacred Heart may be faid to be thus far established in this protestant country by the dispersion of this foolish book. For the English Catholics have their pictures, prints, chapels and altars as well as the French.

The prefacing Jesuit, aware of this scruple, endeavours to stop the gap, by faying, that " The " devotion to the Sacred Heart, in spite of all the " efforts of our infernal enemy, and diffolute cor-" ruptions of the world, has gained an ascendency " throughout the whole church.—The fame is pub-" licly " licly practifed in every Catholic country of the universe, and even at Rome itself, under the eyes

" of the vicar of Jesus Christ."

Very possibly; for the said vicar, we are informed, hath got a scurvy custom of winking, and sleeping a dog's sleep, when he does not chuse to

fee every thing under his eyes.

But though the devotion of the Sacred Heart could get no passport from Rome, it obtained, we are told, another from heaven, which should in all reason, have been as satisfactory to the devotees, as one from Rome. For this we have the word of no less a man than Joseph Languet Bishop of Soistons, afterwards promoted to be Archbishop of Sens, who took the pains to write the life of Sister Mary Margaret at large. According to this Biographer, our blessed Redeemer spoke to her as fol-

" I am much pleased in the devotion the faithful shew for my Heart, and for this reason I desire

" the picture thereof may be drawn and exposed,

"that, by this fo amiable a representation, the hearts of men may be softened into repentance.

"I promise that such as in a more particular manner fhall honour this picture, shall partake more

" amply of those graces with which my Heart is

" replenished." See p. 46.

Here we have the bleffed Redeemer of the world represented as injoining an act of downright idolatry: for such does the bonour paid to this picture plainly turn out, as appears by the following direc-

e In L'Etat de la France, published 1718, vol. III. p. 166. This R. R. prelate, is called Jean-Joseph Languet de la Villeneuve de Gergy, Abbe de Coetmaloen, Docteur en Theologie, de la Maison de Navarre.

tion for an affociation in honour of the Sacred Heart.

Nº VIII. p. 46.

"Every affociate should have in his house or chamber a picture of the Sacred Heart. The advantage among others, is this; should any particular be hindered from visiting the blessed Sacrament, he may before this picture acquit himself of the obligation he hath voluntarily taken upon himself. Jesus Christ has given us an instance and proof of how great merit this practice is in his sight." Ibid. And then sollows the passage quoted above out of Sister Mary Margaret's Life, written by the Bishop of Soissons.

What honour is paid by the Romanists to what they call the Blessed Sacrament, or the Host, every one knows, who hath seen their rituals, or has been present at Mass, either at home or abroad. But even the Catholics themselves acknowledge, that to adore an unconsecrated Host is Idolatry, since, without such consecration, the miracle of transubstantiation is not performed s. Now what-

ever

f The following narrative, written in 1682, is an instance in point. "A woman that lives in Tours, and was melancholy, and full of vapours, desired to receive the sacrament every day, in which, she said, she found most wonderful consolation. The priest has the reputation of a very worthy man, and being a judicious person, he clearly saw through the poor woman's weakness and superstition, and was willing enough to do what he thought an innocent sraud, and might give the distempered person some ease and yet not tend to a prophanation of holy things; so, instead of the facrament, he gave her unconsecrated wasers, which she received with her ordinary devotion, and they had the ordinary effects on her. But as Frenchmen are too apt to tell their own secrets, the curate made himself merry with some of his

ever honour is paid to the Holy Sacrament at fuch time of visitation as is mentioned above, is here directed to be paid to the picture of the Sacred Heart; which being unconfecrated, and confequently untransubstantiated, cannot, even upon the principles of Popery, pretend to the honours due to the confecrated Host; and therefore the worship paid to it by this direction, must be idolatrous, fince, according to the instance in the margin, the intention of the worshipper cannot excuse the crime, where the object is incompetent 8.

" friends upon this occasion, and told how he had deceived the " hypochondriacal woman. So the thing got wind, and was " looked on as a great impiety in the priest to suffer one to commit such idolatry to a piece of bread, to which, no doubt, 44 the offered the same adoration that was due, if it had been " consecrated. So the curate was cited before the archbishop of Tours, where he had met with a fevere centure, if the " efteem he is justly in, had not preserved him - But he was " condemned to fix months imprisonment," &c. News from France, p. 14, 15, in the first volume of Bishop Burnet's Tracts in quarto. The Bishop was in France when this happened, and having told the flory, he offers the following reflexion upon it to his correspondent. "I leave it to you, and your most learned " friend when you meet, to confider, if this is acknowledged to " be idolatry in this melancholy woman, to worship a piece of " bread, which she verily believed was the body of Christ; " then whether it will not certainly follow that the whole " church of Rome is guilty of idolatry, if Christ is not corpo-" rally present in the Host, and that their adoring him as " present will not excuse them from idolatry, if he is not really " present."

8 In the litany to the Sacred Heart, p. 27. are the following expressions.—Refuge of Sinners.—Fortitude of the Just.—Comfort of the afflicted.—Main Strength of the tempted — Terror of Devils. -Sanctification of Hearts.—Perseverance of the Good.—Hope of the dying .- Joy of the bleffed .- Delight of all the Saints. Have

mercy on us.

APPENDIX I. 129

At length, however, it seems, the present Pope was prevailed with to give a fanction to this devotion in *Poland*, at the instance of the bishops of that kingdom, the tenor of which, as it is printed in a blank leaf between pages 72 and 73, is as follows:

Decretum Polonia.

" Instantibus pro concessione Officii et Missa SSmi Cordis Jesu plerisque reverendithmis episcopis Regai Poloniæ, nec non Archi-co fraternitate urbis sub hoc titulo erecta; Congregatio sacrorum Rituum habita die 26 Januarii hujus ami probe noscens cultum Cordis jeiu jam hodic effe per omnes fere Catholici O. bis partes, foventibus earum epifcopis, propagatum, ape ctiam a fede apoftolica decoratum millenis Indulgentiarum Brevibus, datis ad innumeras propomodum confraternitates, sub titulo Cordis Je u, canonice erectas; fimulque intelligers hujus Odicii et Missæ non aliud agi, quam ampliari cul um jam institutum; et symbolice renovari memoriam illius diviri amoris quo u ligenitus Dei filius humanam suscepic naturam, et factus obediens usque ad mortem, præbere se dixit exemplum hominibus quod effet mi is et humilis corde. His de causis, referente eminentifimo et reverendiffimo Cardinali Episcopo Sabinei si, audito R. P. D. Cai tano Forti Promotore Fidei, PRÆVIO RE-CESSU A DECISIS SUB DIE 30 JULII 1729, annuéndum precious censuit Epitoporum Regni Polo iæ, et dictæ Archi co fraternitatis urbis delib ratum d'i c'ps de Officio et à iffa rire approbandis. Cod quidem Co gr gationis cotum per me S creatium relatum Domino nostro Ciementi Papæ XIII. sanctiras sua, hujus etiam Decresi tenore perlecto, in omnibus approbavit. Hac die 6¹⁰ Februarii, 1765. Joseph Maria, Card. Ferom, Præsectus. S. Burghesus, S. R. C. Secretarius.

Loco A Sigilli."

The compiler of this Devotion informs us, that "the above sheets were drawn off, before this De"cree came to his hand." He does not however, omit to avail himself of it, as an additional lure to the British Catholics, observing, that, "the ap"probation of his Holiness, especially on so solemn an occasion, cannot but be an object of the greatest importance to the Catholic reader, who may discover the brightest light restected from it on the matter he has treated, and a re"markable weight added to the motives in"ducing them to the practice of this holy devo"tion."

But why then is not this Decree given in English as well as the rest of the book? or what light can it restect to a reader who does not understand Latin?

Be it known then to the English reader, whether Protestant or Catholic, 1. That this Decree authorises this devotion no where but in the kingdom of Poland, at a sufficient distance from his Holiness, and the congregation of Sacred Rites, not to scandalize the good Catholics of Portugal, France, and other countries where the devotions of the Jesuits were in no reputation. The occasion was this: In 1764 the Polish Dissidents confederated, "and employed their utmost efforts to be reinstated in their rights, and the greatest part of the Nuncios had "instructions to act in their favour. But," says my author, "it is universally known by what an "outrageous clamour the clergy not only procured "their

" their demands to be rejected, but," &c. h vers circumstances however gave suspicions to the clergy that the Dissidents would not fit down quietly with this repulse; provision was therefore to be made against their future efforts to relieve themselves. On these occasions, where Herefy is to be humbled, no coadjutors are equal to the Jesuits. Superstitions, like this of the Sacred Heart, work wonders by inflaming the minds of the ignorant populace, and by attaching them to the persons of those who communicate such venerable mysteries We learn from the tenor of the Decree itself that the jesuitical arch-confraternity at Rome had a principal hand in procuring it. And all these circumstances laid together, shew how seasonably this decree would come in aid of the Polish clergy. and what weighty motives these considerations would afford to his Holiness, and the Congregation of the Sacred Rites, to lay aside their former scruples, and to stretch a point in order to humble the heretical and factious Dillidents.

I call it stretching a point, as it is evident from the decree itself, that, on the 30th of July 1729, a decision against the devotion of the Sacred Heart had been made by this very Congregation of Sacred Rites, and consequently by the authority of the Pope, from which decision it was necessary to RECEDE, before this decree could take place. In the mean time, we are presented with a remarkable contrast, between the millenary Briefs of Indulgences, with which this Devotion of the Sacred

h See a pamphlet intitled, Reflexions on the Affairs of the Diffilents in Poland, printed at London, 1757, p 23.

1 2 Heart

Heart had been often decorated by the See Apostolic, and the decision of July 1729, against the authen-

ticity of it.

I leave it now to the confideration of the English and Irish Catholics whether this decree, calculated folely for the meridian of Poland, can reflect any new light upon the Devotion of the Sacred Heart which they are authorized to follow, unless they and their good friends the Jesuits may have some such motives, with respect to these kingdoms, as induced the Pope to gratify the Polish clergy with the above-cited decree, and have some design to solicit his Holiness for the like sanction for this devotion for England.

Nor indeed is this an improbable conjecture, for at page 259, we find the following supplica-

tions:

— "Give thy grace and bleffing to all the clergy, and fend amongst them that heavenly fire, which thy son came to cast on earth, and which he so earnestly defired should be en-

" kindled. Afift and protect all apostolical missi-

" onaries," Ec.

That the Jesuits lay claim to be the leaders of all Apostolical Missionaries in virtue of their fourth vow, in which they promise specialem obedientiam summo Pontifici circa missiones, is well known. It is not therefore without reason, or without sagacity, that a prayer for enkinding the fire upon earth, is sollowed by a petition for success to those who have the greatest quantity of combustibles in their storehouses, and the best dispositions to enkindle them.

In another place, p. 193, they are directed to pray for Union among Christian princes,—the extirpation

of berefy,—and exaltation for their boly mother the church.

From these particulars it appears that though the Devotion to the Sacred Heart is the first object in this publication which takes the attention of the reader, yet that it is far from being the principal object. If the manifestation of it were to have been committed to any other hands than those of a J. suit, I will venture to say, the secret might have remained with Sister Mary Margaret to this hour. For that this compilation was put together merely to give the Jesuits consequence with the English Papists, appears from the following forms.

Pag. 228. There is what is called a Commemoration of St. Ignatius of Loyola [Founder of the

Jesuits,] in these words:

" Antiphone. This man, despising the world, and triumphing over earthly things, heaped up Riches in Heaven by word and work. Versicle.

" The Lord loved and adorned him. Respons. A

" Garment of Glory he hath put on him.

" The Prayer.

"O God, who, for the greater Glory of thy name, haft, by the bleffed Ignatius, strengthen-

" ed the Church militant with NEW AUXILIA-

"RIES, graciously vouchsafe that we by his Assi"stance and Imitation, solicitously combating up-

" on earth, may obtain with him an everlafting

" crown in Heaven."

It is not a little remarkable, that, while the Catholics of other countries are expelling those new auxiliaries for their flagitious imitation of their founder

founder St. Ignatius, the good Catholics of England should be praying to obtain an everlasting crown in heaven by virtue of such imitation.

Page 236, we have a Devotion to St. Aloysus Gonzaga " for fix Sundays without intermission, in " memory of the fix years he lived in the Society " of Jesus." What his particular merit was, we learn from two of his titles in the Litany appointed as a part of this Devotion; viz. "Particular Client of St. Ignatius.—Most zealous observer of the "Ruses." This St. Aloysus, it seems, " was camonized by Pope Benedia XIII, and indulgences granted thereupon by Clement XII, to encourage the Devotion."

But the most complete of the jesuitical Devotions here exhibited is the Novena, or nine days Devotion, allotted to St. Francis Xaverius, commonly called the Apostle of the Indies. "This Novena," we are told, p. 216, "commences on the fourth of March, and continues nine days; that is, till the twelfth of March, on which day, in the year 1622, Pope Gregory XV canonized St. Francis Xaverius."

A few of the abominable and impious idolatries of this particular Devotion will serve to characterise it:

Pag. 223, for the First Day this Prayer is directed to be faid:

"Most Glorious St. Francis Xaverius, Apostle of the Indies, if it be for the Glory of God, and it thy Honour, that I obtain what I desire and beg

For the true character of Ignatius Lyola, see Le Catechisme des Jesuites, written by Stephen Pusquier, a zealous Roman Catelle, but, al, ali, xui, xviii.

by this Novena, obtain for me this Grace of our Lord; if not guide my petition, and beg of our Lord for me, that which is most proper for his Glory, and the benefit of my Soul."

Here we see the Honour of a scurvy Monk, and in sact a notorious Impostor k, set upon a level with the Glory of the most high God. One would wish to know what would be the case, on the supposition that what is desired would be for the Glory of God, and not for the honour of the dead Jesuit? And how the casuistical Doctors of the church would take this gross impiety, by the application of their ridiculous distinction between Adipera and Advant?

Page 224, 225, after a direction "to fay thrice "the Lord's Prayer and three Hail Marys." the

" following prayer is prescribed:

" Most Holy Father St. Francis Xaverius, who " receivest the Praises from the mouths of inno-" cent children, I most humbly implore thy boun-" tiful Charity, for the fake of the most precious " blood of Jetus, and of the immaculate concep-" tion of our Bleffed Lady Mother of God, to the " end thou mayest obtain of God's infinite good-" nefs, that at the approach of my last hour my " heart may be separated and withdrawn from all " worldly thoughts and diffractions, and be fixed " in the most ardent love of him, and a vehement " defire of an happy eternity, fo that laying afide " the multiplicity of earthly things which nuherto " have perplexed me, I may most diligently seek, " and perfectly find, that one thing which is ne-" ceffary, which is to die in rest and peace under the

[&]amp; See Le Catechisme des Jesuites, chap. xvii.

" protection of the most Holy Virgin Mary, in the woulds of Jesus her most blessed Son, in the

" fivect embraces of my God, and in thy presence,

" Holy Saint, through whose intercession I hope to

" oblain this mercy," &c &c.

So then it should feem that the protection of Mary, the wounds of Jesus, and the embraces of God himself, will be of no avail without the pre-

fence of this Holy Saint.

However, it appears that this same Saint is a little shy of his favours, and must be kept in good humour by various compliments, particularly to his order: for at page 22, the supplicant is told, that "for the more effectual prevailing with "St. Francis Xaverius, it will be convenient every day, to make a special commemoration of St. "Ignatius Loyola, whom St Francis Xaverius" honoured, respected, and loved, as his Father,

" Mafter, and Superior."

And undoubtedly, at the same time that this Commemoration is so convenient for the poor idolatrous performers of this Novena, it is not inconvenient for the pious, simple-minded Fathers, who communicated these precious treasures of Devotion to the Roman Catholics of this Country.

Again, after the Prayer above-cited, the Rubric, page 226, directs, "In the next place, you are "to ask of St. Francis Xaverius the particular sa- vour you defire to obtain, heightening, as much as in you is, your confidence in him, with such words as your affectionate thoughts shall suggest, or with such aspirations as your Devotion shall dictate—Then, the more to please this Holy Apo- she, in imitation of him, say that prayer which

APPENDIX I. 137

" he himtelf composed and used to say every day

" for the conversion of the Infidels."

What a deal of coaxing the Soul of this Jesuit takes to be brought into any tolerable temper! If compation for the poor deluded creatures whose heads and hearts are filled with this impious fanaticifin did not check one's nirth, it would be pleafant enough to hear what words the affectionate thoughts of a young Catholic semale who defired an hafbard, or of a younger brother in want of an establishment, and who defired to find it in a lucky run in a gambling party, &c. would suggest to heighten their confidence in St. Francis Xavier. His intercession is not in the least confined to the Spiritual defires of the votary; and this scope being given to the performer of the Novena, it is not difficult to conceive what ridiculous, and perhaps profane, requests the poorer and more ignorant Papists will put up to this jesuitical Saint. Examples are not wanting of most shocking petitions even in the legends dispersed among the Catholics in these days. However, if the thing requested is but obtained, let the means be what they will, we may be fure it will turn out to the Honour of the Saint, how little foever the Glory of God is promoted by it.

Fage 213, we have the Litany of St. Francis Xaverius, in which are the following suffrages: Destroyer of Idols.—St. Francis Xaverius, most worthy Son of Ignatius.—Light of Insidels.—Martyr in destring to die for Christ.—Confessor in Virtue, and Profession of Life.—Doctor of Gentiles in All sorts of Lan-

GUAGES.

It is an easy kind of Martyrdom to suffer only in desire; and with respect to Xavier's Confessorship, we see it was only in Virtue and Profession of Life. For that he was otherwise molested for preaching the Gospel, either in the Indies or elsewhere, does not appear from any authentic memorials, nor indeed is pretended in those sictious ones of Tursellin or others, which are sull of sabulous accounts of Xavier's miracles.

Our compiler however makes us ample amends for this unnatural instance of jesuitical modesty in the last of the titles bestowed upon Xavier, namely, Doctor of Gentiles in all forts of Languages. It is a strain of impudence peculiar to the Jesuits to ascribe to a man characters and qualities which he himself disowns, and disowns with serious lamentations for the want of them.

What fort of a Dottor of Languages Xavier was among the Indian Gentiles, he hath himself left a full account under his own hand.

"If," says he, "we understood the language of "Japan, I doubt not but many would become

- "Christians. God grant that we may learn it in a short time, then we shall be able to do some-
- "thing for the Christian cause. Now truly we
- " live among them as so many dumb statutes: for
- "they fay and debate a great deal concerning us, to which we are filent. Being ignorant of their
- "country language, we are turning children again
- " for the prefent, that we may learn the first ele-

" ments of it !."

If

¹ Si nos linguam calleremus Japonicam, non dubito, quin plurimi fierent Christiani. Faxit Deus ut cam brevi addiscamus:

If an honest English Catholic, after this, has faith to believe that Xavier was a Doctor of the Gentiles in all so ts of Languages (which, as the Jesuit Acosta, who was upon the Indian Mission, affirmed, amounted to above feven bundred,) he will make no difficulty in believing the miracles that the Jesuit Boubours retails in his Life of Xavier, from Horatius Tursellin, and others. " Nei-" ther Jesus Christ," says Pasquier, " whilst he " was upon earth, nor, after his afcention, St. " Peter and St. Paul, wrought fo many miracles " as Xavier did in the Indies;" of which he gives a pleasant enumeration, and afterwards observes, " that it costs much less, in such matters, to be-" lieve them at home, than to take the trouble to " enquire into the truth of them upon the fpot " where they are faid to have been performed." Cat. des Jesuites, u. s. In this kind of forgery, however, the Jesuits are not alone, and they may apply to their own and other fraternities what Horace fays of Poetical Romancers in general,

--- Hanc veniam petimusque, damusque vicissim m.

Shocked and disgusted, as the serious and religious Protestant reader must likewise be, with this view of the abject and paganish superstition of these Devotions, I willingly spare myself the trouble of raking farther at this time into the rest of

m See Reflexions upon the Devotions of the Roman Church, printed in the year 1674.

tum demum aliquam Christianæ rei navabimus operam, nam unc quidem inter eos tanquam mutæ slatuæ versamur. Multa enim illi de nobis & dicunt & agitant, ad quæ nos obmutescimus. Patrii ipsorum sermonis ignari, in præsentia in linguæ hujus percipiendis elementis repuerascimus. Xaverii, Epist. lib. III. epist. v.

It hath been objected to the Papists, that let a man have been ever so notorious a sinner in the whole course of a long life, provided he does but go through with such a d such forms of Devotion upon his death-bed, he may ensure the peace of the church, and consequently final salvation to himself. As it is evident what encouragement this must give to the most profligate sinners, the Romish Divines have thought sit to deny that this is the doctrine of their church. If it is not, we desire to be informed what ediscation the poor deluded Catholics of England can reap from the following

[&]quot;As an inducement to this Devotion, we are told, "It is not in nature to pleafe the one of the two Hearts, without making yourself agreeable to the other, and acceptable to both. Go then, devout Client, go to the Heart of Jejus, but let vour way be through the Heart of Mary.—The Sword of Grief opens you a passage, enter by the wound Love has made," c.c. p. 73. In the Litany of this Devotion, "Heart of Mary united to that of Jejus.—Organ of the Holy Ghost; Sanctuary of the Divinity; Refuge of Sinners; Hope of the Agonizing; Seat of Mercy; Pray for us:" p. 74. 78 — And page 80, Hail Mary, Spouse of the Holy Ghost; Temple of the Divinity; Beautiful Lily of the most resplendent Trinity."

Example,

APPENDIX 1. 141

Example, to be found in the 90th page of this pernicious book.

" An Example.

" A Nobleman, who, for fixty years of his life " paft, never had access to the Sacraments, and " who had given loote to the patitions of body and " mind, and abandoned himself to the slavery of " his spiritual enemy, sell fick, and was in the " utmost danger of life. Hopes of salvation he " had none; and fo desparate was his case, that " he would not give ear to the falutary advice of " his Director, or admit into his mind the thoughts " of reconciling himfelf to his Creator, by means " of the Sacrament of Penance. Nevertheless, in " the midst of the excesses of so profligate a life, " he had never entirely loft fight of some small " Devotion and regard to the ever Bleffed Mother " of God. Jeius Christ, who manifests the " riches of his mercy, particularly to fuch, cast " a favourable eye on his foul, and raifed there " to great a computation for his fins, that en-" tering into himflif, and in the utmost contri-" tion of his heart, he three feveral times in the " fame day made a general confession of his whole " life, received the Holy Eucharift, and the finit " day after, died in all peace and quiet of mind, " and with the fentiments of joy which flow from " a well-grounded confidence in the mercies and " bounty of our fuffering Redeemer and his fa-" cred passion."

Hitherto the salvation of the penitent is hypothetical, depending upon the supposition that the confidence above-mentioned was well grounded; concerning which it is possible a superannuated

Rake,

Rake, who should desire to profit by this example: might entertain some doubt. It is possible that a member even of the church of Rome, might have picked up some obscure notion of the Gospel-doctrine of repentance; and if the bait was laid for a dissolute Protestant, this is still more likely to happen. In either case there would be misgivings, that this peace and quiet of mind of the departing sinner might rather be the effect of an ill-grounded considence in the priest, than of a considence of another sort. It became necessary therefore to put the salvation of this Nobleman beyond all scruple; and accordingly the fact is clinched as follows, with a witness.

"In effect, our bleffed Saviour revealed, foon after his death, to the holy St. Bridget, that the faid penetent died in a flate of Grace, was a bleffed foul, and owed his happiness in great measure to the tender and affectionate compassion which he ever found and nourished in his heart so often as he heard others speak of the sacred Dolours of our bleffed Lady, or hap-

" pened to entertain the memory of them in his " mind "."

No farther remarks will be necessary upon this particular passage. St. Bridget's authority for a revelation of this importance will hardly go down even with a sensible Roman Catholic, who gives

These Dolours, which are seven in number, have, it seems a moveable Feast assigned for the celebration of them. One would wonder what Dolours had to do with a Feast, were we not told that these seven Dolours are overbalanced by the twice seven Toys of the B. Mary. The latter seven of these Toys were revealed, it is said, to St. Thomas Becket, which must be a singular, tecommendation to English Catholics. See p, 76.

himself a moment's time to reflect what disorders a doctrine of this kind, backed with a divine revelation, must bring into civil society. I am willing to believe that there are particular persons among the Papists, who may be convinced that, notwithstanding the decision of the Council of Constance, to break their faith, or to falsify their word, whether given to Heretics or others, is an immoral action, highly displeasing to God. But what fecurity is this principle to those who deal with them, if the peace of the church may to eafily be had in fuch circumstances as those of this Nobleman? If there are particular forts of fin out of the reach of these Dolours of the blessed Mary, there are, we are fure, other mental exercises prescribed in Popery, which will do as much for the blackest finner. We have read of absolutions being given beforehand for fins intended to be committed, where the undertakers chose not to risque their falvation on the merit of the act, without a farther fecurity from the church. Whatever fentiments an honest and sincere Papist might entertain of the lawfulness of certain actions, considered upon a comparison with the general rules of morality, it may be laid down for certain that not one of them has the least doubt concerning the efficacy of the Peace of the Church in securing full and final pardon for fins of the deepest dye. It may be said that the church feldom goes these lengths, but to procure some advantage to the Catholic religion against her enemies. But this, I fear, will only ferve to put us in mind, that the church of Rome, having a continual demand for fuch advantages in an Heretical country, must think it necessary to dispense this Peace of the Church with a more liberal hand to fuch adventurers as may undertake,

144 APPENDIX T.

by whatever means, to promote her interest, and to improve the prospect of subduing the Northern

ilerefy.

Upon the whole, a stronger proof cannot be given than is exhibited in this book, that the fanatic spirit of Popery is still the same; or if we may discern some abatement of it in Popish countries, and particularly in those from which the Jesuits have been expelled, we perceive, by this publication, that it is yet in its highest fermentation among the Roman Catholics of this country. The intercourse which the Jesuits have all along had with English Papists, give those crafty Fathers a fufficient infight into the demands and occasions of their Devotees, to prevent their recommending to them Forms of Devotion for which they have no fuitable affections. What impressions are made upon our Roman Catholics by these and the like means appears from their burning zeal to convert Heretics, and the care they take to have their children early instructed in the same system, by putting them under the tuition of these sons and fuccessors of St. Ignatius. And while this is their tafte and temper, our Catholic countrymen must excuse us, if we think these circumstances equal to a demonstration that they are still in the very dregs of the politics, as well as the funeralition, of the most obnoxious of their predeccsors.

APPENDIX

NUMBERIL

Containing a Letter from Pope Clement XI to Charles VI, Emperor of Germany, dated June 4, 1712.

A S it has of late been often denied that the doctrine of the Council of Constance, viz. That Fatth is not to be kept with Heritics, and particularly when the interests of the church come in question, is the doctrine of the church of Rome, it has been thought expedient to subjoin the Decision of a Pope near 300 years after the said Council was held, and that with respect to the most solemn treaties made between sovereign princes.

But, his Holiness having made mention in this Letter of four treaties in which the interests of the church were concerned, namely, the treaties of Westphalia, Ryswick, Alt-Ranstadt, and Utrecht, it will be necessary, that we may better understand the Pope's doctrine, to give a short account of each

K

of those treaties, so far as relates to the stipulations

in them concerning Religion.

By the Peace of Westphalia, negotiated at Munster and Ofnaburg in 1648, it was stipulated, that "Those of the Confession of Augsburg, who have been in possession of churches, and, among others, the burghers and inhabitants of Oppension, shall be maintained in the ecclesiastical state of the year 1624; and that others, who are desirous of embracing the same exercise of the Confession of Augsburg, shall be at liberty to practise it in public as well as in private,"

It is to be observed, that the Articles of this Treaty have been considered as "fundamental" laws and constitutions, which serve as a basis to

" all subsequent treaties b."

Nevertheless the Pope then reigning took care to publish a Bull, annulling all the articles of this Treaty which he conceived prejudicial to the Roman Catholic Religion ;" and consequently to dispense with the Roman Catholic Powers, who were parties to it, from sulfilling their engagements with the Protestants.

About the end of the year 1697 the Peace of Ryswick was ratified. "During the negotiations at Ryswick, the Ambassadors of the Protestant Princes delivered a memorial to the Mediator, demanding that in Strasburg, and all other cities of Alface to be ceded to France, the Lutheran religion should be tolerated, and enjoy all the rights and privileges secured by the Treaty of Westphalia. The French, desiring some time to

b Ibid. 284. 6 Ibid. 291.

Mod. Univerfal Hift. 8vo. vol. XXX. p. 285.

" answer, in concert with the Imperial Plenipo" tentiaries, neglected this representation, and in" ferted in the Treaty, that the Roman Catholic
" Religion, in the places to be delivered up,

" should remain in the same state it was at that time. Thus, no notice being taken of the

"Protestants, several churches were lest to the

" Papists, which, according to the Laws of the " Empire, and the Preliminaries of the Treaty,

" ought to have been taken from them, and re-"ftored to the Protestants. The Ministers of the "Electors and other Protestant Princes refused to

" fign the Treaty till this clause was altered, and

" published a Memorial justifying their refusal, and shewing that this Article of the Treaty was

" contrary to the Laws of the Empire, the peace of religion, and the Treaty of Westphalia d."

"But, as another Historian observes, what "misunderstanding soever there might be between "the French and Imperial Plenipotentiaries as to

" other points, they agreed, or rather combined together, to have the clause inserted,—this affair

" having been fecretly concerted among the whole

"Popish party, who are always firm to the inte-

"rests of their religion, and zealous for them." &c. notwithstanding the intervention of the most solemn covenants, and all for the honour of Roman Catholicism.

By the Treaty of Alt-Ranstadt, 1707, it was stipulated, that "The King of Sweden [Charles "XII.] and the King Elector [Augustus], as men"bers of the Germanic body, should act jointly

d Mod. Univ. Hift. 8vo, vol. XXX. p. 390.

e Tindal's Continuation of Rapin, 8vo, 1761, vol. XIV, p. 408, 409.

148 APPENDIX II.

for the conservation of religion as established by the Treaties of Munster and Osnaburg [West-

" phalia]; that no change of religion should be

" admitted in Saxony or Lusatia; and that the Roman Catholics should be denied churches,

" schools, academies, colleges, and monasteries,

" within these several districts f."

But Augustus's good fortune having restored him to the throne of Poland, all that had been covenanted at Alt-Ranstadt was overturned, but not without some scruples on the part both of the King and the People. Augustus had been bred in the Protestant religion, where the strict obligations of Oaths and Covenants, and the iniquity of breaking them, is taught in agreement with the Scriptures. He could not therefore be without fome degree of compunction in fo totally violating all the folemn engagements he had entered into at Alt-Ranstadt. He had learned, however, from his new religion, that The Peace of the Church effectually healed all breaches of this kind. plication was therefore made to the court of Rome, to release the King from the obligations contracted by the Treaty of Alt-Ranstadt. " few of the more tender consciences might be " hurt with feeing him re-assume without scruple " that Diadem which he had folemnly renounced, and confirmed by the most facred obligations to " another. The Pontiff's absolving power would apply remedies to be found neither in policy " nor human reason. The Nuncio granted the " absolution required, and all good Catholics were

Mod. U. H. vol. XXXIV. p. 372.

then unanimous that the oaths taken by Augustus

" were of no fignification 8."

In the twenty-first Article of the Treaty of Utrecht, the French King stipulates with the Queen of Great-Britain, that "he will, in friend"ship to the Queen, grant, in his Treaty with "the Empire, all things concerning religion to be settled according to the Treaties of West"phalia".

This, we may be fure, alarmed his Holiness sufficiently, and was the immediate occasion of the following address to the Emperor Charles VI.

"To our most beloved Son in Christ, CHARLES, the Catholic King of the Spains, the illustrious King of Hungary and Bohemia, elected to be Emperor of the Romans; CLEMENT XI. Pope.

Our most dear Son in Christ, Health, and apos-

tolical Benediction.

K 3 The

s Mod. Univ. Hist. vol. XXXIV. p. 387. Among others, the Emperor Joseph had guaranteed this Treaty of Alt-Ranstadt; but we do not read that he interposed on this occasion, or that he at all opposed the infraction of it; nor is it said how he came off with the Pope for thus deserting his engagement. We are therefore left to suppose that he reconciled this conduct to the rules of morality, by the general principle, that no faith is to be kept with Heretics.

h Tindal's Contin. vol. VI. p. 285.

^{*} Charissimo in Christo Filio nostro Carolo Hispaniarum Catholico, nec non Hungaria & Bohemia Regi illustri, in Romanorum Imperatorum electo, CLEMENS P. Y. XI.

Charissime in Christo, Fili noster, Salutem & Apostolicam

Benedictionem.

Plurima sanè & servida studia, quæ ab Heterodoxis Principibus adhiberi novimus in tractationibus Ultratrajecti institutis, ut quidquid prope sinem quarti articuli Pacificationis Ryswicensis savore Catholicorum & orthodoxæ Fidei adjectum suit, penitus abrogetur;

150 APPENDIX II.

The many and zealous endeavours which we know to be incessantly exerted by heterodox Princes, in the Treaties opened at Utrecht, that whatfoever was added to the fourth article of the Pacification of Ry/wick in favour of the Catholics and the orthodox faith, should be wholly abrogated; and that, on the contrary, the heads of the Peace of Westphalia relating to the affair of Religion, which were not only formerly condemned by this Holy See, but likewise corrected by the faid fourth article of Ry/wick, should be reinstated, and carried into execution (concerning which we lately wrote to your Majesty at large), recall to our memory those unhappy, and never-enoughto-be-lamented covenants, which, plainly, with the same defign of bringing the Abomination into the Holy

abrogetur; è contra vero Westphalica Pacis capita ad Religionis negotium pertinentia, nedum olim ab hac Sancta Sede reprobata, led & per ipium articulum quartum Ryswicensem correcta instaurentur, & executioni demandentur (qua de re, non ita pridem Majestati tuze fuse perscripsimus), nobis in memoriam revocant infelices illas, & nunquam fatis deplorandas pactiones, quas dudum eodem plane confilio inferendi Abominationem in loco fancto, Sueci Plenipotentiarii sive Commissarii, non minus violenter quam injuste expresserunt a Plenipotentiariis, seu Commissariis cla. mem. Josephi, dum viveret, in Romanorum Imperatorem electi, germani fratris tui, quæque anno 1707, in Castris Altranstadensibus inter eosdem utriusque partis Commissarios initæ suerunt. Summus profecto dolor quo tunc affecti fuimus, cum animo reputaremus, præter alia gravissima Catholicæ Religioni ac Jurisdictioni Ecclesiasticæ per eas pactiones illata detrimenta, intignem adeo Ecclesiarum numerum tum in tribus Ducatibus Lignienfi, Bergerfi, ac Munsterbergenfi, tum in ipfa Uratiflavienfi civitate, ac in reliquis etiam Silefiæ Principatibus, vero Dei cultu, eripi & auferri, ac execrandæ Sectæ impietati tradi & assignati nunc maxime non tantum renovatur, fed majorem etiam in modum intenditur ac augetur, dum cogitatione complectimur. a: que prospicimus quantam inde spem sumpturi sint Acatholici; Holy Place, the Plenipotentiaries or Commissaries of Sweden no less violently than unjustly extorted from the Plenipotentiaries or Commillaries of your brother, the late Emperor Jojeph of famous memory, and which were entered into by the faid Commissaries on each part in the year 1707, in the Camp at Alt-Ranstadt. The great grief with which we were then affected, when we confidered with ourselves, that, besides other most grievous detriments brought upon the Catholic Religion and the Ecclefiastical Jurisdictions, by those covenants, fo remarkable a number of Churches, as well in the three Duchies of Lignitz, Berg, and Munsterberg, as in the city of Wratislaw, and in the other principalities of Silefia, should be torn off and taken away from the true worship of God, and delivered up and affigned to the impiety of an execrable Sect, is now chiefly not only renewed, but in a greater measure augmented, and become K 4 more

seliquas etiam eorum nefarias machinationes atque confilia perficiendi. Porro, etfi compertum habeamus Majestarem tuam minime latere, quam alieno a memoratis pactionibus animo idem frater tuus fuerit: quantopere doluerit, quod ob res fuas tunc in arcto positas, ejusmodi duras, ac plane impias conditiones accipere coactus fuerit; & quo tandem æstuaverit desiderio congruis remediis, qua perperam acta fuerant farciendi ac reparandi; quæ omnia iple literis sua manu exaratis diserte nobis significavit, & luculentius etiam ac uberius dilecto filio nostro Annibali, fanctæ Romanæ Ecclesiæ Cardinali Albano, nostro secundum carnem ex fratre nepoti, per idem tempus apud eum agenti non temel explicavit: Et quamvis itidem ambigere nequaquam poffumus, quin Majestas tua pro eximia pietate ac studio quo insensa est causæ Dei veræque Religionis, probe intelligat & agnoscat ejusmodi pactiones prorsus inanes, & suapte natura irritas ac nullius roboris vel momenti extitisse & existere, quemadmodum eas germanus frater tuus, a nobis admonitus, palam agnovit & professus est; & propterea optime etiam perspiciat nullam prorsus

152 APPENDIX II.

more intense, while we reflect and foresee how great hope the Heretics will derive from thence of perfecting the rest of their wicked machinations and counsels. Moreover, although we are certain that it is no fecret to your Majesty how averse your faid brother was to the Covenants above-mentioned; how greatly he grieved that, because of the difficulties in which his affairs were involved, he was obliged to accept of those hard and plainly impious conditions, and how earnestly he defired to repair and make good by proper remedies whatfoever had been corruptly transacted, all which he expressly fignified to us by letters written with his own hand, and still more amply and clearly explained more than once to our beloved fon Annibal Cardinal of Albano, of the holy Roman Church.

earum habendam effe rationem, nec illas a quoquam alligari aut observari ullo modo posse, nec debere: nihilominus, tum ut quæ 1emper fuerit, & adhuc sit nostra ea de re sententia, Majestati tuæ clarius & apertius innotescat, tum etiam ut Apostolico nostro judicio innixa, folidius & alacrius prædictas conventiones prorfus contemnere, ac ita illas habere possit, tanquam si nunquam factæ fuissent; Majestati tuæ per præsentes denunciamus, ac insimul, tradita nobis ab omnipotenti Deo authoritate, declaramus prænarratas dicti Tractatus Altranstadensis pactiones cæteraque in ea contenta, quæ Catholicæ Fidei, Divino Cultui, animarum saluti, Ecclesiaque authoritati, jurisdictioni, libertati ac juribus quibussunque quomodolibet officiunt, five præjudicium etiam minimum afferunt, aut inferre seu intulisse vel alias nocere seu nocuisse quoquomodo dici, censeri, prætendi vel intelligi possent, cum omnibus & fingulis inde fecutis & quandocunque fecuturis, ipio jure nulla irrita, invaiida, injusta, reprobata, inania, viribusque & effectu penitus & omnino vacua ab ipso initio suisse & esse ac perpetuo fore, zeminemque ad illorum seu cujuslibet illorum, etiamsi pluries ratificata ac juramento vallata sint, observantiam teneri, imo nec illa ullatenus a quoquam observari potuisse ac debuisse aut posse & debere, neque ex illis cuiquam aliquod jus vel actionem vel titulum etiam coloratum vel possidendi ac præ**scribendi** Church, our nephew, by the father's fide, according to the flesh, then residing with him as our Agent; and although we can by no means doubt but that your Majesty, from your eminent piety, and the affection you bear to the cause of God and the Church, perfectly understands and acknowledges that such Covenants have been and are wholly void, and, in their own nature, of no force or effect, as your brother, being admonished by us, openly acknowledged and professed, and, on that account, also fully perceives that no regard ought to be paid to them, and that they neither can nor ought to be alledged or observed by any person or in any manner whatsoever; yet, as well

scribendi causam acquisitam vel acquisitam fuisse, nec este minusque ullo tempore acquiri & competere posse, neque illa ullum statum facere vel fecisse, sed perinde ac si nunquam emanassent nec facta fuissent, pro non extantibus & non factis perpetuo haberi debere. Et nihilominus ad uberiorem cautelam & quatenus opus fit, pactiones prædictas aliaque præmissa, ut præfertur præjudicialia harum ferie, improbamus, rescindimus, castamus, irritamus, & annullamus, viribusque & effectu penitus & omnino vacuamus. Age igitur, charissime in Christo Fili noster, ac pactionibus hujusmodi penitus rejectis iisque omnibus quæ in earum executionem quovis modo gesta fuerunt rescissis, Ecclesia, Religionis, ac Dei causam fortiter tuere, & ab ejus patrocinio suscepti tui regiminis felicia auspicare primordia. Ostende satis tibi perfuasum esse præcipuas tuas partes, pro ea quam obtines Imperii Majestate, non alias esse debere quam in propugnandis Fidei & Ecclesiæ Juribus, quæ religiosissimi inclytique Imperatores majores tui afferere semper atque amplificare pro viribus studuerunt. plane perennem tuo nomini laudem & gloriam, cælestesque Tibi augustæque tuæ Domui benedictiones magis magisque conciliabis; quarum in auspicium, cum perpetuo felicitatis tuz voto conjunctam, Apostolicam Benedictionem Majestati tuz amantissime impertimur. Datum Romæ apud Sanctum Pertum, sub annulo Piscatoris, die 4to Junii 1712, Pontificatus nostri anno duodecimo."

Transcribed from the Appendix to Sydney on Government, Edit. 1763, Quarto, printed for Millar, p. 70.

that it may more plainly and openly appear to your Majesty, what always has been and still is our judgment in that matter, as that your Majesty, being more substantially supported by our Apostolical decision, may with more alacrity utterly despise the before-mentioned Conventions, and esteem them as if they had never been made; we, by these presents, denounce to your Majesty, and at the same time, by the authority committed to us by the most omnipotent God, declare the before-mentioned Covenants of the Treaty of Alt-Ranstadt, and every thing contained in it which are in any wife obstructive of, or hurtful to, or which may be faid, efteemed, pretended, or understood, to occasion or to bring, or to have brought, the least prejudice to, or any ways to hurt, or to have hurt, the Catholic Faith, divine worship, the falvation of souls, the authority, jurisdiction, or any rights of the Church whatsoever, together with all and fingular matters which have followed, or may at any time hereafter follow from them, to be, and to have been, and perpetually to remain hereafter, de jure null, vain, invalid, unjust, reprobated, void, and evacuated of all force and effect from the beginning, and that no person is bound to the observation of them, or any of them, although the same have been repeatedly ratified or secured by an oath; and that they neither could nor ought to have been, nor can or ought to be, observed by any person whatsoever; and that no right, action, title, colour of title, cause of possession or prescription, is or hath been acquired from them, much less may be acquired or accrue by any length of time; and that they create or have created no estate or quality, but that they ought for ever to be accounted as if they had never iffued,

155

issued, and as if they were not extant, nor had ever been made. And nevertheless, for the greater caution, and fo far as may be necessary, we disapprove, rescind, cassate, make void, annull, and totally discharge of all force and effect, all the aforesaid Covenants, and all other the premises enumerated in these presents which are prejudicial Wherefore, our most dear Son in as aforesaid. Christ, attend, and wholly rejecting all Covenants of this kind, and rescinding every thing which has in any manner been done towards the execution of them, valiantly defend the cause of the Church, of Religion, and of God; and from that Patronage take the omen of an happy beginning of the Government you have undertaken. Make it appear that you are thoroughly persuaded that the chief part you have to act, agreeably to the Majesty of the Empire to which you are elevated, confifts in strennously maintaining the Rights of the Faith and of the Church, which the most religious and renowned Emperors your Ancestors studied to affert and amplify with all their might. So will you derive upon your name everlafting Praise and Glory, and secure to yourself and your august House the bleffings of Heaven more and more, as an earnest of which we most lovingly impart to your Majesty our Apostolical Benediction, accompanied with a perpetual Prayer for your Felicity. Given at St. Peter's in Rome, under the Ring of the Fisherman, the 4th day of June 1712, and in the twelfth year of our Pontificate."

REMARKS.

of the Council of Constance to the year 1712, it was the constant and invariable doctrine of the church of Rome, that all stipulations with Protestants concerning church-matters, that is to say, which granted any religious advantages to the Protestants, or withdrew any from the Papists, were to be considered by the good Catholics as ipso jure null and invalid from the be-

ginning.

2. If the Pope does not belye the Emperor Yoseph, his Imperial Majesty was exactly of the fame fentiments with his Holiness concerning the Treaty of Alt-Ranstadt. He looked upon those articles of it, which gave up so many churches to the Protestants, as of no validity; but the necessity of his affairs requiring his present compliance, he could only lament his hard fate to the Cardinal Annibal, and express his defire of finding proper remedies to patch up the breaches thus made in the jurisdiction of holy Church. When Augustus re-assumed the Polish Sceptre, the Emperor's forrows were affuaged of course. Whether he found the same spiritual remedy which was applied to the conscience of Augustus, or no, for deferting his guaranty, and for infringing fo much of the Treaty as more immediately belonged to himself, his Holiness does not mention. His contrition, however, we may suppose, intitled him to the Peace of the Church of course, and so far, we may conclude, he died in the Odour of Sanctity.

3. Charles

3. Charles VI, his fucceffor, by not acceding to the Treaty of Utrecht, avoided the tempiation of incurring the fad effects of his Holines's Denunciation. By what had passed at Utrecht. Lewis XIV only was bound to see that the Treaty of Westphalia should be confirmed in his Treaty with the Empire. At the Treaty of Radfords in 1714, Lewis had an opportunity of performing what his friendship for the Queen of Great Britain had induced him to promise at Utrecht. But in the accounts I have feen of that Pacification, there is no mention made of the Treaty of Westphalia. And, as the Emperor stipulated at Radfladt that the King of France should execute the Treaty of Ryswick, it is likely that his Most Christian Majesty's zeal for the Church took the lead, on that occasion, of his complaisance for the Queen of Great Britain. And indeed, if what another Historian relates is true, the remonstrances of her Majesty's Minister at the Hague concerning the fourth Article of the Treaty of Ryswick, which should have been mo-dified at Radstadt, by substituting the stipulations of Westphalia, had no manner of regard paid to them k.

The Roman Catholics will now be pleafed to inform us, at what period, fince 1714, the Roman Pontiff, or the States of Europe in communion with him, have either disowned the doctrines and maxims above-mentioned, or corrected their practice by others of a more creditable family. If this cannot be shewn, we shall

Mod. Univ. Hift, vol. XXV. p. 213.

^{*} Tindal's Contin. vol. VI. p 141, 142.

158 APPENDIX II.

hardly believe that the good faith of the individuals of that communion, where the interests of their church come in question, is secured to the Protestants upon any better grounds than a temporary dispensation, which will last no longer than the church remains in a state of inability to affert her authority, and reclaim her omnipotence.

APPENDIX

NUMBER III.

Copy of a Letter from an English Gentleman on bis travels, to a friend of bis in London, dated Paris, March 21, 1766. From the St. James's Chron. May 13, 1766.

Dear Sir.

IN compliance with your request, I have made it my business, to enquire into the present state of the Popish seminaries for the education of English and Irish children in Flanders, and along the coast from Boulogne.

I have also endeavoured to discover, what are and have been the views and designs of the Popish Jacobite party of Scotch, English, and Irish, the avowed Enemies to our present happy Constitution.

160 APPENDIX III.

And I have collated every Memoir, concerning the character, conduct and fituation of the young Pretender fince he left Avignon, that appeared to me to be well founded.

At Boulogne, which is an ancient, disagreeable town, situated partly on an eminence, and partly in a bottom, close to the sea, there is a French convent, very famous for the education of young ladies from England. At present they have about thirty English girls, from about eight years of age to fifteen.

There is likewise an English convent there. They

have twenty, nearly of the same age.

At Calais, which is only seven leagues distant from Boulogne, there is a French convent, which has no less than seven and forty boarders, girls; all of them the children of Protestant parents about Dover, Canterbury, and other parts of Kent; and some of their parents are actually in the g—— service, such as masters of ——, &c.

At Graveline, four leagues from Calais, there is another English convent or nunnery, where they

have twenty girls or more.

At Dunkirk, not four leagues from Calais, are two more nunneries; in each of which there are a

bove thirty English girls.

At Bruges, twelve leagues from Dunkirk, there are two English convents, one of which has, at this time, near forty girls, and the other twenty-three.

At Ghent, eight leagues from Bruges, there is an English convent for girls. They have only fourteen.

At Brussels, ten leagues from Ghent, there are two English convents. One of them has sixteen girls, and the other about eighteen.

At Louvain, four leagues from Bruffels, there is an English convent, which has near forcy girls,

chiefly Irijb.

At Lear, a small town between Ghent and Antwerp, there is an English convent, where, I am informed, they have about thirty girls.

This is the state of the seminaries for girls in that

country.

The general prices for board and education are, from twelve to twenty pounds sterling a year. In some, music is paid for a-part; in others, that and

dancing are included.

It should be observed, that they will not grant the Protestant children, on any confideration, the least indulgence with respect to their own religion; nor will they dispence with any other than the most strict conformity in them, to the observance of all the rites and ceremonies of the Roman Catholic religion. Thus — if they have any Protestant books of devotion, they must lock them up, and peruse them in private; but in most convents they will not fuffer the children to keep them. must observe all fasts and vigils as strictly as the nuns themselves; must attend at mass on all festivals or days of obligation to hear mass. ——The gardens and passages, from one part of these convents to another, are filled with images of the virgin and their faints; and the passing those images without a courtefy is a forfeit of a farthing or a halfpenny. The forfeits, for the first fix months, draw a good deal of money out of the pockets of the parents of Protestant girls.

These girls are early and carefully grounded in a partial History of England; and taught to believe, that the true King of England is in exile at Rome.

When it is confidered, how few parents in England give their children the least idea of Hittory; the deligning artful view of giving them a false notion of it here, appears in a horrid light; and when it is confidered, that these girls are to be wives to Protestant husbands, and mothers too of Protestant children; it feems more detrimental to have them educated in this manner than most men would imagine: and, that they acquire not only an inclination, but even a fondness for the ceremonies of the Romish Church, and an early attachment to the unfortunate house of Stuart, as they call it, is indubitable. I do not depend upon information, I have feen repeated instances of childrens attachment to each; and that fo, as to oppose the religion and government of their native country, with rancour and fury, at fourteen years of age.

I must now return to the neighbourhood of Calais, where the first considerable seminary for boys

is to be found.

At St. Omer's, there is a stately building (college), which belonged to the English Jesuits, before the Jesuits were expelled from France, and its dominions. On their expulsion, a party of secular priests from Douay obtained leave to settle in that college: these priests are partly Irish, but the majority of them English: they have under their care about sifty boys; and they have rendered a school at Bolougne, kept likewise by secular priests, of little or no note.

Their brethern at Douay, have near a hundred and fifty boys at their college.

Besides these, there is no seminary of account in the country, till you get to Bruges; where the English Jesuits, with some sew Irish, that were driven from St. Omer's, are more advantageously established than ever.

They have formed themselves into two colleges or focieties, aiding and affifting to each other, by the names of the Minor School, and the College. They occupy, at prefent, two very large manfion houses, formerly belonging to noble families in Bruges; but they have purchased ground, and are preparing to erect very flately, expensive buildings: for which purpole, they fend emissaries to England, from time to time, to collect contributions They have one hundred and feventy-five youths in their college, all of them cloathed in the Jesuits babit, their ages from ten to twenty-two years. The Minor School has about eighty boys, from five to nine years of age. Between nine and ten they are fent to the upper school or college. In the parlours, at both schools, where the masters spake to strangers, there are whole and three-quarter-length pictures of the young Pretender, in regal robes, and military dreffes, with the enfigns of the Order of the Garter. Every pamphlet published in 1764, and 1765, tending to promote the principles of despotism, to extend the prerogative of the crown, &c. found its way to Bruges, where quantities were distributed in the college; and many of the indecent fongs and prints, which glanced at the most respectable and first personages in the nation, were, at the same time, given to little boys in the Minor School: these, and the older boys in the college, are all obliged, strictly, to observe all the rites and ceremonies of the Romish Church.

APPENDIX

In the Minor School, they board and educate for twenty pounds a year: in the College, for twenty-five, and include the habit. In the Minor School they do not wear it, and the parents find cloaths; so that this is much dearer than many schools about London: the parents of Protestant children, therefore, have no excuse on the account of cheapness. And as to saying they do not meddle with their religion, which is the plaufible bait to obtain Protestant children, I could give a striking instance to the contrary, which happened to fall within my own observation.

The fituation of these Jesuits at Bruges is peculiarly unhappy for England; as the parents of the children who were educated there, instead of making excursions into the country in summer, go from London in the trading veffels, which are continually frequenting the Flemish coast, spend their time and money at Bruges, and return home, the greater number of them, loaden with lace, &c. to smuggle, to pay their passage and

expences.

At Louvain, the Irish and English share the university; the Irilb, in particular, have very large and extensive colleges; there they educate priests and physicians to export to Ireland, but no Protes-

tant boys are there a.

It

a In the London Chronicle, October 17, 1767, a writer, under the name of Verax, hath thought fit to contradict this account of our English traveller; but hath done this, however, in a manner that seems rather to confirm it. He says, No English convent exists at Boulogne, but in our traveller's imagination. But will he fay, there is no Hotel at Boulogne, where English girls are educated? If there is, of what consequence is it whether such a house is called an English convent or not? Let us Lowever,

APPENDIX III. 165

It is certain, that the whole disaffected party on this side the water were more particularly active L₃ in

however, a tend to the ingenious and entertaining Dr. Smollet. " Here [at Boulogne] is a square, a town-house, and two or " three convents of nuns, in one of which there are feveral English " girls, fent hither for their education." [Travels, vol 1. p, 29 This is pretty near the mark If this may not be called an English convent, it is at least a convent dislinguished from two other French ones, by the circumstance of receiving English girls for education. If the English secular school for boys, heretofore at Boulogne, is broke up, the travelling gentleman hath very well accounted for it. Verux fays, and he fays tiuly, that, English Papists are more excuseable in sending their children abroad for education, than English Protestants. But it is not equally true that English Papills have not opportunities of having their children educated in their own tenets here at home. That the Papifts of this country want indruction for their children in the tenets of their own religion, no one can believe, who hath taken any notice of the number of their priests, and how conveniently they are flationed for the edification of their flocks. And if any other accomplishments are wanted, it is faid, and, I believe, upon good authority, that the Roman Catholic youth may refort to feminaries, where there is no danger that their religious or political principles should be disturbed. Some time ago, as I have been informed, an academy was opened not far from the metropolis, under the direction of a Irench Roman Catholic, for the accomplishment of young gentlemen in modern languages, mathematical learning, and pointe and manly The teachers indeed were laid to be Protestants; but the professed plan abovementioned of the academy is by no means unfavourable to the supposition that even the sons of Roman Catholics might learn what was there to be taught, without running any rilk of being untertled in their faith. How it is, or has been, in some other parts of the kingdom, may be understood from two remarkable letters which I shall give at the end of this note, written by a nametake of this critic upon our traveller. On another hand, it is well known that there is at York a large building called by the name of The Nunnery, which is understood to be a place of education for young ladies of the Roman Catholic pertuation, and which has had that reputation for many years. Nor is it to be doubted but there are other places in the kingdom where popilh girls are enter-

166 APPENDIX III.

in fending over their emissaries in the springs and summers of 1764, and 1765. It is almost incredible

tained for the same purpo'e. But to return to Verax. extremely pleafant upon the notion of an University at Louvain, imagining, as it should feem, that the denomination of an univerfity is not taken from its institution and privileges, but from the numbers that refort to it for education. Can a man who is ignorant that Louvain has been a famous university for to many generations, be depended upon for a just account of the number or quality of the pupils educated in it? At length, by dropping one concession after another, he comes up to every thing advanced by our traveller, who only favs, that at Louvain, " the Irish have very large and extensive colleges," without mentioning with what numbers they are replenished. As feveral writers in the news papers have, at different times, been nibbling at this most seasonable letter of our worshy Traveller, it may not be amifs, to confirm his report by testimonies which will admit of no difpute. Dr. Smollet, having spoken as above. of the convents at Boulogne, goes on thus: " The smallness " of the expence encourages parents to fend their children " abroad to these seminaries, where they learn scarce any thing " that is useful but the French language: but they never fail to " imbibe projudices against the Protestant religion, and gene-" rally turn enthufiastic converts to the religion of Rome. " conversion always generates a contempt for, and often an " aversion to, their own country. Indeed it cannot reasonably " be expected that people of weak minds, addicted to super-" flition, should either love or esteem those whom they are " taught to consider as reprobated heretics. Ten pounds a-" year is the usual pension in these convents; but I have been " informed by a French lady, who had her education in one of " them, that nothing can be more wretched than their enter-" tainment," p. 20. Let us hear another writer, who feems to give an accourt of a convent on the French coast, which is not in our traveller's lift. " Ardres is most delightfully situated. " -At the Benedictine convent there I placed my daughter, " which is in all respects better than either of the convents at "Calais, were it not cheaper. The principal nun speaks Eng-" lift very well, and is a fensible, well-behaved woman. I " conversed with several English young ladies under her pro-" tection, who all feemed happy and contented. Those parents, however, who would be terrified at the thoughts of a " childs

A P P E N D I X III. 167. incredible what numbers of priefts, in difguise,

went over at those periods from Calais, Dunkirk,

L 4 and

" chi'd's conversion to the Catholic religion, ought not to " fend them to any convent for education in France. For " though they do not attempt to convert the children by any " direct means, there are many indirect methods; and the " ceremony of high mais must naturally have much influence " upon youn minds Add to this, they are obliged to artend " divine fervice constantly, and they are continually hearing " the bigotted part of the convent lamenting that to fire a gil. " fo fenfible a girl, (or the like), should not be in the only fafe " road to heaven; while perhaps the Catholic girls of the fame " age throw out an infinuation to the Protecant miffes, that, if "they were to die in the convent, they would not be buried, " but thrown into the town-ditch, and be eaten by the dogs." Mr. Thickneff's Observations on the cultoms and manners of the Having not the book by me, I French nation, chap. i.i. transcribe this from the firitical Review; and I suppose it to be sufficiently exact: my purpose being only to cite this writer to a matter of fact, which he had no tempration to milreprefent, and wherein he is in full agreement with our excellent traveller. It were indeed to be wished that the religion of this gentleman had not fat to loolely upon him, as it appears to have done by what follows. " My daughter, who is now fif-" teen years of age, with, I hope, a very tolerable expecity, " and who had been fix months in the Benedictine convent at " Calais" [thould it not be Ardres?] " afked me upon the road. " whether, if I fettled in France, I would charge my religion? . I concealed by furprize at this quettion, and replied, I was " very doubtful whether I could do that or not; and then, in " my turn, I asked her, whether she had any thoughts of " changing hers? I like the religion very well, the replied, and " to does every English lady in our consent, subo avould all change " if they durft. I should not have faid thus much on the subject " of religion, but that you feemed inclined to have tent your " daughter over, and therefore I thought myfelf bound to fay " no lefs, and leave you to be guided by your own good judge-" ment; affuring you, at the fame time, that I am under no " great concern about the fate of my own children, having ex-" perienced too much perfecution in my own perion, and in my " own country, to be folicitous to breed up my children (in a " country

I

-

APPENDIX 168

and Ostend. Most of the titular bishops passed over; in particular, the bishop of London, who

was

country where they must now in all probability live and die) " to be subject to persecutions on that score." So much for the folicitude of the father, and the tolerable capacity of the daughter. However, the more detached this gentleman was from concern about his own or his children's religion, the more unbiaffed witness is he of the particulars for which he is cited. For the traffick of conveying children from the British to the Flemish coast, and the occasions taken by priests of making use of that conveyance, we have the testimony of an eye-witness in the following extract from a late Address to the most reverend and right reverend the Archbishops and Bishops of the church of England, p. 8, 9, 10. " It chanced that I visited the Austrian " Netherlands a few years ago, and, amongst other places of " note, my curiofity carried me to GHENT, where I met with " numbers of priefts of all denominations, who told me they " had been feveral times in England, and proposed going thither " again. Amongst the rest, I conversed with two Jesuits on " board the famous veffel which is employed in conveying pal-" sengers to Brussels, and from thence to Ghent. Upon my " taking the liberty to ask them, which way they were going? " they told me very frankly, they were fleering for England. " And I pray, friends, to what purpose (I replied), when you " acknowledge you cannot fpeak the language of the country? " Our good brethren (they answered) will soon instruct us in that. 1 also found an Englishwoman on board the same vessel, who " owned ingenuously to me, that her principal employment was " to convey young children from England to Bruges for their " education, and to fetch them back again to their parents " when that was completed. I do not recollect what number " of children she had with her at that time, nor is it of much " consequence; but what struck me the most, was the nature of her occuration, and the facility with which the imparted " it to her countryman." After this, the number of English Protestant children, mentioned by our traveller to be in the French and Flemills convents, will hardly feem incrdible, when here is a person who gets her maintenance by transporting them backwards and forwards. If any one is defirous of feeing more of the bad confequences of this kind of education, I would recommend the perusal of an admirable Letter in the St. James's Chronicle,

APPENDIX III. 169

was treated by the English, on this side the water, with peculiar respect. It was remarked to them,

Chronicle, January 21, 1768, figned ANTIGALLICA. That the Papifts have no occasion to complain of the want of seminaries in this country for the education of their children in their own way, the two following letters seem to prove pretty plainly.

To the Printer of the LONDON CHRONICLE.

Rermingham, Jun. 30. "You will permit me, by your useful paper, to inform the " public of the following interesting particulars. Many com-" plaints having lately been made of the growth of Popery " among us, and of the arts of its priests in making profelytes, " I have lately had an opportunity of discovering a practice in-" troduced amongst us under their patronage, which is and " must be in its consequences destructive to the trade of this " kingdom, in the preservation of which we are all concerned. " In the parish of Sedgeley, between Dudley and Wolverhampton. " is a large house employed as a school, in which are boarded. " and educated more than one hundred boys, many of whom " are foreigners; who are put out as apprentices to various " branches of trade [in] which that country is known to excel, " especially in the manufacture of iron and brass. Now, Sir, " as this can only be done to enable them to employ that skill " which they acquire among us for the benefit of their own " country, it becomes highly necessary, in my opinion, this evil " should be inquired into and prevented; it being equally as " pernicious in its confequences, and more lafting in its ef-" fects, than the seduction of our workmen by strangers, which " we have always attempted to hinder by the most severe pe-" nalty. Are not fuch men enemies to the nation in its civil " capacity? Should they not be restrained, lest the evil should " be past remedy? Permit me to mention one thing more which " has fallen under my notice. A Protestant with whom I am " acquainted was ftrongly folicited to fend his fon to this school, " and it is to be feared many may be unwarily drawn into it on " a promise of having their education gratis. However, it is " well known that the Papilts, who are numerous, are supplied " with apprentices from this feminary, many of whom are the " children of Protestants educated at this place, with what view,

APPENDIX III.

that it was to be feared such a number of Jesuits had retired to England, that offence would be taken

" but for the promotion and increase of the Catholic religion?

" If what I have related is fact, then it is incumbent on those who have power and authority, to remedy the evil complained

of. This they will do, if they regard the present or future

" interest of church or state. I am, Sir, your's, &c.

VERAX."

" P. S. Large additions being now making to Sedgeley park, it is reported they are intended as a school for girls." LONDON

CHRONICLY, Tebruary 4, 1766, p. 117.

This Letter having raised the curiosity of another of the Printer's correspondents, a more particular account of these matters was requested, under the signature of Defensor. To which the following answer was inserted in the LONDON CHRONICLE of April 15, 1766, p. 355.

" To the Printer of the LONDON CHRONICLE.

"SIR.

" In compliance with Defensor's request some time ago in vour Paper, please to insert the following particulars -In the " parish of Egebaston, adjoining to this town, is now, and has " been for many years, a Popith School of great eminence; in " this parish many converts have been made to Popery, parti-" cularly a friend of mine, who, in the abundance of his zeal " for the propagation of his new religion, willingly fent his ion " abroad, to be educated for the church. In the parish of Sali-" hall, fix miles from hence, the number of Papifts is amazingly " increased; they have lately erected a building for their reli-" gious worship, which has the appearance of a gentleman's " house. At Badsly Clinton, five miles further, they are nu-" merous; at Grove Park, four miles, you have them again; " from hence to Wotten Worven near Henly, four miles, they are " in great numbers; four miles beyond is Caughton, near Al-" cefter, where many converts have been added to them, fome " of whom I know. In this place more people refort to their place of worship, than to the parish church. At Stikts, at " Recly, eight miles from hence, where there is another large " school, or was lately, they abound, though their exact numbers cannot by me be ascertained; yet I am fully perfuaded, taken at it. The answer was, their friends and patrons were too wise to molest them; that they had carried money with them, and placed it in the English sunds; and that the T—— of E——wanted recruiting too much, for them to regard through whose hands property was brought into the nation.

Much about the same periods, vast quantities of Popish books, prints, pictures, and other such holy lumber, were sent over; together with several complete sets of French prints of the House, called of Stewart.

Since the change of the Administration, they feem quite dejected; and fince the bustle that has been made in London about private Mass-houses, the priests have come back, like herrings, in shoals. I hope, by this circumstance among others, that the present Administration are the trusty, right characters we have always imagined them to be.

If they did but know what a spirit of intolerance, of oppression, and of the rankest bigotry and enthusiasm reigns throughout the Queen of *Hungary*'s dominions in *Flanders*, surely they would make

[&]quot;could they be known, the amount would be found very large."

I omit the remaining part of this Letter, figned likewife V E R A X, as descending to particulars not necessary to be
mentioned, and thus far is sufficient to shew the mistake of the
other Verax of October 17, 1767, with respect to the opportunities English Roman Catholics have of educating their children in their own tenets at home, and shall only farther observe
that we have subjoined to this Letter the following Posseriet.

"P. S. As I know all the places mentioned above, I am certain

of the truth of my affertions concerning them." See the ap
prehensions of Verax in the former of these Letters too well
confirmed, in the Public Advertiser, Wednesday, January 27,
1768.

use of reprisals. No Protestant can obtain a settlement in that country; nor even baptize, much more educate a child in his own way. We humbled France, we humbled Spain, might have for ever! But we left unpunished that ungrateful bigot; whose deputies in Flanders will hardly suffer an Englishman to breathe; and who have oppressed the British commerce to such a degree, that it must be totally lost in a short time. Yet we conquered this country for her!

It is to be hoped that some method will be taken at home, to prevent all persons going into, and out of the kingdom, as they please, without the least notice or examination; otherwise Popery must

flourish in England.

The Popish party have depended much on the unsettled, roving disposition of the people of England, both with respect to their political and religious tenets. They boast much of the increase of the Methodists, and talk of that sect with rapture; while they enlarge on the negligence and profligate manners of the clergy of the church of England. How far the Methodists and Papists stand connected in principles I know not; but I believe it is beyond a doubt, that they are in constant correspondence with each other b.

The

b I would willingly hope, some doubt may be made of this. There is indeed one remarkable incident, which might reasonably enough create a suspicion of this sort, namely, the late publication of the life of Francis Xavier, the samous Jesuit Missionary to the Indies, abridged from Father Bobours, a member of the same order. The Monthly Reviewers for May 1765, inform us, that this abridgment is the work of Mr. James Morgan, one of Mr. Wesley's preachers. It is a pity this abridger should not have been apprized from what kind of vouchers Bobours compiled his life of Xavier, and what strong imputations of sorgery

The young Pretender, by the best informations I could procure, which, indeed, vary, and are distinct to be relied on, retired to Avignon, after his disgrace of being arrested in this city, and stayed there a sew months. He then went to Liege, where he lived some time in a very private manner, and took the title of Baron de Montgomerie. How long he lived thus, or what private excursions he made into other countries, I know not: But about the year 1757, he settled at Bouillon, where he continued to the time of his departure for Rome, on account of the death of his father.

fell upon Tursellin, Ribadereira, and Alegambe, from whom Bobours had his materials, even from the learned and more freethinking Papills themselves. Xuvier was one of the first affociates of Loyola, and whether Navier was the dupe of his mafter's politics, or a conscious accomplice, is by no means a clear case. Lay the books of Tursellin, Ribadeneira, Alegande and Bobours (which contain a heap of palpable fictions from end to end), out of the question, and very little is known how Navier pailed his time in the Indies, but from the letters afcribed to him, in which fome of his accounts are very confident with the defigns of a man by no means mortified to all fecular confiderations. Stephen Pasquier, a very learned and thaunch Romanist, seems to have thought Xuvier as deep in what he calls the piperies of the Jesuits, as any of the fraternity; if so, he was a knave and a hypocrite. Suppose him sincere, and he was at the best a crack-brained enthufiaft, and in neither case a proper example for pious and rational Protestants And therefore it were to be wished, for the credit of Mr. Wesley and his followers, who would be underflood to espouse the principles of our first Reformers, that they would publicly disavow this superstitious publication, and every other (if fuch others there are among them) of the faine tendency. In the mean time, it ought to be mentioned to Mr. Whitfield's praife, that he took timely care to preclude all fuspicions of his having any connexions with Popery, by his account of some remarkable Ecclesiastical Entertainments, as he calls them in his Letters from Liston, published in the year 1755, in which are many fensible and pertinent observations, well worth the notice of all Protestants.

174 APPENDIX III.

He was privately visited by several persons from

England, in the summers 1764, 1765.

His person is tall, and rather lusty; his complexion has a reducts in it not unlike the effects of drinking: he has a complaint in his legs, which obliges him to wear a kind of half-boots.

It has generally been reported, that he was totally imbecile; that he gives himself up to drinking; and that he has a secret tendency to the Protestant religion; all which circumstances are notoriously false.

He is pufillanimous to an incredible degree; and it is now well known here too, as in Scotland and England, that even at the battle of Culloden he withdrew, before the Rebel-army was broken: but as to his love of drinking, or any acts which denote him to be turned foolish; these reports owe their origin to some frantick tricks he played on his miftress leaving him, and either really, or as he imagined, fecreting herfelf in a convent at Bouillon. It is certain, that he fired a pistol toward the convent, a ball from which passed through one of the windows of it, and wounded a nun in the shoulder. At that time he likewise addicted himself to drinking, in the first heats of his fury for the elopement of that mistress, a French lady of distinction: But this incident happened several years ago; and he has lately always appeared calm and composed, talked very rationally, and read much, and been fond of music.

As to his religion, there is not a greater bigot upon earth: He has his bottle for holy water at his bed's head; he never mounts his horse, or goes out on a shooting party, but he crosses himself many

many times; and is ftrongly attached to the groffest

fooleries of the Romish religion c.

Many of his friends declare, that he was so exasperated by his arrest and the breaking open his house here, from whence a letter was said to be carried off, written by the *French* King, promising him perpetual protection, and a princely revenue, that he never will enter into any measures proposed to him by that Monarch.

By the accounts just arrived here from Rome, I have learnt the further following particulars con-

cerning him and his family.

On the death of the old Gentleman, the Cardinal, his fon, wrote a letter to the Pope, to acquaint him with that event; and to request his protection for his brother. This letter was accompanied by a memorial. A congregation of Cardinals was convened to deliberate on those matters. A public funeral was refolved on, and much superfluous pageantry appeared in it: yet no cannons were permitted to be drawn in the procession, nor to be fired on the occasion. As foon as the body was removed from the palace of the Santi Apostoli [the Pretender's palace], the fentry-boxes were taken away, and the guardroom thut up, by order of the Secretary of State; and not long after, the arms of England were taken down from it, by a fecond order.

In regard to the question, whether the title of the father should be given to the son, it was re-

folved in the negative.

Things being in this fituation, it was imagined the fon would not proceed to Rome; and Urbino

175

See Dr. Sharpe's Letters from Italy.

was proposed, and approved of by the Cardinal his brother, as a place of residence for him; and an express dispatched to meet him in his route, and apprize him of these circumstances. That person met him a sew posts on this side Florence; yet on he went, under the title of Count Douglass,

and arrived at Rome very unexpectedly.

His immediate declaration there was, that he would receive none but those who should give him his father's title. On the first days after his arrival, a few persons of different ranks visited him; which being known, a severe reprimand was sent them, and express orders issued, that no person should presume to give him that title. He then resolved to quit Rome; but that resolution held only a few days, as is said, from the difficulty of knowing what place to retire to; and how the matter will end is extremely uncertain; tho', it is probable, by his fixing on some place northward, where he can receive easily the visits and contributions of his party, and from whence he can join them upon occasion.

Had he declared, when the Cardinal found he could not be acknowledged, that he defired to be in private, all ranks would then have received him with decency, and he would not have suffered the mortifications which he has since under-

gone.

The Romans had conceived him to be a debauchee; but his behaviour at Rome has been per-

fectly fober and affable.

The Roman government, it seems, allowed the father twelve thousand crowns [about 3000 l. Sterling] a year, the reversion of which was settled on the Cardinal for his life; and fix thousand crowns more were usually given him; three

A P P E N D I X III. 177 at Christmas, and three at the Villegiatura; which sum has been refused to be allowed to the son.

The only riches left by the father, are faid to be one hundred thousand crowns, which are lodged in the bank at Rome.

I am now going fouthward; when I shall have passed Lyons, I will send you some account of that city, and the other general places of education, with which our countrymen have connexion, in this kingdom. Afterward, a like account for Switzerland, and Italy, unless I should get too much dissipated."

APPENDIX

NUMBERIV.

Testimonies relative to the State of Popery in Ireland.

- 1. Extract of a Letter, dated Ashby de la Zouch, March 10, 1766, in the Gentleman's Magazine for April 1766, p. 172.
- "IT is long fince I formed a defign of communicating my fentiments of the state of the
- "Romish religion in Ireland to the public, and the evil thereof, with a scheme for amending
- "it; but meeting with an Extract of a Letter
- " from an English Gentleman, who made the
- " Tour of Ireland last Summer, giving an account
- of the Pilgrimage of Lough Finn" (see the Gentleman's

Gentleman's Magazine for February 1766, p. 60), "with the Gentleman's remarks on the pernicious consequences attending it, I could not any longer be at rest, while I thought of any thing that might advance religion, or the welfare of any of his Majesty's dominions, especially that Island which Providence ordered to be the place wherein I first drew the breath of life."

The Letter-writer, having given an account of some other superstitious practices in different parts of Ireland, and of the correspondence of the Popish clergy in that kingdom with Rome, for the purpose of authenticating these devotions, proceeds as follows:

" In the year 1736, a particular friend of mine " went a journey of about fixty miles, and where " she lodged at night, she fell in discourse with " the woman of the house, who took her for a " Papift, as she spoke the Irish very well, and " fhe did not undeceive her; and in their discourse " the woman asked her, if she had heard the " news? My friend asked, What news? It was " answered, that they had been praying for the " young Prince, that is, the Pretender, by order " of a Bull that came from the Pope for that " purpose to all the clergy throughout the king-" dom; and that the priest told them, viz. the " congregation, that in a few years he would come " over himself, and that there would a massacre " of the Protestants precede his coming. In the " year 1743, it was a current report, that there " was a night fixed for this horrid act, and that " one of them discovered the plot; whether it " was certain truth I cannot fay, but in all my " life I never faw so light a night, and several of " our neighbours were cautious of going to bed; M 2

"and tho' nothing happened, yet how probable might it be to be defigned? For, as a simple country-woman could discourse of it, and tell of the Rebellion nine years before it happened, what need there a plainer demonstration, as she took my friend for one of her own sect, and spoke it in the innocence of her heart?

"About this time also, and while the war with France continued, there was scarce a part of Ireland which had not French officers recruiting in it; some appeared as young clergymen come home after perfecting their education; others, from their travels, to see their friends, others, from their travels, to see their friends, and though they escaped the notice of the Legislature, yet they got several thousand recruits. How serviceable they were to the French, we need only judge by their bravery on board our navy; for several thousands of these Papists enter into our sea and land-service in England, in the time of war."

II. Extract of a Letter, dated Dublin, May, 1, 1766. From the St. James's Chronicle, May 31, 1766.

"SIR,

" HAVING some time ago seen in your Paper " a description of the danger this kingdom has " been in for feveral years past, from the machi-" nations of domestic Popish enemies, I expected " to have feen the heavy charge laid on them " contradicted, or some of the facts explained " away. Nothing, however, has appeared in their " defence a, which argues conscious guilt, and " fubmission, for once, to convictive evidence, " that there is, and has been for feveral years paft, " a Popish plot carrying on in Ireland, in favour " of France and a Popish Pretender to the domi-" nions of his M-y K. Ceorge." This, Sir, is " a truth as clear as the day to all but those who " are or have been engaged in it, or those who " have found their interest in affecting to dishe-" lieve it. Some of the proofs of it I shall recite " to you, and will relate nothing but known fact, " without any comment of my own. On the first " nightly rifings of the people called White Boys, " from wearing shirts over other clothing, like the

This feems to have been written before Lord Taaffe's pamphlet and the other, concerning the trials of the persons accused of the murder of Lridge, appeared.

M 2 "Camifars,

" Camisars of the Cevennes, in Louis XIVth's time b, notice was given to the Government of " their proceedings from time to time, but long " difregarded, nor even liftened to, till some "Noblemen and Gentlemen, who left their " country for the fafety of their persons, made " vigorous applications to have them timely sup-" pressed, and pointed out the methods most " likely to take effect .--They were told, it was their own " fault, that these risings were not speedily sup-" pressed; that they had a legal power to commit " to prison all disturbers of the public peace; and " much more to that purpose. A Nobleman of " great fortune and spirit replied, it was impossible " for a constable, armed only with his staff, to " feize five hundred riotous persons; and requested " fome parties of the army might be fent to quell "them. But all that could be obtained was to " iffue two or three Proclamations, recommend-"ing, in very foft terms, peace and quietness to " those deluded poor people. - The danger, " however, and mischiefs increasing in proportion " as indulgence was allowed them, -it was at " last obtained that Drogheda's Regiment of Light " Horse should be stationed in the three counties " where those people mustered. Many criminals " were taken, many detected, but the last not " projecuted. One Jesuit in one of those " counties was taken by furprise. His Letters,

The Camisars or Camisards of the Cevennes were so called, not from wearing shirts over their cloathing, but from a loose linen upper garment, like our carters frocks, which was part of their usual apparel.

' ready

A P P E N D I X IV. 183 "ready to be fent to the Chief, feized; in one of which were these words, I shall fend you twelve

" flout men well armed for the good cause, &c.
" The information was sent by immediate express
" where it was proper. It was faid only

" men were only designed to maintain a Pos" session, &c. ____ I shall acquaint you with

" what I do know. I know, there was not, at that time, a Papist in the whole kingdom who

"was not taxed, and, on pain of excommunica-

" tion, required to pay certain sums, to be dif" posed of some way or other.——Seven

"Popish Regiments were ordered to be inlisted

" for the service of Portugal, but dropped after-

" wards — The Popish Noblemen and Gen-

"tlemen destined to command this Army — held

" [like that in James the Second's time] a High

" Commission Court, and with full as much power

" over their own people as the former had."

III. Extract of a Letter, dated Dublin, June 8, 1766. From the St. James's Chronicle, August 28, 1766.

"SIR,

" As by your Paper I perceive there is a great " increase of Popish Friars, Priests, and Jesuits, " in and about London, and that you have given " very proper warning of the danger arising from " fuch increase, I shall, in hopes of its falling into " proper hands, affure you the increase here is " beyond belief. On Saturday the 15th of Fe-" bruary last, I happened, as my profession obliged " me, to attend the Judges at a sea-port town in " the West of this kingdom. After the court " broke up that day, I went to dine at a village " near the shore, where I saw eight Jesuits, four " Priests, and eleven Pupils, arrive from Nantes " in France, from on board a ship commanded by " P ____: Attending the Judges from " another Affize-town, I saw these indentical per-" fons going to Mass; ard, while I stopped, I " heard one fay, This place would ferve for the " foundation of Doctor F--'s school, as it is " large enough to contain above 100 pupils. I am " forry to fay, no notice was taken of my in-" formation——— But there is one Gentleman, " eminent for his fense, and remarkable for his " intrepid zeal for the laws, who hourly hazards his life in the most riotous part of this kingdom, " in in defence of his country. This Gentleman is a Justice of the Peace for the country of Dublin, where no Judge ever goes, but the Justices themselves six as Judges of Oyer and Terminer.

"As I think his Charge is worthy of being read, by inferting the following extract from it, you

" will oblige

" your constant Reader,

" I. C."

Extract from a Charge given to the Grand Jury of the County of Dublin, at the Quarter-Sessions held for the said County at Kilmain-ham, on the 9th day of April 1766, by Sir Edward Newnham, Knt. Published at the request of the Grand Jury.

"—— No doubt but you all have heard of thole riots and unlawful tumults, committed by those deluded persons stiled White Boys.——The reafon of my mentioning these circumstances, is only to remind you of the great necessity there is (should this county ever be unfortunately infected with such lawless people) that you should, as freeholders, join the proper magistrates to crush them in their infancy——But though I am as true a lover of freedom, liberty, and the civil power, as any man, I must observe to you, that, in some cases, it is impossible to execute

" execute the laws without a military force. " particular circumstances of this kingdom since " the glorious Revolution, have made a conftant " military force necessary for the preservation of " the Protestant religion, our liberties and pro-" perties.——I will only state one case; " suppose a rising of persons of the Popish per-" fuafion in a Popish barony, county, or district. " A magistrate calls for the aid of the civil power. " How can he get it? Can any man fay that a " Papist will arm against a Papist? It is an absurdity " to imagine it. If that be the case, is not a mi-" litary force necessary? Those well-spoken, but, " I fear, not real friends to our happy established " constitution, ought to fly to the posts of honour, " and shew their patriotic spirit in repelling the " impending danger. — I must observe " to you, that though the lenity of our Govern-" ment makes them wink at the Papifts exercifing " their religion publicly, yet they will not remain " quiet, notwithstanding that indulgence. The " late trials in Clonnell and Kilkenny are sufficient " evidences of their bad intentions; their swearing " thousands of his Majosty's subjects, they will nei-" ther pay tithes, nor allow the established clergy " to gather them; their levelling walls and ditches, " under pretence of their being commons, are, " in fact, nothing but a pecimen of their more " daring intentions.-There are of late " numbers of Jeiuits and Friars come into this "kingdom. These people have presumed to set " up schools of Popish aperstition, and friaries, " fome of which are in your district. of for perfecuting any perfors for their opinions " in religion. It is contrary to humanity, and " the tenets of our most excellent religion, to prolecute

" fecute or despile a person for being of a dif-" ferent faith from ourselves. But it is a true " rule of human œconomy, that charity begins " at home. I believe, most of the resident Papists " of this kingdom would be peaceable subjects, " if it was not for the arrival of numbers of Ie-" fuits and Friars, who were banished from other " kingdoms as pefts to civil fociety. The wifdom " of the most bigoted Popish countries have " thought it necessary to banish them, for their " attempts to overturn every rule and order among " men; even Kings themselves have near been " made the facrifice of their blind zeal. From " these circumstances, I should recommend it to " your care to watch them; you have several ways " to punish them, by presentment, or indictment, " for affuring functions and characters not al-" lowed by law."

From a late publication relative to the affairs of Ireland, in 1763, 1764, it appears that " many " of the persons concerned in the risings both in " the North and South of that kingdom, had been " indicted for high-treason, that several had been " executed upon the statute,—that combinations " had been formed against paying the church dues, " — that these combinations had been attended " with circumstances more terrible and alarming " than was generally known, ——that many " Papists in the diocese of Waterford, who were " tenants at will, and who were liable to be dif-" placed to their great disadvantage, had entered " into these combinations against the minister " of the parish,—that being acquainted, that " if they did join in fuch combinations, they " would be dismissed, they replied, that if they " were difmiffed they could not help it, that it "would be a misfortune, but that it would be fill the leaft of two evils; for they had better be dismissed, than have their throats cut, which would be the certain consequence of their refusing to concur in the combination. And it was understood, that a combination into which associates were pressed by the fear of death, and in which, therefore, many violate the peace of the state, contrary to their inclination and interest, might in a short time subvert the constitution, and defeat all the laws that had been enacted to prevent public evils, arising from the growth of Popery." P 47, 48—84, 85.

I defire it may be observed, that these Extracts are appealed to only as exhibiting evidence of the fpirit of Popery in Ireland, at the periods of time in question; and that I have omitted all reflections intermixed with the narratives, farther than was necessary to connect the accounts of the main facts, not only as I am no judge of the truth or propriety of fuch reflections, but as they are nothing to my purpose, which is to shew by competent evidence, in answer to the pamphlet ascribed to Lord Taaffe, and other publications to the same effect, that the temper of the Irish Papists has not been so peaceable and submissive to government, as the writers of those pamphlets have affected to represent. is to little purpose to say, that these disturbers of the public peace were the fcum and refule of the people. Sir Edward Newnbam, we fee, was candid enough to believe that " most of the " resident Papists of Ireland would be peaceable " fubjects, if it were not for the number of Je-" fuits and Friars among them." It is not unreafonable to suppose that the Roman Catholic nobility and gentry of that kingdom might find the means

means to controul the influence of these Jesuits upon the common people; and, as they are under the protection of no civil law, why do they not? unless they consider these Jesuits as incased in the armour of the church, to which good Catholics of every degree are equally obliged to sub-

mit. The consequences of which principle to those

Protestant Governments under which they who entertain it sojourn, need not be explained.

POSTSCRIPT.

POSTSCRIPT.

CONTAINING

Remarks on a late Apology for the Catholics of Great Britain and Ireland.

TIHAT has been offered in the foregoing Considerations, tending to shew the danger of tolerating Popery, will, I hope, be understood as it was intended, to include a defence of the laws of our country that have laid the Papifts under those restraints and incapacities of which they are disposed at this period so loudly to complain. ceftors took their accounts of Popish principles from books which the church of Rome had authenticated in form, and the circumstances attending the treasons and conspiracies of which so many particular Papists had been convicted, afforded sufficient reason to conclude that their Church patronized their attempts, and applied her principles to the justification of the criminals. With this complicated evidence before them, it became necessary to guard the constitution from the effects of the perpetual perpetual machinations of these dangerous inmates, by such laws as would be most effectual in depriving them of the power of accomplishing the mis-

chief they never ceased to meditate.

The present pretence for having those laws superseded, is, that the occasions upon which they were enacted no longer subsist. The meaning of which, to make this a good reason for silencing those laws, should be, that the Papists have now renounced those principles which rendered their forefathers obnoxious to the government under which they lived. For barely to fay, that the Papifts have not, for some years backward, engaged in the same kind of treasons and conspiracies that their forefathers did, is only faying that the laws enacted for fecuring the public against the pernicious tendency of their principles, have had a good effect: which, while the same principles are still espoused by the Papists of the present age, is the best reason in the world for continuing the laws against them in full force and vigour; as the natural consequence of repealing them must be, an immediate return to their endeavours to subvert our constitution, to which they must be urged by their principles with the more alacrity, as they might then take their measures for that purpose with impunity.

If indeed the Papists of the present times can give sufficient assurance to our governors in church and state, that they have absolutely and effectually renounced those obnoxious principles which their foresathers espoused, and have adopted others perfectly reconcileable to their dutiful submission to the present government of Great Britain and Ireland, they will then have a plea for toleration, which must make all the arguments against it, taken from

ig POSTSCRIPT.

the contrary supposition, go for nothing. They will then stand upon the same sooting with the Protestant Dissenters from our ecclesiastical establishment; and whenever it can be made appear that they have the same affection for, and the same common interest to support; the civil constitution of these kingdoms upon its present basis, the toleration of Popery, as a merely religious sect, will, upon Protestant principles, stand clear of all objection.

The question then is, "Have the Papists of these days disclaimed, or have they, in any degree, modified, the pernicious principles of their forefathers, so as to put themselves into a condition to be tolerated with equal safety to the state, as the Protestant Dissenters are tole-

" rated ?"

To enable us to judge of this matter, it hath happened very seasonably, and very fortunately for the public, that a book hath just appeared on the behalf of the Papists, which gives us sufficiently full and clear information on that head, intituled, An Apology for the Catholics of Great Britain and Ireland, bumbly offered to the consideration of the King's most excellent Majesty, and both houses of Parliament.

The Catholics, as they chuse to call themselves, having furnished us with this test of their principles at a time when they thought it necessary to give the government the most favourable view both of their religious and political tenets, cannot complain of any wrong we do them, in being determined by their own representation: and if it shall appear from a short examination of this Apology, that the Papists of the present times still adhere, without any modification, to the religious and political

POSTSCRIPT. 193 litical system of the most obnoxious of their forefathers, the inference will be obvious, that they ought still to be kept within the same restraints.

Let us then begin with inquiring what were the principal inducements with our forefathers to enact the penal and incapacitating laws against Papists, now in force? And these were chiefly three:

1. Their acknowledging, and professing their absolute submission to a foreign Jurisdiction, at utter enmity with every government administered by a Protestant sovereign *.

2. Their principle of INTOLERANCE, excluding all who differ from their religious tenets, or who refuse obedience to the foreign jurisdiction abovementioned, from all civil privileges, and dooming them to capital punishment, under the name of

Heretics.

3. Their assiduous and never ceasing endeavours to seduce the subjects of Protestant states to these pernicious principles.

The

^{*} Perhaps it should rather have been said, "at utter enmity with every government which will not admit of its arbitrary decisions, whether Protestant or not." For thus is the Pope characterised by the English Parliament of 1536.—" The Bishop of Rome (whom some call the Pope) who had long darkened God's word, that it might serve his pomp, glory, avarice, ambition, and tyranny: both upon the souls, bodies, and goods of all Christians, excluding Christ out of the rule of man's soul, and princes out of their dominions." Burnet Hist. of the Resormation, vol. I. p. 211. For doubtless this was no Protestant Parliament with respect to the doctrinal articles of the Romish faith.

104 POSTSCRIPT.

The person at the head of this foreign jurisdiction, is agreed, on all hands, to be the Pope or the bishop of Rome, of whose preeminence our Apologist, in the name of ALL CATHOLICS, gives the

following account.

" In the Catholic church there is the Pope, or " supreme representative and vicar of Jesus Christ " upon earth, as being the successor of St. Feter in " the see of Rome; and the particular church of " Rome, for this reason, is stiled the mother and " mistress of all other churches, and the invariable center of their unity. The heads of other parti-" cular churches are also all princes of the Catholic church, and vicars and representatives of Je-" fus Christ in the districts of their respective go-" vernments, as are likewise all priests, for they " all represent Jesus Christ in the discharge of the " functions of their ministry. But for the pur-" poses of good government, the priests are su-" bordinate to the bishops, the bishops to the arch-" bishops, and ALL TOGETHER to the supreme " representative of Jesus Christ, the bishop of " Rome." Apology, p. 136.

But if all together, then the Vicar-princes among others, and the degrees of subordination will then stand thus, "The priests are subordinate to the bishops, the bishops to the archbishops, the archbishops to the princes, and the princes to "the pope." But by lumping them all together, he avoided mentioning, that according to the catholic faith, the clergy are not subordinate to princes, even in the districts of their respective governments, at the same time that the princes are subordinate to the pope.

POSTSCRIPT. 194

With respect to the subordination of princes to the pope, he is indeed sufficiently explicit in what follows.

"The Pope, by the authority of the power received from the Son of God, is the head of
Christians, the pastor of the people, the father
of King's, and God's vicegerent upon earth," p.
138. And again; "It has always been judged
necessary that there should be between princes,
not so much an impartial chief, as an universal
stather of the whole family of Jesus Christ, who
might decide their differences, moderate, by his
counsels, the violence of those who have engaged in unjust enterprizes, and unite their power
and arms for guarding the faith, from the at-

" tempts of its natural enemies," p. 139.

Will the Apologist then grant, that beretical princes, withdrawing themselves and their people from the obedience of the mother and mistress of all other churches, and of the universal father of the whole samily of Jesus Christ, are not the natural enemies of the faith? It is a question of consequence to his Apology. For if he does not make this concession, it will unavoidably follow, that all Catholics, and English and Irish Catholics among others, hold it a principle part of the Pope's office to unite the power and arms of Catholic princes against the natural enemies of the faith, in Great Britain and Ireland as well as elsewhere.

It is of very little fignificance, to Protestant princes, and Protestant frates, that this Apologist pretends to limit the Pope's interference among Catholic princes to a mere mediatorship, with respect to their difference one among another. Their obedience to the Pope requires, that they should N 2

unite their power and arms against the rebellious sons of this common father of King's, wherever they are found. And however gracious his Holiness may be to those who will accept of his mediation, there is plainly nothing, according to this Apologist, for those who will not, but utter extermination.

Where then is the difference between this doctrine of this Catholic Apologist and that Santiarellus, who, as the Apologist informs us, taught that " the Popes cannot only excommunicate Prin-" ces, but also deprive them of their kingdoms, " abiolve their subjects from their allegiance, and " afterwards give their estates to whom he thinks or proper, either for herefy, apostaly, or for not " having defended the church?" p. 142. -In what does this doctrine differ from that of the Apologist, for if the Pope has authority to unite the power and arms of Catholic princes against the natural enemies of the church, and if apostate and heretical princes are such enemies, nothing can be more abfurd than to suppose the Pope has not the authority, to use his own proper and peculiar power and arms for the fame facred purpose?

The Apologist would persuade us that this doctrine was thought strange when broached by Sanctarellus, even at the same time that he carries us as far back as Gregory VII (the samous rildebrand) for the original of it, who lived near 600 years before Sanctarellus. Would he pretend that any of the Popes after Gregory, renounced this doctrine,

or

b To the fact may be called a Roman Catholic writer cited

POSTSCRIPT. 197 or that Sanctarellus was the only writer who advanced it in all that interval between Gregory VII and Lewis XIII?

N 3

It

by Du Pless in his Mysterium Iniquitatis, p. 245 Ed 1611.

"Et hæc ab Hildebrando, qui primus imperium pontificium condidit, quod successores per quadringentas quinquaginta continenter annos, invito mundo, invitis Imperatoribus, adeo auxêre, ut inseros superos in servirutem redegerint atque sub jugum miserint, atque cuncta sulmine perterritent,

- " Quo bruta tellus, & vaga flumina, " Quo Styx & invisi horrida Tænari
 - " Sedes, Arlantæusque finis

" Concutitur. Mutat ima summis."

With respect to the Pope's pretentions to the power of depoling Princes, we have to ftrong an instance of the Holy Sees inflexibility upon that point, in the State Papers collected by Edward Earl of Clarendon, lately published, that I need not make any excute to the Protestant reader for lengthening this note with fo emious and remarkable a testimony. In the year 1633, Father Leander, a Benedictine Line, obtained leave of King Charles I. by the mean of Secretary Windebank, and under pretence of vifiting his reasions, to come over from Tongay to England. See p. 72. of thele State Papers When he was got hither, he was in no land in go back, and, as it should feem, was permitted to flay, upon the pretence of being uleful to the government in procuring the English Romaniits to take the oath of allegiance and supremacy to the King. The Pope had iffued a bull prohibiting the Catholic subjects of England to take that eath. Leander tet on foot a correspondence with some persons of power at Rome, particularly the Cardinals Barberini and Bentivoglio, wherein he used divers arguments to flew the expedience of suspending the execution of the abovementioned bull, and, among the reft, the probability of bringing over the whole kingdom of England to the Catholic faith by fuch a measure. He even set himself to defend the oath, and to flew, by certain qualifications of the terms in which it was expressed, that it was not incompatible with the obedience due from a good Catholic to the Hely See. Among his correspon-

198 POSTSCRIPT.

It is indeed probable enough that Sanctarellus might be put upon writing this book by the Spaniards,

dents at Rome, was one Richard Reade, Procurator of the Congregation of the Lenedictines in England, who went by the name of John Wilfrid Selbye. See p. 169. This Wilfrid, or Wilford, as he calls himself, had the care of Leander's correspondence at Rome, and, as appears, informed him, from time to time, how his intelligence and his proposals were received and relished by the Apostolic Government, which it seems was so very indifferently, that Wilford advised him, for his own sake, to meddle no more with the controversy about the oath, and having given Leander his reasons why the oath as enjoined by the English Government would not pass at Rome, he says: " I fear, therefore, " fome other form of oath must be thought upon, whereby his " Majesty may abundantly, and superabundantly, if any thing " can superabound in this kind, be secured of his subjects h-" delity, and yet there be no entrenching upon fubjects con-" science, nor the authority of this seat, which, burning stood for her right so many ages, in the cause of deposing Princes, will be " very unwilling to permit the oath as the words lie. although of gloffed with another intention. Look over the oath, which " usually is exhibited to the Catholics in Ireland; examine other " forms of oaths in Catholic countries, add to them, augment them, and endeavour to form them in that kind, and in those words, which may secure and content his Majetty, as is most " just and reasonable to be done; yet take beed of meddling with deponibility of Princes, for that article will never pass bere." State Papers, &c, p. 272. I cannot help observing that our Apologist seems to be playing the same game with this Father Leander, and probably the checks he mentions, in his justificative piece (lately subjoined to the Apology), to have received from some of his own communion, might be in terms like these of Father Wilford. The Apologist presends that the doctrine of Sanctarellus appeared strange in France; which however was by no means the case; for, besides the remains of the leaguers who espoused it, it was not without the approbation of some learned men, who had no connexion with that infamous faction. Gabriel Naude, for example, Library-keeper to Cardinal Mazarine, charactefizes Gregory VII. in the following respectful terms. " He " was one of the greatest pillars of the church, and, to speak of " him fincerely and impartially, he was the first who put her in " possession of her franchises, and who freed the Sovereign PonSpaniards, to mortify the French King and his ministers; but still we find it was approved and authorized by the Roman Pontif, and that the French alone, for political reasons, opposed and condemned the doctrine of it. The Apologist would have us believe that he approves of the methods taken by the French to suppress this doctrine, tho' indeed he hath no where said so in express terms. But all this is but mere grimace, for there is not one stept taken by the French in contesting this unlimited power of the Pope, as represented by this Apologist, which he hath not reprobated in some passage or other of this very Apology.

[&]quot; tiffs from the flavery of the Emperors." Bayle, GREGORY VII. Rem [1.] And indeed, whatever might be thought of the doctrine of Gregory or Sanctarellus upon political emergencies by particular Catholic Princes, it always was uniformly the doctrine of the Holy See, and of the capital writers in behalf of the church, such as Bellarmine and Baronius, the latter of whom, as we shall see presently, derived this superiority of the Popes above Kings and Emperors from a much remoter fource than Gregory VII. In the year 1573, Gregory's body was searched for in St. Matthew's Church at Salerno, and faid to be found, and the following Epitaph inscribed upon a monument erected to his memory by Marc Antony Colonna, Archbishop of that See. " GREGORIO VII. Soanensi, Pont. Opt. Max. Ecclesiasticæ " Libertatis vindici acerrimo, affertori constantissimo, qui, dum " Romani Pontificis auctoritatem adversus Henrici perfidiam " strenue tuetur, Salerni sanctæ decubuit, Anno Dom. 1085.
" 8 Kal. Junii." It is now near 200 years since this honour was done to Gregory VII. for his strenuous afferting the Papal power against the Emperor Henry IV; and if in all that time the Apologist cannot find one instance where the Popes have difclaimed it, he will bring his British and Irish Catholics into a disagreeable alternative, viz. either of espousing Sanctarellus's doctrine, or of deferting the Holy See in its claim of a Fathership over Kings. See Bayle, ubi supra, Rem. [2].

" The Parifian faculty of divinity, he informs us, " in a full attembly, condemned the doctrine of " Sanflarellus, though it was favoured by some of "the old League," p. 143. He might, and he ought to have added, "and by the whole body of " Jesuits." What the Apologist thought of this condemnation, we shall see when we come to the reasons they gave for it.

In the mean time we go on with him. -

" And not to leave the king's power inactive on fo " important an occasion, the parliament, which " was then the depository of it, cited the principal " fathers of the Jesuits to appear before them, and " obliged them to fign a declaration, whereby they " condemned it, and to procure a like declaration " to be subscribed by all the provincials and rec-" tors, and by fix elders of each of their cole leges in France; and the parliament after-" wards ordered the book to be burnt by the " hands of the common executioner, with a pro-" hibition to all bookfellers to feil any of the like

" quality." Ibid.

The parliament of France decided in this instance, against the Pope, a point of his Holines's paftoral prerogative, of no imall importance, a point, which, as appears by the licenfing Sanctarelins's book at Rome, the Pope was by no means disposed to give up. The Parliament did more; they obliged a whole body of ecclefiaftics, the Pope's own creatures, to condemn the doctrine afferting this prerogative, by a formal subscription, and doomed the book that contained it to the flames.

Does the Apologist think they did right in taking this p oceis upon them? He hath not told us hether he does or no; but we may learn puhaps a little more of his mind by confidering what he says of the doings of another parliament on a similar occasion.

Speaking of the title conferred upon King Henry VIII, of supreme head of the church under Christ, he says, " Let us touch upon the nature " of the power that invested the King with this " high-founding prerogative, this blasphemous " jurisdiction, as Calvin calls it, and dissolved his " marriage with Catherine of Arragon. " was the power that was able to effect these " mighty matters? it was nothing more than the " parliament of England; an affembly of men " that acknowledge themselves fallible; for if " they did not they would not fo often have ref-" cinded their own acts. An affembly of men, in-" tirely calculated for judging and deciding in " civil matters, and for enacting laws for the " purposes of good government, according to the " feveral contingencies of temporal concerns. But " these same men could venture out of their lati-" tude, and what the consciences of all the rest " of Christendom abhorred as unjustifiable, they, "with a composure of conscience, and acquies-" cence of mind in well-doing, brought to pass."

Every word of which Sanctarellus might with equal justice and propriety have applied to the Parliament of Paris. "An assembly of men calculated intirely for civil matters — fallible
fallible often rescinding their own acts —

[&]quot; confined by the nature of their powers, to tem-

[&]quot; poral matters — going out of their lati-

" tude to decide what the universal Father of "Christians might or might not do in cases " of Herefy and Apostasy - and bringing to pals what, in the days of Henry the " Eighth, the consciences of all good Catholics " would undoubtedly have abhorred as unjufti-

" fiable."

Will the Apologist say, that the two cases differ — that for a Parliament to exempt their Prince from papal censures in cases of Herely or Apostasy, and to confer upon him the title and privileges of the supreme head of the church, are two things, that, in point of presumption, will admit of no comparison?

I ask his pardon; the difference between the two cases, if he has given us a true account of the Frenchman's reasons for their decisions, is imper-

ceptible.

" The French argued against Sanctarellus," fays he, " in support of their Kings, that they were " appointed by the hand of God, who had made " use of their swords [the people's swords,] for " placing the crown on their head, and God had " not laid down apostaly, herefy, or any other " crime, as a condition to make void their autho-" rity, it being his will to make equally the good " and bad to reign; consequently they could not " be deposed but by God himself, nor forfeit their

" authority by any crime." P. 145.

But, if this be true, Kings are totally a distinct power from Popes; Popes can have no more authority over Kings, than Kings have over Popes. The almighty and immediate power of God intervenes in both cases to exclude all other authority; according to the Frenchmen, the Pope could have nothing to do with their King, even though he were were an heretic or an apostate, and the Parliament of France in thus judging, and upon these principles, did indeed neither less nor more in effect than the Parliament of England did in declaring Henry the eighth to be the supreme head of the church under Christ. For a King of France, being an heretic, was authorized by this determination to set up an heretical church in his own kingdom, and to become the supreme head of it, as much as Henry VIII of England, and with as little regard to the

Pope.

For let us ask, how did the Pope endeavour to help himself, on this revolt of the King and Parliament of England? " He thundered a most terri-" ble sentence of deposition against the King, and " defigned to commit the execution of it to the " Emperor." Burnet, Hift. Reform. Vol. I. p. 211. In other words, he put in practice the doctrine of the Apologist; he endeavoured to unite the arms of certain Catholic powers to defend the faith against a natural enemy of it. But the Parliament of France were of opinion that his Holiness overshot himself in this business, and had no authority to pass any such sentence. The Apologist may rity to pass any such sentence. now chuse whether he will acquit or condemn the French Parliament, for it is now clear that the Parliament of England must be acquitted or condemned along with it c.

It

variations of the Protestant Churches (at the end of his Letter to Mr. Thevenot, containing a censure of Mr. Le Grand's History of King Henry the Eighth's Divorce) p. 33, 34; where the Bishop observes, that "The French courts of Parliament are the law resort even in spiritual matters; and receive all appeals under the pretence of some abuse in the sentence, so that the "whole

204 POSTSCRIPT.

It is a vexatious case upon the Apologist, I own; but before we part I will shew him something still more wonderful, namely, that a King and Parliament of England could not only make a church, but upon occasion a Pope, and that in spite of the Cardinal-Electors.

But, notwithstanding his fair words, let no man imagine that he thinks as the French Parliament did. Shall he reverse his own system du fond en comble? If the French Divinity is good, what must become of the Apologist's Father of Kings, and God's Vice-gerent on earth? A strange father surely, who has no authority to disinherit a rebellious and apostate son; and a strange vicegerent of God, who has no power or authority to punish apostasy, the most grievous crime that can be committed against God! For as the case is stated by the Sorbonniss, the Pope's spiritual power is excluded from it equally with his temporal. Whereas our Apologist is not for having the Pope made so contemptible.

"Besides, say the Frenchmen, if King's could be deposed by the Popes, it would follow, that the Popes are their superiors in temporals, such deposition being an act of superior jurisdiction."

Apology, p. 145.

[&]quot;whole exercise of the episcopal power is subject to the secular court. And, (says his Lordship) whatsoever they may talk of their union with the Holy See, even in this they are also subject to the secular court, since no Bull or Breve can be executed in France without an approbation from thence. And yet these are the men that complain of the King's Supremacy among us, tho there is nothing clearer than that this servitude lies much heavier on them than it does on us." Which the Bishop goes on to show. This tract was published in 1689.

This however does plainly follow from our Apologist's doctrine. " If the Pope," fays he, " was " made contemptible by the little respect Princes " might bear him, what opinion should they have " of his being able to effect those purposes?" [Namely, the purposes, among others, of uniting the power and arms of Catholic Princes for guarding the faith from the attempts of its natural enemies.] " And what authority could he pretend to " for appealing their differences? They would " force bim to keep within the bounds of his spiri-" tual power, without interfering with their tem-" poral interests; and yet the fire of war would be " kindled among them with fuch heat, as to de-" ftroy themselves and their states in flames." P. 139.

Why, ay; to be fure the Popes have extinguished many more of these stant they have kindled. But how should the Popes be able to do either without exercising a temporal power superior to the power of those Princes, with whose interests they interfere? Particularly how, without such superior temporal power, should they be able to unite the power and arms of Catholic Princes against the natural enemies of the faith, Heretics and Apostates? The doctrine therefore of the Frenchmen must be wrong, in the opinion of the Apologist, and his producing it with an implied approbation is but a copy of his countenance.

The reader will be pleased to observe, that, should the Apologist be called to account for these opposite doctrines, he hath managed the matter so as to come off by distinguishing between the French arguments against Sanctarellus, and his own in behalf of the Pope's superiority. And indeed,

206 POSTSCRIPT.

indeed, after the high-sounding attributes he hath given to the Pope, it would be ridiculous to suppose him in earnest, when he pretends to urge what follows in the Frenchmen's argument as the catholic sentiments of British and Irish Papists.

Let us, however, allow for a while, that the remaining part of the argument is his own, tho' ascribed to the Frenchmen, and upon that pre-

fumption examine to what it will amount.

"But the Popes are so far from being superior to them [Kings] in that point [temporals], that, on the contrary, the most renowned of antiquity have ingenuously declared, that they were inferior to them, and that their power was purely spiritual. There is ample testimony of this in a letter of Pope Gelasius to the Emperor Anamate stassius; Pelagius I. acknowledged the same, in a letter to Childebert King of France; and St. Gregory to the Emperor Mauritius, and in such express terms, that their intention cannot be doubtful." P. 146.

This picking three Popes out of three hundred, of which three, Gregory, the latest, died eleven hundred years ago, to witness their inferiority to temporal Princes, is pleasant enough. But let us

hear what they have to fay.

Gelasius, in the Epistle referred to, says nothing of superiority or inferiority. He only says that the Popes and Emperors acted in distinct provinces, and were mutually serviceable to each other, ut et modestia utriusque ordinis curaretur, ne extolleretur utroque suffultus d. The consequence of

d Gelasius de anathematis vinculo, apud Plessæum Myst. Iniq. p. 78.

which

which doctrine is, that the Pope is excluded from every degree of temporal power, in direct contradiction to the Apologist, who is not pleased with those who are for forcing bis Holiness to keep within the bounds of his spiritual power, p. 139. But this is not the worst; for Platina tells us, there were accounts extant, that this very Pope Gelasius excommunicated the Emperor Anastasius for being a favourer of Heretics, and particularly of Acacius. Of which Platina makes a precedent for other Popes to follow in similar cases. What security an Heretic Prince could have in the temporal inferiority of such a Pope, let the reader judge.

Pelagius, the next example, was in woful plight when he wrote to Childebert. He had, by attempting to force the Council of Constantinople upon the Italian Bishops, to please the Emperor Justinian, provoked those Bishops to revolt, and to withdraw from his obedience. On this occasion he applied to Narses the Emperor's General, then in Italy, to reduce the rebellious Bishops to order by force of arms; and, for his encouragement, decreed that "Heretics and Schismatics might be "punished by the secular powers, when they could not be cured by reason and argument suffer could not be cured by reason and argument suffer pelagius being thus at war with his neighbouring Bishops, he could not expect that much regard

e Sunt qui scribant Gelasium excommunicasse Anastasium imperatorem Constantinopolitanum, Zenonis successorem, quod hæreticis & Acacio faveret. Unde constat imperatorem errantem in side, & monitum, si non paruerit a Pontisce Romano excommunicari posse. Platina in Gelasio, 1 Edit. Colon. 1562 p. 61.

f Petagius—constituit ut hæretici & schasmatici coerceri etiam secularium manu possent, quando ad tanitatem rationibus non deducerentur. Platina, ut supra, p. 69.

should be shewn him by the French Bishops at a greater diftance, and who difliked the Council of Conftantinople as much as the Italians did. In this state of things the French Bishops held a Council at Paris, under the authority of their King Childebert, without taking the least notice of the Pope. What should poor Pelagius do in such a case? A Council assembled without any notice taken of the Pope, might be a bad precedent for the Holy See. On the other hand, to anathematize their proceedings, might disoblige Childebert, which was by no means convenient. He therefore made a virtue of necessity, and fent the Confession of his faith to the French Monarch, to shew his obedience, as he says, to Kings, to whom the Scriptures enjoined fubjection 8. Now if any thing can be made of this with respect to Papal inferiority, it certainly is that Popes are inferior to Kings in spiritual matters; and if Pelagius's doctrine was found, I do not fee wherein our English Parliament went wrong in conferring the supreme headship of the church under Christ upon Henry VIIIth.

The submission of Gregory to a Law of the Emperor Maurice, which intrenched no little on

g "Quanto nobis studio ac labore satagendum est, ut pro auferendo suspicionis scandalo obsequium consessionis nostræ Regibus ministremus, quibus nos etiam subditos esse sanctæ scripturæ præcipiunt."—How pinching must that distress have been
which could wring these words from a Pope? It should seem
indeed that some suspicions of his Holiness's Heterodoxy had
been entertained by the French Bishops. We have heard of a
civil Sovereign qualifying his submission to a Pope with, Non tibi,
sed Petro. Pelagius might have some such salvo in sending his
Consession to the French Council, Non vobis, sed Regi vestro.

the privileges of the church h, is well known, and has often been cited as binding to his successors by Protestant writers; in which it seems they are justified by the French Intagonists of Sanctarellus, and feemingly by our Apologist. But if we he to suppose Gregory to be in earnest in this instance, why not in those passages where he makes the title of Universal Bishop a mark of Antichrist? If this be true doctrine, what becomes of the Apologist's Universal Father of the whole family of Jesus Christ? p. 139. Be it not forgot, however, that Baronius will not allow that Gregory was guilty of either of these acts of humility; and boldly affirms that Gregory, in promulging the Emperor's law, made fome alterations in it, and thereby fhewed, it feems, that the Apostolic Power was superior to the Imperial '.

There is no occasion to proceed any farther with the Apologist on this head; and I have only gone through these last examples to shew his skill and sidelity as an Historian, and dare venture my credit that there is not one point of History in his whole book wherein he hath shewn more candor, honesty, or judgment, than in these instances k.

) After

h Ne quis miles, nondum expleta militia, Rationalis, aut administrationi publicæ implicitus, nisi rationibus prius redd tis, ad Ecclesiasticum munus admitteretur.

i Baronius utique, qui nihil non ad suum commodum vertit, ita promulgasse cavillatur, ut eam emendarit, in eoque ipso authoritatem apostolicam, Principis lege superiorem ostenderit. Plesseus, Myst. Iniq. p. 112.

That such a writer as the Apologist should avail himself of every sophistical art in turning historical incidents to the account of his cause, is not wonderful. It is a trade to which, in all probability, he hath been bred from his youth. But one cannot without concern observe any thing of the like fort in a writer

After all, he may, as I observed above, alledge, that he does not make himself answerable either for

whose superior rank, education, and pretensions to liberal sentiment should fet him above serving any cause by a partial reprefentation of facts; of which, it he was not well informed himfelf, he had better have left the whole subject to the management of interested Priests and Jesuits, than have soiled his respectable page with the dirty colourings which were only to be borrowed from them. A few citations from a late performance, intituled, Thoughts, Esfays, and Maxims, chiefly religious and political, the work of a gentleman of diffinction, will explain what I here al-" It is allowed by all the most fensible and candid " writers among the Roman Catholics, (fays this author) that the many abuses which have crept into the practice and wor-" fhip of the church, were PERHAPS occasioned IN PART by the " corruption of the churchmen, and their too great plenitude of or power." p 42. - And, for aught that we gain by this allowance, PERHAPS NOT, even IN PART. For by these restrictions it is left doubtful whether any of these many abuses were occasioned by the corruption of churchmen, or their too great plenitude of power. And if these abules did not arise from these causes, it might fairly be questioned whether there was any corruption or any excels of power among the churchmen at all? From how many writers of his own communion might this Gentleman have learned how little room there is for fuch falvos and limitations? The fingle Remonstrance of the Council of Pifa to the Emperor Maximilian, dated November 12, 1511, is sufficient to thew the state of the church, and the disposition of powerful churchmen, in those days. This was fix, and but fix years before Luther began his opposition to Indulgences. And concerning these the difingenuous Boffuet himself could fay, that " most of the preachers of that time treated of nothing but indul-" gences, pilgrimages, and alms to be bestowed upon the " Monks, nor spake of the Grace of Christ, as much as they " ought to have done." Hift, Var. Part I. B. v. fect. I. Nay. even this still more difingenuous Ajologist could prevail with himself to acknowledge, that " the abuses of indulgences were " then very great, and cried aloud for redrefs," p. 55. And fince these abuses took their rise from the plenitude of Papal Power, it feems to be going below the modesty and tenderness of a bigoted Friar, to qualify the manifest occasion of them with a PERHAPS

for the doctrine of the Sorbonne, or the proceedings of the French Parliament, and appeal to his O 2

The polite writer goes on: " But PERHAPS and IN PART. " then those who attempted to mend or correct them, either " through ignorance, pilde, or ambition, in establishing their " own opinions, were fo hurried away with their passions and " refentment, that they perpetrated murders, created civil wars, " and miferies among mankind for many years, and established " as many abuses, and allowed of as many evil practices in motals " as those they complained of, and pretended or attempted to " reform." Ibid. Surely this attrocious accusation should have been supported by some facts, fixing the guilt of these murders, civil wars, and mileries upon the first Reformers. Will the must yawning reader take the following instance for a full proof of all this complicated mischief? "Witness Germany, where one " of the Reformers, in order to obtain the protection of a fove-" reign prince, allowed him to have two wives at a time.". p. 43. This, it feems, is a favourite prejudice against the Reformation. among our English Catholics, and is, no doubt, understood to be the fum and fubstance of all wickedness; and our author's manner of introducing it puts me in mind of a ftory I have heard of a good Lady, who having furprised her husband in some fuspicious circumstances with her chamber-maid, threw up the window and called out Whores! rogues! thieves! murder! fire! An exclamation to which the byftanders would have no great reason to pay regard, if, as in the case of the church of Rome, it had been notorious that the good Lady herself had first given an example of the like infidelity. " Gregory II. being confulted whether it was agreeable to the faith of the Roman church. " that a man might take two wives, when the first was rendered " incapable of fulfilling the marriage-duty by a long malady, " decide!, that, according to the vigour of the Apollolic See, if " the bushand had not the gift of continence, he might take another " wife, provided he afforded the first a maintenance." See Mir. Basnage, Hist. de la Religion des Eglises Reformées, Tom. III. p 96. The Bishop of Meaux pretended that Gregory's meaning was that the first marriage should be dissolved, which was a different case from that of Luther's allowing the Landgrave of Heffe to have, in Mr. Howard's phrase, two wives at a time. To which Mr. Basnage replies, " What is it Mr. Bossuet would say ? Marriage " is a facrament which cannot be diffolved; and the Law of

own state of the case, which is totally inconsistent with them both. You will in vain ask him, "why

" Jesus Christ, which ordains that it should not be dissolved but " for the cause of adultery, is not regarded in the church of " Rome? But Gregory the Second did not diffolve the marriages " upon which he hath founded his decree. He decides that a " man may take a fecond wife, when the first is become infirm " and diseased. And the only clause of reserve in her favour " relates to her maintenance. The one case is perfectly like " the other; and, to confound those who may be disposed to " contest it, I will add in favour of the Landgrave of Heffe and " Luther, that the Landgrave's first wife, the daughter of the " Elector of Saxony, confented to the second marriage; reserving " to herfelf her rights [of dowry], and those of her children, because her infirmities would not permit her to fulfil the du-" ties of marriage, nor to correct the violent love which the " Elector had conceived for Margaret de Sales, and the impe-" tuofity of his temperament. The cases are exactly parallel. " But can any one imagine that the concubinage or, let us call " it, the adultery of a Prince Subverts the Reformation, seeing " that the late Mr. De Meaux hath borne with a double adultery " under his eyes for a great number of years, and perhaps bap-" tized, communicated, and married the children born of this " double adultery? He should have been ashamed and have " reflected upon his own personal history, before he had made " that of others, and defended it with so much vehemence." Basnage, u. s. p. 105. What advantage then do the Papists gain by this incident? They have been told an hundred times that the Protestants never thought themselves answerable for Luther's casuistry in any particular case, even though an hundred doctors among the first Reformers had joined him. If indeed Luther had taught the lawfulness of bigamy in general, and if from him the body of Protestants had taken that doctrine into their respective confessions, it had been incumbent upon them to have defended the allowance of Luther at all events. Instead of that, many Protestants remonstrated against any such dispensation, as contrary to the law of God, both at the time it was granted, and occasionally ever fince. But the Papists are obliged to abide by all the difpensations of the Pope and the church in matrimonial cases of all kinds. It is to no purpose for them to plead that fuch dispensations are against the law of God. The council of

" then did you give us this detail of French max" ims and politics, with an air of approbation?"

O 2

Trent hath denounced an anathema against all those who should dispute the power of the church to dispense, in cases of affinity, with the degrees of it forbidden in the law of God. Conc. Trid. Self. XXIV. can. iii. p. 874. And, what is most remarkable, the British and Irish Papists who object to Henry VIIIth's divorce from Catharine of Arragon, by an incompetent authority, are obliged to support the validity of the dispensation granted by Julius II. to Henry to marry his brother's widow, and must consequently approve the canon of Trent in its utmost extent. Lastly, whoever it was that informed Mr. Howard, that Luther gave this dispensation to the ! andgrave of Heffe, that he [Luther] might obtain the Landgrave's protection, certainly imposed upon him. Luther had enjoyed the protection of the Landgrave for fourteen or fifteen years before this event. And it may be truly taid that Luther, in granting allowance to this evil practice, ran the risque of losing the protection of all the rest of the Protestant Princes of Germany, who certainly disapproved the second marriage. And, as this affair turned out, the Landgrave actually wanted Luther's protection to divert the storm which was likely to fall upon him for this irregular conduct. For the Landgrave, fearing to be called to account by the Emperor and the States of Germany for this offensive step, treated with the Elector of Saxony and the other Parties to the Smalcaldic League, to fland by him in such exigence, and even wrote to Luther and Melancihon for advice how to manage in this critical juncture. See Seckendorff, Hist. The paragraph this respectable Lutb. lib. 111. p. 277-281. author hath thrown out to disparage the Protestant Reformation, is thus closed: " Examples of equal immoral tendency, vari-" gated and adapted according to the inclinations of the people " they had to deal with, might be found in France, Holland, and " England." P. 43. Nothing can be more prudent in a Roman Catholic writer than to give these loose and general hints, without descending to particulars. These variegations and adaptions are so numerous and remarkable in his own church, that it would by no means be convenient for him to have the comparifon entered upon in a circumstantial detail of examples. variegations of the Bishop of Meaux alone, in his famous Expofition, were so notorious, that they gave great disgust to the members of his own communion, even to the Doctors of the Sorbonne_

It is hardly time for him yet, whatever it may be hereafter, to laugh in your face, and tell you he only meant to throw a little dust in your eyes, till an opportunity could be met with to shew you the difference.

II. The Catholic principle of Intolerance comes next under our confideration. And there can be

Sorbonne, which obliged him to suppress the first impression of it till the exceptionable paffages were altered. Nor would it have passed uncensured at Rome, but for the boasts of the many converts made by it in France. On which tole confideration it obtained the approbation of the Cardinals Capifucchi and Bona, both of whom had published doctrines contradictory to some in the Bishop of Meaux's Exposition. See Bayle's Dict Capisucchi Ray-MOND, Rem. [A]; and Nouvelles de Repub. des. Lettres, Janvier, 1685, p. 15. but above all the Preface to Wake's Exposition of the Doctrine of the Church of England, in answer to it. Had the respectable Essayist read the article PIENNE in Bayle's Dictionary, he would, I am persuaded, have spared his reflection upon Luther and the Landgrave of Helle. Mr. Bayle has been thought, in moderating between the Protestants and the Papists, to have carried his candor in favour of the latter oftentimes to excess. But on this last mentioned occasion he finds himself obliged to fay, " Nothing appeared shameful to Paul IV, pro-" vided he might find pretences not to annul the marriage of " Mr. Montmorancy." Rem [D] at the end. But this Gentleman seems to have taken the Bishop of Meaux's word in the gross both for his account of the variations among the Protestants, and the folidity of the argument he grounds upon it. Whereas nothing could be more ridiculous and impertinent than the Bishop's ealoning, while so many variations in the system of Popery were upon record, and particularly so many innovations since the church of Rome pretended to infallibility. See Basnage, Hist. de la Rel. des Eglises Reformées, Tom. V. Edit. 12mo. 1721. If this Gentleman, by his examples, can clear the church of Rome from this manifest occasion of recrimination, he will then give his readers a good reason why he refers them to France, Holland, and England, for examples of immoral tendency, rather than to the kingdoms of Fez and Morocco, or to the country of the Hottentots about the Cape of Good Hope.

very little doubt of the Apologist's entire affection to it, when it is confidered from what a venerable original he derives it, even from the fews, for whose conduct towards Christ and his Apostles, he very handfomely apologizes, by telling us, that the Christian doctrines " were novel notions to the " Jews - they were fully perfunded it was " incumbent upon them to oppose all innovations; " and it must be allowed, under such a deception " of appearances, that there was forne shadow of " reason to plead for their exerting themselves in " the way they did. This therefore," fays he, " is all that can be faid to extenuate the crime of

" perfecution in the carnal Jews."

All that can be fuid! what can the man mean? Is it possible he should not think this sufficient, not only to extenuate but even to justify this intolerance of the Jewish church, when we find him concluding his apology for it in these justificative words: " For as no interior conviction wrought to " prevalently as to make them judge that their "Law ought to be abrogated, we cannot be " much furprized to find them fo tenacious of " every thing belonging to it, and so vigilant in " guarding against whatever might be hurtful to

" its prefervation." April. p. 4.

The plain English of which is, that the Jews having no interior convision that they were in the wrong, all that they did against Christ and his Apostles was very justifiable; nobody can be furprized at it, because it proceeded from an interior conviction that they were in the right. The confequence of which is, that every church, which is infallibly in the right, ought to suppress and perfecute all who oppose her, as the Jews did by Christ and his Apostles. It is to no purpose to object, 0 4

object, that Christ and his Apostles alledged the authority of the Scriptures for their doctrines, that the Jews had these in their hands, and ought to have examined them: all this wrought no interior conviction; and, while that was the case, we cannot be much surprized, according to our Apologist, that they did what they did to Christ and his Apostles, as that was only a necessary vigilance in guarding against whatever might be huntful to the preservation of their Law. They had an interior conviction that they were in the right, and that was sufficient.

And upon this ground he builds the intolerance of the Romish church; for his whole apology turns upon the supposition that the church of Rome has ever been in the right since the times of the Apostles; that she alone had had the power of interpreting Scripture, and defining points of faith, and alone hath just authority for this purpose. The consequence is, that every one who contradicts her is an heretic, and every one who separates from her a schismatic. And, having thus begged his premisses, he concludes, p. 41, that nothing can justify schism, nothing can palliate, much less excuse, the offence of shaking off just authority.

Hence he infers the rectitude of establishing the Inquisition for the suppression of the Albigenses; and he thinks, that, taking it for granted that the Albigenses were what he represents them to be, the Protestants even of these days must agree that the Inquisition was a very proper method of dealing with them, " and a necessary and a justifiable

" measure," p. 20.

I will not pretend to say what may be the sentiments of the Protestant company this Apologist anay keep, nor will I presume to contradict the inferences inferences he may draw from propolitions that are to be found in books of no long standing, written by authors who call themselves Protestants. every Christian society there will be mistaken and wrong-headed men, not fufficiently instructed in the principles of their religion. In every Protestant church or society since the Reformation there have been men violently prejudiced in fayour of their respective establishments, and sufficiently hot and eager in defence of them, to defire to diffress their Fellow-Protestants who do not think as they do. These, however, are spots and blemishes in whatever Protestant church they are found; and, in respect of our own constitution. betray a spirit, which both the lenity of our civil government and the original principles of the Protestant church of England do most expressly condemn.

But this I will say, that they must be strange Protestants indeed, who, taking this Apologist's account of the Albigenses all together, will venture to agree with him that the institution of the Inquisition, for their correction and punishment, was

a necessary and justifiable measure.

For he is obliged to acknowledge, p. 18, that there were among the Albigenses " men who led " an austere and regular life, declaring an utter " aversion against lies and swearing." Did the Inquisition make any difference between these and others of looser moral principles? By a means. He has not the affurance to affert it. Heresy and Schism then were the only crimes of which, with respect to these good men, the Inquisition took cognisance. And upon this sooting the Inquisi-

The Apologist labours hard to fix the Heresy of the Manicheans upon the Albigenses, upon the credit of such writers as tion

at its first institution. And if there are any Protestants so called who think the Inquisition a justifiable measure in this view, it is but justice to the rest that he should name them, on the peril, if he does not, of being held a slanderous calumniator.

Boffuct, Sanders, Baronius, and Spondanus, whom, in his justificatime piece, he dignifies with the title of the best writers, tho' some of the really best writers of his own communion have been in many inflances ashamed of them. The truth is, the Apologist hath neither done himself nor his readers common justice in this representation. He could hardly be ignorant that the celebrated Basnage hath fully confuted the Bishop of Meaux upon this head of accusation, by the testimony of writers of the beit authority among the Roman Catholics themselves; namely, Du Tillet, De Serres, Vignier, and Guillame de Puy-Laurens. Basnage obferves, that the Bishop of Meaux takes care not to make the least mention of this last writer, " fearing that all his labour should be " loft, and all the vast collection he had made from so many " authors, with a view to fuffocate us with their number, thould " become nfeless, if he had intimated by the least citation that " there was such an author in being" [as Guillame de Peu-Laurens] Hift. De la Rel. des Egl. Reform. vol. I. p. 2:9. In another place, Ivir Pasnage observes, that " a Monk, named " Pierre des Valles de Cerney, is the principal author upon whole " authority Mr. De Meaus: imputes Manicheifm to the Albigenfes, " who nevertheless is convicted of falsehood by the most credible " historians," p. 247. of which indeed Mr. Busnage brings abundant proof in the ninth and tenth chapters of this first volume. In one word, it appears, by the testimony of Du Tillet and De Serres, that the tenets of the Alligenfes were those and those only of the first Reformers. " The reasons of their separation from " the church, [ays De Serres, from an authentic record] per-" feetly resembled those which were revived by Wickliffe and " Luther. For they would not receive the authority of the Pope, " not acknowledge him for universal Bishop. They rejected " images, purgatory, the merit of works, inaulgences, pilgrimages, vows, the celibate of priefts, the invocation of faints, " and trafficking in things confecrated" And for the suppression of these and the like herefies, respecting the doctrines and the authority of the See of Rome, and fuch only, was the Inquisition instituted. After

After this, who will pay the least regard to his canting pretentions to the moderation and charitable for bearance of the church of Rome? If nothing can justify schism, nothing ought to screen or protect schilmatics from i quisitorial punishment; and the church of Rome having no interior conviction that she is in the wrong, or, in other words, having interior conviction that the is infallible, it is impossible she should ever esteem herself to be mistaken in the proper objects of her punishments, which must therefore be all whom she judges to be Heretics or Schismatics; for both come under the same predicament. Heretics, refusing to be determined by the doctrinal decisions of the church, shake off just authority, equally with Schismatics, and are equally urjustifiable and inexcuseable.

But here the respectable author of Thoughts, Estays, and Maxims, &c. presents us with a most remarkable variegation. The Apologist, as we have feen, grounds the inquifitorial right of perfecuting on the infallibility of the church. " fays Mr. H. perfecution in a Roman Catholic " must be wrong, BECAUSE he afferts his church " to be infallible, therefore all force or perfecu-" tion to support it by undue influence or interest " is superfluous, and tends only to compel men to " profess what they do not believe. It is not easy to comprehend what the Gentleman means. He could hardly intend to banter his own church on her groundless pretension to infallibility. And yet his argument may feem to have this tendency. " Persecution and force in an infallible church is " fuperfluous," fays he. Why fo? The proper answer is, " because such a church is and must " be fufficiently supported by its own infallibility, " and

44 and cannot be shaken or hurt by heretics or gainfayers of any kind. It must stand and flou-" rish at all events. This indeed is talking as would become a church conscious that her claim to infallibility was incontestable, and evident to the whole world. But this Gentleman must be very imperfectly instructed in the principles of his own church, if he really thinks that the proceeds upon this prefumption. The Apologist would have The idea of an infallible informed him better. church, according to him, implies not only an authority, but a duty to punish schismatics, and those who offend by shaking off her just authority. These offences cannot be justified, palliated, or excused; and the infallible church which should overlook them must be highly blameable for suffering these enormous crimes to come off with impunity; inafmuch as, being infallible, it is impossible she should mistake either in the guilt of the objects, or the degree of punishment due to that guilt.

So that what the respectable writer of the Thoughts, Esays, &c. adds, viz. that "force and persecution tend only to make men prosess what they do not believe,—to become hypocrites, facrilegious," &c. is of no kind of weight against the Apologist's state of the case. For a man had better, according to the Apologist, be an hypocrite, a sacrilegious partaker of holy rites, or in short any thing whatever, than a schismatic. An hypocrite, or a sacrilegious person, bad as he may be, while he communicates with the church, is no schismatic,—does not shake off the church's just authority; and, for ought that appears in the Apology, while this is the case, the hypocrify, or

the facrilege of the conformist may be palliated,

excused, or even justified.

Thus these Gentlemen, by dodging backwards and forwards, think fit to amuse us into a temporary persuasion, that intolerance is not the principle of their church; and, to make this pass the more smoothly, they have affected to appeal to the practice of Protestant churches. Where there are matters of fact to justify this appeal, it gives us concern; but we defire they will take notice that they are but matters of fast;—practices which are no otherwise to be justified but upon Popish principles, which all intelligent, sincere, and consistent Protestants utterly detest and abhor.

But, in vain is the net spread in the sight of any bird, especially of a bird which hath often escaped the snare of the sowler. It is a contemptible affectation in such writers as the Apologist, to talk of charity and sorbearance in the church of Rome, at the same time that he hath shewn, by exposing her soundations, that, in all probability, unlimited charity and sorbearance, with the free and searless exertion of the reasoning faculty, enlightened by Scripture-evidence, would not have lest her one subject, such only excepted as by her crast

bave bad their wealth.

However, it must be owned, these Gentlemen write after a copy of sufficient dignity to keep them in countenance. The following citation will explain my meaning, and may serve for a seasonable caution to Britis Protestants, not to pay the least regard to these thains of leasty and sorbearance from writers of this complexion.

"The maxim that he [King James !!] fet up, "and about which he entertained all about him, "was the great happiness of an universal tolera-

" tion.

tion. On this the King used to enlarge in a ' great variety of topics. He said, nothing was " more reasonable, more Christian, and more poli-" tic; and be reflected much on the church of Eng-" land for the severities with which the Dissenters " had been treated. This, how true or just soever " it might be, yet was strange doctrine in the " mouth of a PROFESSED PAPIST, and of a Prince " on whose account and by whose direction the " church-party had been indeed but too obsequi-" oully pushed on to that rigour." Bishop Burnet's Hift, of his Own Times, fol. vol. I. p. 672.

If it should be asked, how King James II, or any other Papist, could reconcile these professions to doctrines and practices fo directly contrary to them, the same worthy Prelate hath taught us,

in another work, how to account for this.

" The extirpation of beretics, and the breach " of faith to them, have been decreed by two of " their general councils, and by a tradition of fe-" veral ages: - Now these opinions, as they have " never been renounced by the body of that " church, fo indeed they cannot be, unless they " renounce their infallibility, which is their basis, " at the same time. Therefore, tho' a Prince of " that communion may very fincerely refolve to " maintain liberty of conscience, and to keep his " word, yet the blind subjection, into which he is " brought by his religion, to his church, must " force him to break thro' all that, as foon as the " doctrine of his church is opened to him, and that " absolution is denied him, or higher threatenings " are made him, if he continues firm to his mer-" ciful inclinations." Reflections on a pampblet entitled, Parliamentum Pacificum, in the Ild vol. of Bishop Burnet's Tracts, 4to, p. 68. Thus Thus we may argue with respect to this Apologist. Suppose him to be an honest, sincere, and merciful man in himself, and really to mean what he professes in savour of toleration, yet he may not have had all the doctrines of his church opened to him; and his justificative piece seems to afford a presumption that he must retract some things in his Apology in order to intitle himself to absolution. So that, as I said, supposing him to be sincere in his own professions, opinions, and dispositions in savour of religious liberty, these can be nothing to us, unless his church would confirm them by a solemn act, repealing her former desistant for anythery to them

cisions, so contrary to them.

I am forry, however, to observe that the Apologist hath left us too much room to question his fincerity. The honourable author of Thoughts, &c. appears, by forne little tokens, not to have had the doctrines of his church sufficiently opened to him, as in the instance of his bringing the infallibility of the church of Rome, as an argument why she should not persecute; and therefore his opinion of the iniquity of persecution may proceed from the disposition of his own generous mind, and the light in which he hath hitherto confidered these things, abstracted from the intervention of the church. Not so the Apologist, who plainly appears to be aware of all the confequences of admitting the infallibility of the church of Rome: to argue all along upon the supposition, or rather the assurance, that it is real and indisputable; and in consequence of that, amidst all his cant about charity and forbearance, laying down principles uterly inconfistent with all possibility of an infaltible church's admitting of Toleration.

Having

Having these testimonies even from the Roman Catholics of the present day, that these pernicious principles, of a foreign jurisdiction, superior to that of the civil government of Great Britain, and of the absolute inconsistency of all Toleration with the authority of an infallible Church, are still among the leading maxims of that sect, the Legislature of Great Britain, the Patrons and Protectors of our Protestant settlement civil and religious, are sully justified in excluding Papists from all those privileges to which those citizens are intitled, who acknowledge the supremacy of the civil powers in all the departments of Government, and on that foundation pledge their faith and allegiance to them.

But when to these pernicious persuasions of the Papists we add, in the last place, their indefatigable zeal and industry in making proselytes to their church; that is, in other words, in seducing his Majesty's subjects from their allegiance; the justification of our civil governors, in providing penal laws against these disturbers of public peace and order, is complete and irrefragable.

III. And this is the third particular which remains to be explained, and in which we shall still take this Apologist for our interpreter; and begin with citing a passage from him, not a little remarkable in a writer in his circumstances.

"There is," fays he, "ftill another objection which deserves examination. It may be alledged that, if a toleration was granted to Catholics,

" it would be a means to spirit up their clergy to make converts; whereas, as things now stand,

" being overawed by penal laws, they are afraid to engage in such pursuits." To which the Apologist makes the following curious answer:

"I verily

"I verily believe that more conversions are made by Catholics under persecution, than there would

be if they were exempted from that apprehen-

" fion. Suffered to live unpersecuted, they would be more moderate in attempts of this kind;

"they would be careful not to give any umbrage

" to the Government: but persecuted, they must maturally be supposed to exert themselves in

" strengthening their party, in collecting force from

" the rigour of opposition, and in manning their

" hearts with fortitude, notwithstanding the pro" spect of punishment." Apology, p. 117, 118.

By Catholics persecuted, the Apologist means. Catholics under the restraint of penal laws; that is to fay, Catholics in the fame circumstances with the Catholics in Great Britain and Ireland, Catholics, he admits, make converts, and he is not fly in telling us on what account; namely, to frengthen their party-to collect force-and to man their hearts with fortitude. Would you know to what end? This he likewise discovers, at page 106. "For tho' at present they have no suffi-" cient force to think of making opposition -" yet the advice is always good, and may be fup-" ported from several testimonics of History, that " no enemy whatever ought to be held in a con-" temptible light, as some time or other he may " find an opportunity to retaliate, and obey the " dictates of REVENGE "."

P

Revenge!

[&]quot;This faucy menace goes on thus: "The British and Irish "Catholics, the helpless in themselves, claim a fraternity with many other very respectable bodies of men throughout Europe, "who doubtless would resent their farther ill treatment." It has often been said, that the Papiels of Great Britain and Ireland have been and still are in a perpetual league and amity with the Roman

Revenge! for what, and upon whom? Revenge taken upon our gracious Sovereign and the members and ministers of his government, for suffering a dirty, malicious, and abusive scribbler to insult and menace them in this audacious manner with impunity? Can this be the language of men under persecution? Can they, who dare thus to brave the Government under whose protection they live, be under any necessity to make converts to man their hearts with fortitude? Can this be the language of men under any kind of diftress, or under any the least degree of apprehension of it? For my part, I verily think the most respectable among the Roman Catholics must be ashamed of their Apologift in this instance at least; nor should I be at all furprized to hear, that these passages were among the first of those which laid the Apologist under the necessity of writing his Justificative Piece.

Let us now look back to the alternative. "Suf"fered to live unpersecuted, they would be more
"moderate in attempts of this kind, they would
"be careful not to give any umbrage to the Go"vernment. So then the Catholics act in this
affair of proselyting entirely upon political consi-

Roman Catholic powers in different parts of Europe, even when they were at war with us. But none of them ever had the front, before this Apologist, to avow this claim of fraternity in all circumstances. It has, by the prudent part of them, been industriously concealed, and even denied, in times of war. But now we are informed that this resentment of these foreign fraternities remains, even at those times when no war subsists between them and the British state. May not this point at some present expectations the Apologist may have of rouzing these fraternities to take a part in the revenge he hath in prospect, especially if the hely Father should lend his hand "to unite their power and "arms, to guard the faith, from the attempts of its natural enemies?"

derations,

derations. And yet is not this the very thing which in another place he most strenuously denies? " Protestants," says he, " think it very heinous that " Catholics should say, there is no saving faith but " their own, and that all out of the pale of their " church are damned." Apology, p. 26. And this sentence, it seems, the Protestants think so heinous and uncharitable, that "they fancy, to mollify it, " that the affertion must be merely political, in " order to retain Catholics in their own commu-" nion, or gain over profelytes: but indeed it is " quite the reverse," says the Apologist, " being " built upon such irrefragable conclusions drawn " from Scripture-doctrine, that it [the Sentence] " cannot be contested." P. 29. According to this account, the zeal of the Roman Catholics in making profelytes is the pure effect of conscience and charity, for the falvation of fouls. But, ac cording to the other, their zeal in making profelytes is entirely a political affair, merely to collect force, and to strengthen their party. Suffer them to live unperfecuted, and their attempts of this fort will be more moderate, and conscience and charity will readily give way to their care not to give umbrage to Government.

Let us not, however, be surprized at this. It is only of a piece with his representing the Roman Catholics of Great Britain and Ireland as in a state of persecution, even after he had said in his Presace, p. vi. "The Catholics have long enjoyed the lenity of the Government, and are thankful for it; and, as their intention is no other than to demean themselves as good subjects, they hope for a continuance of the same benevolent

P 2

" disposition."

Take

Take the matter then which way you will; Papists will, and judge they ought to make profelytes among Protestants at all events. In a state of persecution, they think it good policy, in order to collect force, and to strengthen their party, that they may, in process of time, be powerful enough to revenge themselves upon those who oppose them. In other circumstances, it is a matter of charity and conscience; in other words, an indispensable duty; and accordingly, " no church has " exhibited more illustrious specimens of charity " than the Catholic, in willing the conversion of " all finners, Jews, Mohammedans, Pagans, Heretics, and Schismatics; and in not barely willing, of but ardently praying and endeavouring for the " fame. — Who," fays the Apologist, " have taken more painful journies, or endured greater hardships, as missionaries, to convert Infidels fthe natural enemies of the Roman faith, at " the hazard of their lives?" P. 26.

In perusing this Apology when it first appeared. I could not help thinking it must be the work of some conceited overweening Jesuit, who, having made some converts among us by the artifices natural to that tribe, became so exalted upon his success, as to imagine he had nothing to do but to publish his sentiments in order to captivate the whole nation at once, and bring them over not only to vote for a full toleration of Popery, but even to establish it once more as the national religion. He feems to rely much on the supineness and "indolent disposition of the established clergy, to instruct their flock and keep them to their duty," p. 118; and, I dare fay, takes it for granted, that both clergy and people are fo funk in ignorance of the religious part of the controverfy,

verfy, and so wholly careless and inattentive with respect to the *political*, that he might venture to put any thing upon them; and that a little plausible gilding would easily prevail with them to swallow it.

Could a man with a less degree of confidence and presumption, even with an equestrian pedigree as long as Cadwallader's, have had the effrontery to talk of "a scheme he hath long thought of, and "purposes some time or other to make public, for effecting a salutary union between the church of "Rome and the established church of England, impudently suggesting "that the church of "England agrees in almost all the fundamentals" and essentials of faith with his sort of Catholics?" Apol. p. 119, 120 ".

P 3 Thus

This was perhaps fomething more than a pretence in Leander, when, in the year 1634, he gave Cardinal Barberino an account of the several fundamentals and effentials in which the church of England agreed with the church of Rome. State Papers collected by Edward Earl of Clarendon, p. 197. I shall say nothing to the particulars enumerated by Leander, farther than to observe, that whatever Protestant company this more modern Apologist may keep, or from whomsoever he had this account of agreement in fundamentals, &c. he was, without peradventure, misinformed. Leander, after he had mentioned several circumstances in which the church of England of that time agreed with the church of Rome, fays; " Absque hac Hierarchichi regiminis " forma, existimant nostrates in Anglia Protestantes, non solum " obscurari decus Ecclesiæ Christianæ, verum etiam ipsam ejus " naturam & substantiam tolli. Qua propter reliquas Ecclesias. " Protestantium per Europam sparsas, quoniam hanc antiquissi-" mam Hierarchiam repudiarunt, & averfantur, revera habent " in numero schismaticorum." However this might be in the days of Leander, it is, I will venture to fay, far from being the case with the Protestants of the church of England at this time. Where did this Apologist learn, that the church of England, as

Thus the Apologist hath saved us the trouble of proving that the Papists are as obnoxious as ever to our Protestant Government, on account of their indefatigable zeal in seducing his Majesty's subjects to the belief of the church of Rome's infallibility, and consequently to all the pernicious doctrines (pernicious to their plighted allegiance to their rightful Sovereign, as well as to their spiritual allegiance to Christ, who hath made them free from this yoke of bondage) depending upon He not only acknowledges and glories in the fact, but accounts for their success in their conversions from circumstances highly reflecting on, and, I would hope, highly injurious to, the respectable clergy of the established church; which those Protestant writers, who have represented the late complaints of the increase of Popery among us as the effect of groundless clamour, and finister views, rather than of any real conviction of the matter of fact, would do well to confider.

How the case really stands with respect to the numbers converted to Popery of late years, I will not pretend to pronounce. Intelligence of this kind may probably be had, in no long time, from the highest authority in the kingdom. But this I will venture to say, upon the testimony of this Apo-

now constituted, holds the foreign Protestant churches, who disfent from her form of Hierarchical Government, as schismatics, or as persons who, by such diffent, obscure and even take away the nature and substance of a Christian church? And how, without some authentic evidence to this purpose, will the Apologist be able to prove this argreement of the two churches in fundamentals and effentials? See the Life of Bishop Kennet, p. 111— 127. One might appeal to authorities less moderate in church matters than Bishop Kennet, and even to some mentioned by the Apologist himself.

logy, and some other late performances from the fame quarter, and penned in the fame spirit, that in no period fince the Revolution hath Popery appeared so open and barefaced, and with such audacious infults and abuses of the Protestant Reformation, as within the compass of the last two or three years. And, if this increased confidence of the Papifts is not to be ascribed to their increased numbers. I am afraid we shall be driven to account for it by circumftances still more alarming to the real friends of our Protestant settlement, and to the religious and civil liberties of this country, which must stand or fall with it.

Perhaps a curfory view of the management of our Apologist may give us a little light into one of these circumstances at least.

In his Apology he makes it his business to trim as dextrously as he can, between the Papists and the Protestants, upon what he calls "Two capital " points: First, the attachment of the Papists to " the banished Stuart family; secondly, their ac-"knowledgment of a power in the Pope, which " is inconfiftent with the laws of this realm." Apol.

p. 107.

In a little time, however, that is to fay, in as little time as his fraternity could take in reading and judging of the contents of his pamphlet, we find the writer under a necessity of sending after his Apology a Justificative Piece; the occasion of which is pretended to be, that "the Catholic no-" bility of this realm have expressed great displea-" fure that an obscure author should presum to " make an Apology for them, being fully fufficient " themselves if they found it needful, to petition " in a body his Majesty and his Parliament for a " mitigation of grievances," p. 176.

It is natural here to ask, why the Roman Catholic Nobility did not express their displeasure against fome other late advocates for the mitigation of their grievances, who, for aught the public knew of them, were equally obscure with our Apologist? And then again, if the Roman Catholic Nobility were displeased with the Apology, folely on the account of the obscurity of the author, that objection feems to have been fufficiently obviated by the very honourable pedigree the Apologist hath exhibited, by which he feems to fet himfelf on a level with the best blood, Catholic or Protestant, in the kingdom. What occasion to extend his justification to any other topics, if the forward officiousness of an obscure writer had been the only objection to this Apologist?

The very nature of the case then leads us to suspect that the displeased Roman Catholics had some cause to be discontented with the Apology, besides the obscurity of the writer; and the principal subject of the Justificative Piece points out, even with cer-

tainty, the cause of offence.

He had played his part, it seems, on the subject of the pontifical powers, with sufficient skill and artifice to amuse the Protestants with what he appeared to give up, at the same time that he saved the honour and obligation of the Roman Catholics, by the extensive advantages he still reserved to his Holiness.

But when he came to touch upon the attachment of the Roman Catholics to the house of Stewart, the poor Gentleman had worse luck, and undertook a little too hastily, that " the British and Irish Catho-" lies have long been disused to consider, with "High-churchmen, as things not to be contested, the notions of hereditary and inalienable right, divine

233

divine right, passive obedience and non-resistance."

Apol. p. 107.

The British and Irish Roman Catholics could not but be sensible that the High churchmen must take it grievously amiss that they were thus left in the lurch by those upon whose natural attachment to the Stuart samily they had the greatest reason to rely. Some of these High-churchmen too might be too considerable to be thus deserted by those who had continued to give them assurances all along of their being prepared to assist upon all exigencies. And as the Justificative Piece is almost wholly taken up in making the best of this mistake, we learn from it, that the obscurity of the author was far from being the only reason that offence and displeasure was taken at the Apologist by the British and

Irifb Catholics.

Let us now fee with what grace and dexterity. he makes the amende bonourable in his Justificative Piece. In his Apology above quoted, he represents the British and Irish Catholics as being convinced that the notion of divine hereditary right was no longer defenfible, and confequently that they had laid it aside upon principle and conviction that the British Parliament had a right to dispose of the Crown first to King William, and afterwards to the House of Hanover. But finding, by the displeasure of the English Catholics, that he had herein engaged for more than he could make good, he endeavours to come off by intimating that if they had not difused themselves to confider the notion of the bereditary and unalienable right of the House of Stuart as incontestable upon principle, they ought to have done it in good policy. " He

" He has not," he fays, " in the least any view " to cast the least odium upon the House of Stuart. " The name deserves to be revered, were it for no " other reason than its mingling with the blood of " the most illustrious Potentates of Europe," -p. 185. "From whence," he fays, "the intention " of the author [of the Apology | has been all along " plain and obvious, being calculated to demon-" strate, that, upon an unprejudiced review of all circumstances, it is MUCH MORE ADVISEABLE " for the Catholics to covet subjection to the House " of Hanover, as by law established, even in a " state of persecution, than to the House of Stuart. " --- And of this," he tells us, " all the Catho-" lics, whether British or Irish, Seem now consci-" ous to themselves, NOT BECAUSE THEIR HOPES " OF A POPISH PRETENDER ARE EXTINGUISH-" ED, but because they clearly see the tranquility " of undifturbed life connected with it. For in-" deed," continues he, " if any are so fanciful " as to foster the hopes of a Pretender, they may " still do it as much as ever. It appears by M'Al-" lefter's Letters, not long fince published, that " the Pretender, though apparently disavowed by " France, was the animating spring last war of all " their fecret schemes against England." cative Piece, p. 186.

By this detail it is clear he found that the confciences of the English and Irish Catholics were not so pliable on this head, of the divine hereditary right of the house of Stuart, as he had pretended. It is probable they gave him to understand, that, after all his flourishes in the Apology, the British and Irish Catholics, where the divine right intervened, had no better opinion of a parliamentary head of the state, than of a parliamentary head of the church. He was obliged, therefore,

to compromise matters with them, to leave them their veneration for the House of Stuart undisturbed; to grant that their hopes of a Popish Pretender might not be extinguished, that they might still foster those hopes; for that the French (one of those respectable bodies of men with whom the Papists of Great Britain and Ireland claim a fraternity,) though they apparently disavowed the Pretender, yet made use of him during the last war as the animating spring of all their secret schemes against England. His intention, therefore, he tells them, was only to suggest to them what was adviseable in the present exigency—that he took the hint from their feeming acquiescence and tranquility under the present Government, and consequently might be excuseable in supposing that this might proceed from a conciousness that the title of the House of Hanover was preferable to that of the House of Stuart.

What effect this dodging justification may have towards procuring absolution for this Apologist, one cannot fay, nor probably shall we ever know. But I must own I am far from thinking it will give fatisfaction to our Jure-divino High-church-men. For that circumstance of a feeming consciousness of the expediency of subjection to the House of Hanover in the British and Irish Papists, may naturally enough fuggest a jealousy in the said High-churchmen, that these their ancient allies are meditating a defection from their common principle, unless the Roman Catholics can convince them that the Apologist is wholly uncommissioned to declare their fense upon this delicate article, and that he hath actually been put to his penance for this prefumption.

In the mean time we are let into an interesting fecret; namely, that the body of the Roman Catholics of Great Britain and Ireland remain still unjatisfied with the parliamentary title of the House of Hanover. Why else is this point so much laboured by this writer in his Justificative Piece, after his repeated endeavours to adjust it to the taste of those Catholics in his Apology? Nor indeed is The Justificative Piece informs this all we learn. us, that the Apologist's Fellow-catholics are in no disposition to forego their hopes of the restoration of a Popish Pretender; and that, whatever coun tenance the French may occasionally put on, they may, at the bottom, be depended upon whenever the Pretender may be made use of to promote their suppose the French not to be in earnest with respect to any real defign of advancing the Pretender to the British throne, what are we to judge of their making this occasional use of him? Of himself he hath no power, no forces, no money to pay them, which are all necessary articles to make him a valuable ally to the French. The alternative is, that he hath a strong party among the Papists and Highchurchmen in England, who, while they can be amused with hopes that the Pretender's interests are forwarding, will readily concur with the French. or any other fraternal power in Europe, in their fecret schemes against the present Government of this country.

I will not inquire how far the Apologist himself may or may not be in earnest in giving this detail of his political principles, or how sincere he may be in ascribing to the British Legislature the sole power of disposing of the British Crown. He hath sufficiently discovered that the British and Irish Catho-

lics in general do not think as he pretends to do and he hath drop'd one intimation, that they will never quit the bope that fome Pretender may one

day relieve their diffresses.

"Hope," fays he, "how vain foever, is the last fimiling solace that quits a man, before he refigns his breath. As a balsam to his woes, he will figure to himself that the hand which was the cause of his being depressed, might again be instrumental towards listing him up: so that a Pretender will never be wanting to those who chuse to create one, tho' every one of the House of Stuart was as extinct in life as in law to the people of Great Britain and Ireland." Justif.

Piece, p. 186, 187.

It is not easy to understand how they who hope that the hand which was the cause of the Roman Catholics being depressed, if the hand of a Stuart is here meant, should be again instrumental in lifting them up, notwithstanding every one of the House of Stuart should be extinct. Undoubtedly this is meant of the British and Irish Papists, of whole depression one or more of the House of Stuart was certainly the cause. But, if the whole House of Stuart were utterly extinct, how is it possible the same hand should be instrumental in lifting them up, which was the cause of depressing them? That the British and Irish Catholics would never be without a Popish Pretender to oppose to a Protestant Sovereign, is credible enough; but it is neither kind nor politic in the Apologist to be so forward to apprize us of this disposition in the faithful, even though this intelligence might be in fome measure necessary to his justification. Roman Catholics and the High-churchmen, who are attached to the Pretender's title, alledge a reafon of conscience for it; and, while we can suppose

them fincere, we have compassion for their being missed into so many inconveniences by a false principle, at the fame time that we are obliged to restrain them from doing mischief with it. But if, as the Apologist represents them, they will have a Pretender at all adventures, whether a Stuart or not, we are fure that conscience can have nothing to do in determination of that fort; and, if there was no other reason to be thought of, that alone would be fufficient to exclude fuch desperadoes from any toleration in a free Protestant Government °.

Upon

o It is remarkable that, while some of the Apologist's fort of High-churchmen have been embellishing their Idol adventurer in the public prints with every human virtue, and reproaching the Pope for treating him with so little respect to the character he assumes, the Popish pleaders for Toleration have been as diligent in affuring us of his infignificance; perfectly ridiculing his pretentions to regal honours without the countenance of his Holiness, which, it seems, he is no longer to expect. They who remember in what stile the Roman Catholics talked in the Father's life-time, are much surprised at this sudden turn. But others (some of whom pretend to have made observations on the fpot) think all this very accountable. " The Romans, fay they " have been convinced for some time, that, considering the " flender personal merit of the elder brother, and the devotional " attachments of the younger, there is no probability that this "branch of the House of Stuart will be further propagated. So " far therefore as the conquest over the Northern Heresy may be " fupposed to depend upon these two brothers, the prospect must " be extremely short and indistinct. On which consideration, " it is conjectured, the Roman system with respect to Great Bri-" tain and Ireland hath undergone a revolution; and that, " passing by the pretensions of their present inmates, on account " of the defect in their genealogy, the Romans are now looking " forward to a Catbolic Pretender beyond them. History in-" forms us, that, in the year 1701, the Duchess of Savey tender-" ed a Protestation, by the hands of Count Massei, against the " Bill for the Hanover Succession, then depending in Parliament;

Upon the whole, had not this Justificative Piece followed so close upon the heels of the Apology, I should have been inclined to come over to the opinion

" in which, taking no notice of the late Chevalier, she claimed " immediately after the then Princels Anne of Denmark, and " thereby, says one of our Historians, appeared to confirm the just " juspicions of that Pretender's birth. Tindal, Contin. of Rapin, " 8vo, 1758, vol. III. p. 95. This incident the court of Rome " is now supposed to have in its eye; and, as the Roman Ca-" tholics of this country have always taken their political cue " from thence, it is probable enough that the strain in which " they have lately talked, may be the effect of the fresh intelli-" gence they have received from that quarter. In the mean " time, tho' the High-churchmen may not have been fo early " apprized of this alteration, there is little doubt of their clofing " with it, as they may be furnished from History with an in-" flance where their forefathers were disposed to make a like " transfer of their loyalty, upon certain conditions. Some of " them, according to Lamberti, came to the Count de Briancon. " and proposed to him, that the Duke of Savoy scould deliver up " one of his fons to be educated in England in the Protestant reli-" gion; declaring that, in that case, the Ad for the Hanover succession should never pass. But the Duke refused to consent to, it. " Tindal, u. f. p. 94. There are, besides this, other conside-" rations tending to dispose both Roman Catholics and Jure-di-" vino men to acquiese in the supposed decision of the Roman They may both cultivate their new connexions with " less suspicion, and, what is of more immediate consequence " to their present emolument, both will undoubtedly be relieved " from the burthen of certain contributions which must on ma-" ny occasions have embarrased their private affairs. For the " Holy Congregation, having once canonically determined a-" gainst the legitimacy of the present claimant, tho' charity and " compassion may incline the Romans and others to support him " with a decent, and even honourable provision, on account of " his relation to a person so highly distinguished by them as the " Father, vet there can be no obligation upon them to continue " princely appointments to the Son, whom upon their receiving " new lights with respect to the foundation of his pretensions, they " no longer judge to have any just demand of that kind upon " them." Thus far fome of our travelling politicians, whose irr-

opinion of those who have conjectured that the Apology was the work of some determined enemy to the Roman Catholics of Great Britain and Ireland.

mifes on this head must be left to time to falify or confirm, as it shall happen. It often falls out, however, that speculations of this kind, wild and extravagant as they may appear to some, are attended with facts and circumstances, not otherwise out of the common courle of things, which give them an air of high probability. Some late occurrences at home and abroad, which I forbear to mention, because they are under every one's eye, feem to be of this fort, with respect to the matter in question. One cannot positively say, whether our Apologist might not hint at this new scheme, in telling us, a Pretender will never be wanting to those who chuse to create one. This looks at least as if his Fellow-catholics and their coadjutors have a Pretender in contemplation, exclusive of the two brothers. If so, no doubt but the Apologist will be understood by the Fraternity; to whom a publick intimation of that kind cannot be very agreeable, as it may not yet be time to have a secret of that importance divulged; in which case we may shortly expect another Justificative Piece. to explain and vindicate the doctrines and affertions of the first. In perufing a late account of the manners and customs of Italy written by Mr. Baretti, I could not help remarking that, though the author is unmercifully severe, and sometimes with very little reason, upon Mr. Sharp, he takes not the least notice of Mr. Sharp's account of the Pretender's Superstitious bigotry. nor of his interesting resection upon it, tho' the said Mr. Barretti. upon other occasions, is not sparing either of his farcasms on the English religion and government, or of his apologies, such as they are, for the most abject and ridiculous superstitions of his countrymen in general. We may be pretty fure, by these circumstances, that he did not defert the parronage of the Pretender out of compliment to England. Shall we account for his filence by saying, that he is a Piedmontese, and chules to adhere to the Protestation of the Dutchess of Savoy, even at this late hour? In fuch a case, it will be no wonder that he should not think himfelf concerned for the reputation of the late Chavalier's fon, whom, I think, he himself somewhere calls The Pretender, and whom, upon the supposition abovementioned, he must consider in that light, without any respect had to his competition for the British crown with the illustrious family in possession of it. For

land, who thought fit to expose them to the resentment and contempt of the English Protestants, by offering so many gross affronts to their national establish-

my own part, I cannot but hope that Mr. Sharp will at some time make his defence against this petulant critic. A very superficial reader may fee he hath laid himself open to severe retaliation; and a proper expollulation upon his remarkable filence on a point which hath heretofore made the Roman Catholics of this country to fore, and on which they might have expected tome amends from an Italian writer, to whom the object of Mr. Sharp's reflections could not be wholly unknown, may probably end in a discovery that Mr. Barctti, by waving this once interesting subject at this time, did not pay less regard to the present taste of his English friends, than they who on former occusions have exhausted their rhetoric in encomiums on the late Pretender and his family, when they were the fashionable objects of the predominant passion among the Papists and High churchmen of Great Britain and Ireland. But, whatever may be thought of these speculations on account of their nevelty, I cannot but think them of importance to the public, and worthy of an accurate exami-A due attention to the remotest circumstances, even fuch as are within a bare possibility of affecting our invaluable constitution, as it depends upon the parliamentary settlement of the crown, is no more than we owe to our fovereign, ourselves, and our posterity. When matters are come to that pass, that a Popish writer hath the impudence to propose an union of the church of England with the church of Rome, with which the Sea of Rome will have reason to be well pleased and contented, what a train of reflections is suggested to a thinking English Protestant? What do these people mean? Do they expect that our gracious King, and his well-affected subjects, will come into the proposal? They can hardly be so mad. It this union then proposed in purfuance of the compromite offered to the Count de Briancon? One cannot tell. Perhaps the Apologist may think that the Protestant Religion of those who made the offer to the Count, and his own scheme of union, may not be materially different the one from the other; and perhaps he may think right. But if he thinks, by his scheme of religious union, to make way for the political project, what must he think of our loyalty to the House of Hanover? It is not to be imagined that fuch a writer should have the countenance of any one who is able to protect him from the just indignation of an insulted Protestant people. But it is just bad

establishment, and advancing so many absurdities and contradictions on the behalf of his own pretended party. The Justificative Piece leaves no farther room for these surmises. The obscurity of the author hath not prevented his being difcovered by the Roman Catholics, who have, with good reaso, expressed their displeasure at the folly and prefumption of a private man of their own persuasion thus taking upon him, without any commission, to answer for the whole body in points which so nearly concern the connexion and confistency of their whole system. And now that the Apologist hath presented us with so respectable and brilliant an account of his family, he cannot long be concealed from any one who has the curiofity to inquire after him.

Some people, I find, have thought, fince the publication of the Justificative Piece, that the discovery of the writer of the Apology might answer a more important end than barely gratifying the curiosity of some particular persons. "It is plain, say they, from this attempt to justify himself, that he hath had no countenance from the Roman Catholics; but is it possible a man should have the impudence to propose to an established Protestant church a scheme of union with the church of Rome, without some countenance or encouragement from some other quarter? And might it not be of some use to

enough with us, if he derives his encouragement from any well-grounded observation that the indifference of some, and the unfriendliness of others, who call themselves Protestants, to the principles of civil and religious liberty, together with the inattention of still more, to the encroaching and exterminating nature of Popery, may leave room for the full impression of his pernicious documents, without the danger of incurring the resentment of the public in any degree.

"the public to discover the man, for the sake of learning what are his connexions, and what

" company he keeps of another fort?"

For my part, I think this a matter of no great consequence, at least till the man shall have exhibited his curious scheme of reconciliation. I trust, the Protestants of Great Britain and Ireland are in no present disposition to come into any project of union with the church of Rome; and, even though we should suppose the Apologist to have concerted his plan with the most bigoted Highchurchmen in the kingdom, there are particular reasons to believe that the Papists in general would have objections to it, which will for ever prevent its admission among them. Nothing, I am persuaded, will satisfy them, but the establishment of their system, with all its despotic pretenfions P; and to accomplish this they ever have been and ever will be at work, and employ all their craft and artifice for that purpose, upon all occasions and opportunities.

I have been affured upon good authority, that none of the Popish Casuists are of more esteem

with

[&]quot;The Papitts in England must have a King of their own, a Pope, that must do something in our kingdom; therefore there is no reason they should enjoy the same privileges" [which she Protestants in France enjoyed at that time, viz of bearing office in the state, &c.] Seldon's Table-Tak, p. 129. The Pope still claims the same Kingship in Great Britain and Ireland as ever, as appears by the publication of the Bull In cana Domini every year on Maunday-Thursday at Rome; a short account or which may be seen in Bower's Hist of the Popes, vol. VII p. 465. Will the Papists of Great Britain and Ireland give us any security that they disavow the doctrines of this Bull? or will they chute to infinuate, with the Apologist, p. 141, that a claim solemnly and religiously published annually by all Popes, "was only arrogated by some Popes in sormer times?"

with the Roman Catholics of this kingdom than Bellarmine, upon account of the convenience of so many of his folutions to Catholics situated in an heretical country. He is indeed their Oracle. But Bellarmine hath inculcated the Duty of destroying Heretics in the strongest terms, and only dispenses with it in cases where the Catholics are too few or too weak to attempt it 9. The advantages therefore that the Protestants give to people thus principled, which may contribute either to their strength or the increase of their numbers, are just so many steps towards the destruction of our constitution.

The History of the Polish Dissidents could never have been published at a more seasonable time than when the Papists are foliciting an enlargement of their civil and religious privileges in this country, on the pretence of their being so few. We there find the Roman Catholics and Diffidents of Poland were once nearly equal as to numbers. We learn from it the stipulations between the two parties, and by what folemn decisions their civil and religious rights were secured to the Dissidents upon various occasions; but all to no purpose, when they who called themselves Catholics had increased their numbers, and had got the power into their hands. The maxim that no faith is to be kept with Heretics, was never more visibly nor canonically executed, from the time that it had the facred fanction of the Council of Constance. No artifice, no injustice, no violence was omitted, whereby the Diffidents might be dispossessed of their churches, and reftrained in the exercise of

⁹ Bellarmine, de Laicis, Lib. III. cap. 22.

their religion. And what has happened in the course of the late struggles, by which the Dissidents have endeavoured to reinflate themselves in their natural and legal rights and privileges, makes it evident to demonstration, that THE SPIRIT OF POPERY IS STILL THE SAME, irreconcileable in its hatred and enmity to all Diffenters from it; bigoted to the most abject superstitions of the darkest ages, and determined against every degree of toleration, wherever it has the power to inforce its fanguinary decrees against those whom it thinks

fit to fliginatize with the name of Heretics.

After all, though it is impossible to see what security the Papists of Great Britain and Ireland can give to a Protestant Government for their dutiful subjection to it, more especially along with that absolute deference they pay to the Pope, if we take it even upon the terms stated by the Apologist (in which, it should seem, he has gone far below any authority he has from his Fellow-catholics)—though, I fay, it is impossible to fee this from any proposals that have been offered by the various writers who have pleaded their cause within the last two or three years, yet, if any means could be found which might infure the public fafety against the treasonable, exterminating principles of their religion, and at the same time permit them the free and unmolested exercife of their worship, they would not find an advocate more ready to plead their cause than myfelf.

Some plans of this kind have not long ago appeared in the News-papers, which might feem to deserve the consideration of the public, if the answers to them, and the remarks upon them by Popish writers in the same papers, had not be-

trayed

trayed the utter aversion of the whole party to the necessary limitations there proposed, and indeed to any limitations upon the busy pragmatical spirit of their priests, and other emissaries, ever upon the watch to seize all opportunities of carrying on the grand work of proselyting, and thereby promoting disaffection to our established religion and government, in the prospect of gaining that by power and force, which, I trust, they will never be able to compass by treaty and negotiation.

ADDENDUM to P. 90.

Have at length met with Arnauld's Apologie pour les Catholiques, where his misrepresentation of Sir Robert Southwell's testimony given at Coleman's Trial, appears pag. 224, and makes a part of his fourth proof of Coleman's innocence. He there not only treats Sir Robert with marks of contempt, calling him " a certain Robert South-" well, &c." but fays, that he gave an account of some particulars in Oates's testimony before the Council, which Oates himself said he had not given. And, in order to make Sir Robert's evidence appear inconfistent with itself, he totally omits Sir Robert's Answer to the Lord Chief Juflice's last interrogatory, where Sir Robert fully establishes his own credit, as well as that of Oates, with respect tenthe particular in question; as may be feen in Coleman's Trial, printed in 1678, pag. 39, 40. As I have not feen that Edition of the Apologie,

Apologie, in which Sir Robert Southwell's letter to Mr. Arnauld, together with Mr. Arnauld's answer and acknowledgment, are printed, I can ot give the particulars But what Arnauid's Biographer fays of this affair is to this effect : " Mr. Arnauld be-" ing mistaken, in refuting the romance of this " conspiracy, in a fact, which wounded the horour of Sir Robert Southwell, an English Protestant, " fome time Secretary to the Council of his Bri-" tannic Majesty, he [Arnauld] was no sooner " apprized of it, than he mad a public retracta-" tion, and carried the satisfaction, which he there " gave to Sir Robert Southwell, much farther than " Sir Robert himself defired. This retractation " may be seen printed by way of Addition to the " first part of his Apology for the Catholics. Mr. " Arnauld, in this rencounter, had the consolation " to learn from Sir Robert Southwell himself, that " his Britannic Majesty (then Duke of York), " having been informed of this miftake, had the " goodness to excuse this Doctor [Arnauld], and " to be willing to become furety for his good " faith, and for the pleasure with which he would " address himself to repair his fault; his Majesty " having faid to Mr. Southwell, that Mr. Arnauld, " being a stranger, bad not been able to diffinguish " true advices from such as were false; but that, " being a person so esteemed for his learning and co probity, be could not but rejoice at being unde-" ceived, and would with pleasure give all the sa-" tisfa tion in this matter which should be required " of him His Britannic Majesty, having learned " afterwards in what manner Mr. A nauld had " made reparation for his fault, had the goodness " to defire to fee the letter he had written to Mr. " purbwell; and, after having kept it a whole Q4

" day, faid, in returning it to Sir Robert, that it was a very bandsome letter, and such a one as " might be expected from Mr. Arnauld." Histoire Abregee de la Vie, & des Ouvrages de Mr. Arnauld, a Cologne, 1695, p. 187. The truth is, Arnauld was no more able to diftinguish true intelligence from false in other cases, than he was in this of Sir Robert Southwell. Had some of the witnesses, upon whose testimony he hath descanted, been of equal quality with Sir Robert Southwell, and had expostulated with him in the same spirit on his misrepresentations of their evidence, Arnauld might have had the additional pleasure of retracting the far greater part of what he wrote concerning the Popish Plot, as may be seen by comparing his Apol gy with the printed Trials of Coleman, Stafford, &c. The Duke of York's observation, concerning Arnauld's inability to diffinguish true advices from false, is very just, and the more to be remarked, as it is highly probable that Arnauld had all his intelligence concerning the Plot, true or false, from his Royal Highness's favourites and implements. This trash the Papists of the present times are now bringing back, by way of discrediting the Popish Plot, and opposing to our public records the dreams of foreigners, founded on the fabulous intelligence conveyed by their forefathers to such zealots as Arnauld, whose reputation, they hoped, in after-times, might tend to make their wicked and desperate machinations incredible to posterity. With these kind of Apologies the Protestants of the present times may be duped if they please, without looking back to their own authentic records, which, however, it would be adviseable for them to do. For, as a candid and judicious lawyer of those times has observed, " That "That which gave credit to the Popish Plot, was, " writings, concurring with oral testimony. For," " adds he, " very little of the truth " of the " Popish Plot depended on the credit of Oates, " Bedloe, or any other person; most of the facts of " that defign, when discovered, proving themselves." Hawles's Remarks on several Trials, fol. 1689, p. 4. As I have Arnauld's Apology before me, I will just mention, that I learn from it, that the Jefuit La Colombiere, Almoner to the Duchess of York, and the person by whose means The Devotion to the Sacred Heart, &c. abovementioned, was revived, was accused of having a hand in the Popish Plot, and, in consequence of that charge, which consisted of fix articles, imprisoned. What was the event, Mr. Arnauld could give no account: nor is it at all to my purpose to inquire into his guilt, farther than to observe, that the fifth article of his charge was, that be bad the care of a convent of religious females, secreted in London. A circumstance which shews the adaption of his talents to practife upon a poor visionary Nun, in the gross manner pretty plainly fuggested in the Narrative above exhibited.

ADDITIONAL EXTRACTS.

ANECDOTE from Mr. Seldon's Table-Talk, under the Word Pope, p. 129.

"THE Papists call our religion a Parliamentary religion. But there was once, I am fure, a Parliamentary Pope. Pope URBAN [VI] was made Pope in England, by Act of Parliament, against Pope CLEMENT [VII]. The Act

" is not in the Book of Statutes, either because he that compiled the Book would not have the name of Pope there, or else he would not let it

" appear that they meddled with any fuch thing

" But 'tis upon the Rolls."

A learned Antiquary, and worthy Divine of the church of England, whose name I am not at liberty to mention, being desirous to have this curious fact verified and authenticated by the record itself, applied to the late Ceorge Holmes, Esq. Keeper of the Records in the Tower of London, by whose permission and assistance he transcribed the Act as follows, out of the Statute Roll of the 2d year of King Richard II.

" Item pur ceo que notre Seigneur le Roy ad " entenduz fibien par certeyns lettres patentes " nouvellement venuz de certains Cardinalx rebelx " contre notre Sant Piere URBAN a ore Pape come " autrement par comon fame qe division et discord " estoit parentre notre dit Saint Piere et les ditz " Cardinalx la quex safforcent a tout leur poiar a " deposer notre dit Seint Piere de l'estat de Pape " et de exciter et commover per leur mesmes uraies " fuggestions les Rois Princes et le people Chri-" stien en contre luy a grant peril de leur Almes " et a tres male example. Notre dit Seigneur le " Roy fift monfter les dites Lettres a Prelates " Seigneurs et autrez grantz et sages de son Roial-" me esteantz au dit Parliament et veues et enten-" duz les Lettres avandites et evemeure deliberati-" on fur la matier estoit par le ditz Prelates pro-" nunziez et publiez par plusors grandez et nota-" ble raisons illoeques montrez en plien Parliament " sibien par matier trove en dites Lettres come " autrement que le dit URBAN estoit duement essuz " en Pape et que ensy est il et doit estre verrai Pape " et le come Pape et chief de Seint Esglise l'en " doit accepter et obeir et a ceo fair saccorderent " toutes lez Prelats Seigneurs et Commons en le " Parliament avandit. Et en aultre est assentuz " que toutes les Benefices et autres Possessions que les " ditz Cardinalx rebellantz et toutz autres leur " coadjutours fautors adherentz ou aucuns autres " enemies de notre dit Seigneur le Roi et de son " Roialme ont deniz [f. deinz] le poair notre dit " Seigneur le Roi soient seisez es mains de mesme " notre Seigneur le Roi et qe notre Seigneur le " Roi soit responduz des fruites et profites de mes-" mes Benefices et Possessions tant come ils demou-" ront en ses mains par le cause avandit. Et aux-" int est ordenez qe si aucun liege de Roi ou au-" tre deinz son poair purchase Provision Benefice " ou autre Grace daucun par noun de Pape qe de " le dit notre Saint Piere URBAN ou soit obeisant " a aucun autre persone come a Pape soit mys hors " de la protection de notre Seigneur le Roi et les " biens et chateuz seises come forfaites."

In English thus,

"Also because our Lord the King hath under"flood, as well by certain Letters Patent lately
"come from certain Cardinal rebels against our
"Holy Father Urband at this time Pope, as other"wise by common fame, that division and discord
have arisen between our said holy Father and
the said Cardinals, who labour with all their
might to dispose our said holy Father from the
estate of Pope, and to provoke and stir up against
him Kings, Princes, and Christian people, by
their own mere suggestions, to the great peril
of their souls, and setting an evil example

" to others; our faid Lord the King caused the " faid Letters to be shewn to the Prelates, Lords, " and other Grandees and Sages of his kingdom, " being at the faid Parliament. And the Letters " aforesaid being seen and understood, and mature " deliberation had upon the matter, it was by the " faid Prelates declared and for many great and " notable reasons there shewn in full Parliament, " as well from the contents of the faid Letters as " otherwise, that the said Urban was duly elected " Pope, and so is and ought to be true Pope, and " as Pope, and Head of holy Church, he ought " to be received and obeyed; and to do this the " Prelates, Lords, and Commons in the Parliament " before-mentioned agree. And moreover it is " agreed, that all the Benefices and other Poffef-" fions, which the faid rebellious Cardinals, and " all others their coadjutors, fautors, adherents, " and any other enemies of our faid Lord the "King and of his kingdom, have within the jurif-" diction of our faid Lord the King, shall be seized " into the hands of the same our Lord the King; " and that our Lord the King shall be accountable " for the fruits and 'profits of the same Benefices " and Possessions, so long as they shall remain in " his hands, for the cause before-mentioned. And " furthermore it is enacted, that if any liegeman " of the King, or any other within his jurisdiction, " shall purchase any Provision, Benefice, or any " other grace, of any person by the name of Pope, " fave of our faid holy Father Urban, or shall " yield obedience to any other person as Pope, he " shall be put out of the protection of our Lord " the King, and his goods and chattles seized as " forfeited."

Perhaps

Perhaps it may not be unacceptable to the reader, to see a short account of this Pope Urban and these rebellious Cardinals, and of the motives which disposed King Richard II and his Parliament to take this extraordinary step in favour of Urban, from Rapin's History of England.

"Gregory XI, having left Avignon, on account

" of certain pretended revelations, in order to go " and refide at Rome, died March 7th, 1378.

" the three and twenty Cardinals then in being, " fix staid at Avignon, one was gone upon a legate-

" thip, and the fixteen others, of whom twelve " were Frenchmen, and four Italians, were at Rome

" when Gregory died. These Cardinals being af-

" fembled in the Conclave, in order to proceed to " the election of a Pope, were in great perplexity.

"Their intent was to chuse a Frenchman; but,

" as they foresaw the people of Rome would be a-

" gainst it, they resolved to give them a seeming

" fatisfaction, by pretending to elect an Italian. " But they agreed among themselves beforehand,

that, as foon as they could act with freedom, they "would chuse another who should be the true

" Pope; a project which could hardly fail of be-

" getting a schism. According to their agreement,

" of which we could have no certainty but by their " own confession, they elected the Archbishop of

" Bari, a Neapolitan, who assumed the name of

"Urban VI. The election was notified to all

" Christian Princes as canonical by the Cardinals

" themselves the Electors, and for some time they

" themselves acknowledged Urban as Head of the

"Church, Notwithstanding, whether in pursu-

" ance of their agreement, or whether, as some

" affirm, on the score of Urban's treating them

" with too great haughtiness, these same Cardinals " met at Anagnia, and elected one of the Cardinals " of Avignon, who stiled himself Clement VII. "These two elections by the same persons employ-" ed a long time the most noted Divines in Europe. " and occasioned a schism which lasted above thirty " years. It ought not to feem strange that it " should be so difficult a matter to decide which " of the Popes was the Head of the Church. " would have been much easier to alledge good " reasons to reject them both. However, this " Schism divided all Christendom, each state de-" claring for one or other of the two Popes, not " fo much from the confideration of the right of " the parties, as for reasons of state. France, " whose interest it was that the Pope should reside " at Avignon, fided with Clement; and, for a " contrary reason, England thought it more ad-" vantageous to adhere to the Pope of Rome." Tindal's Translation, 8vo, 1727, vol. IV. p. 377-

"France, says Dr. Geddes, "declared itself presently for Clement, and so did Scotland, Ca"file, Arragon, and Sicily. England, which in those days understood its own interest so well as not to do a thing that would visibly advance the power of France, declared presently for Urban; and, besides Rome and a part of Italy, it was the only country I can find that declared for him at first." Trass, 1730, vol. III. p. 293. And as according to the same learned writer, [ibid.] p. 304.] "Urban and his successors, and not Clement and his, are, by all the Roman writers since the end of that Schism, reckoned to have been the true Bishops of Rome," the Roman Catholics are "not

not a little obliged to the English Parliament for degitimating the succession of the Popes by so early and so essential a fanction. We may hope, at least, that after this discovery the Papists, out of mere prudence, will cease to upbraid us with a Parlia-

mentary religion.

It the Scottist records so far back were in being. it is not impossible that an Act of their Parliament in favour of Clement VII might be found among them. Mr. Bower's History of this Schism is excellent, and makes one regret that he did not or could not take more time in the History of some of those Popes who lived nearer the present times. The literary world are not at all obliged to those who diverted him so long from this useful and edi-

fying employment,

It was usual with an eminent Protestant Divine [Dr. Holland,] when he took leave of his friends, to say, Commendo vos amori Dei, et odio Papismi; I commend you to the love of God, and the hatred of Popery 2. I have no scruple in bidding adieu to my Protestant readers in the same terms. It is a just and a pious recommendation. The love of God and love of Popery are irreconcileable. Whoever gives up his judgment and conscience to the spiritual dominion of mortal man, does it out of a fort of fear which castetb out love.

² See The Life of Bishop Kennett, Appendix, p. 270.



.

